## Amendment of the Whole In Committee, Bearing Same Title 10/27/11

FILE NO. 110818

RESOLUTION NO. 477-//

[Board Response to the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report Entitled "Central Subway Too Much Money for Too Little Benefit"]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Central Subway Too Much Money for Too Little Benefit" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seg., the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or

12 13

14

15

recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the

16

response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over

17 18

19

WHEREAS, The 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Central Subway Too Much Money for Too Little Benefit" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 110817 which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein: and

20 21

22

23

WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond to Findings Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, and 12, as well as Recommendation Nos. 3, 4 and 8 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

24 25 which it has some decision making authority; and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: "Muni is not providing adequate service to its customers;" and,

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 3 states: "Either the City and San Francisco

Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) need to increase Muni's funding, or the City and

SFMTA need to lower their expectations for Muni's performance;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 4 states: "Muni has had financial troubles in recent years and, absent an unforeseen windfall, will continue to have financial troubles in the foreseeable future;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "Given the current and projected state of Muni's funding, difficult times lie ahead. This will impact the agency's ability to deliver the level of performance demanded by the charter;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 6 states: "Raising passenger fares can only have a minimal impact on Muni's financial shortfalls;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 4 states: "The SFMTA should hire an outside auditor to evaluate the potential gains in revenue brought by higher fares against the potential loss in total ridership due to such higher prices;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 11 states: "Following the manufacturer's suggested preventive maintenance program is inadequate for maintaining Muni's fleet. This inadequate preventive maintenance negatively impacts Muni's ability to properly serve its riders;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 12 states: "Mid-life overhauls are not enough to properly maintain Muni's fleet. Targeted component rebuilds are essential to their maintenance;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 8 states: "The Board of Supervisors, San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA), and SFMTA should determine how to fund adequate preventive maintenance and a targeted component rebuild program on an ongoing basis;" and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on Finding Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, and 12, as well as Recommendation Nos. 3, 4 and 8 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Finding Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, and 12; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. 3 and 8; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it disagrees with Recommendation No. 4; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.



## City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Resolution

File Number: 110818

Date Passed: November 08, 2011

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Central Subway Too Much Money for Too Little Benefit" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

October 27, 2011 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

October 27, 2011 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED

November 08, 2011 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Mirkarimi and Wiener

File No. 110818

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 11/8/2011 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

> Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

UNSIGNED

Mayor Edwin Lee

11-18-11

**Date Approved** 

Date: November 18, 2011

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.

Clerk of the Board