File No. 1	11	31	11
------------	----	----	----

Committee Item	No <u>. 8</u>
Board Item No.	25

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Budget and Finance Committee	Date: January 4, 2012
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date 1/10 1a
Cmte Boa	rd Motion Resolution	
	Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget & Legislative Analyst Report Ethics Form 126	
	Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter and MOU	l/or Report
	Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement	
	Award Letter Application	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is	needed)
•	by:Victor YoungDate:by:Victor YoungDate:	December 30, 2011

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

[Contract Amendment - En Pointe Technology Sales, Inc. - \$38,000,000]

Resolution authorizing the Office of Contract Administration to enter into the Third Amendment between the City and County of San Francisco and En Pointe Technology Sales, Inc. ("En Pointe", part of the Technology Store procurement vehicle), in which the amendment shall increase the contract limit from \$28,475,000 to \$38,000,000.

WHEREAS, the Office of Contract Administration, by competitive bidding, developed the Technology Store procurement method for the purchase of products and services related to Information Technology; and

WHEREAS, En Pointe is one of the three products vendors who are part of the Technology Store; and

WHEREAS, the original contract with En Pointe is dated January 1, 2009; has a term of three years, through December 31, 2011, with possible extensions through December 31, 2013, and has an original contract limit of \$24 million; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors approved the original contract on December 9, 2008 by Resolution #508-08; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors approved the First Amendment to the contract

on June 7, 2011 by Resolution #233-11 to increase the contract limit from \$24 million to \$28 million; and

WHEREAS, the Second Amendment to the contract with En Pointe was approved by the Office of Contract Administration on November 21, 2011, to exercise the first of two options to extend the contract by one year to December 31, 2012,

increase the contract limit from \$28 million to \$28,475,000 and to update standard contractual clauses; and

WHEREAS, the Office of Contract Administration estimates that the money projected to be spent with En Pointe could reach the contract's amended limit in the reasonably near future; and

WHEREAS, Charter section 9.118, "Contract and Lease Limitations," subsection (b), requires Board of Supervisors approval of any contract estimated to exceed \$10 million in expenditures or amendments exceeding \$500,000 to such contracts; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Office of Contract Administration to enter into the Third Amendment to the contract with En Pointe to increase the contract limit for the contract with En Pointe from \$28,475,000 to \$38 million.

Items 6, 7 and 8 Files 11-1309, 11-1310 and 11-1311 Departments:

Office of Contract Administration (OCA)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Legislative Objective

- File 11-1309 is a proposed resolution which would authorize the Office of Contract Administration (OCA) to enter into a Third Amendment to an existing technology products only agreement between the City and Xtech to increase the existing authorization by \$10,000,000 from a not-to-exceed \$41,000,000 to a not-to-exceed \$51,000,000.
- File 11-1310 is a proposed resolution which would authorize the OCA to enter into a Fourth Amendment to an existing technology services and products related to such services agreement between the City and Xtech to increase the existing authorization by \$20,000,000 from a not-to-exceed \$40,000,000 to a not-to-exceed \$60,000,000; and
- File 11-1311is a proposed resolution which would authorize the OCA to enter into a Third Amendment to an existing technology products only agreement between the City and En Pointe Technology Sales, Inc. to increase the existing authorization by \$9,525,000 from a not-to-exceed \$28,475,000 to a not-to-exceed \$38,000,000.

Fiscal Impacts

- All expenditures for technology services and related products and technology only product purchases are subject to separate appropriation approval for each City department by the Board of Supervisors.
- From January 1, 2009 through November 30, 2011, City departments expended a total of \$53,989,629 for technology services and products related to such services, including \$37,100,167 or 68.7 percent of which was for technology services and related products purchased from one vendor, Xtech.
- From January 1, 2009 through November 30, 2011, City departments expended an additional \$80,167,766 for technology products only, of which (a) \$16,734,010 or 20.9 percent were purchased from Computerland, (b) \$26,876,768 or 33.5 percent were purchased from En Pointe, and (c) \$36,556,988 or 45.6 percent were purchased from Xtech.
- Actual average City department purchases from January 1, 2009 through November 30, 2011 under the existing agreements were: (a) \$1,060,005 per month from Xtech for technology services and products, (b) \$767,908 per month from En Pointe for technology products only, and (c) \$1,044,485 per month from Xtech for technology products only. Assuming that City departments continue purchasing technology products and services at these same average monthly expenditure rates, over the entire 48-month terms of the existing agreements, or through December 31, 2012, City departments would expend a total of approximately (a) \$50,880,240 from Xtech for technology services and products, (b) \$36,859,584 from En Pointe for technology products only, and (c) \$50,135,280 from Xtech for technology products only. However, the requested authorizations are for greater amounts because of pending specific purchase orders from the Airport and the Public Utilities Commission and due to rounding.

Recommendations

Approve the three proposed resolutions.

BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

MANDATE STATEMENT / BACKGROUND

Mandate Statement

In accordance with Section 9.118(b) of the City's Charter, any contract or agreement that has a term in excess of ten years or exceeds \$10,000,000 in expenditures or the modification of such agreement exceeds \$500,000, is subject to Board of Supervisors approval.

Background

The Office of Contract Administration (OCA) administers a number of agreements with various private firms for as-needed technology products and related services for all City Departments, through the City's Technology Store. Agreements are awarded to these private firms for either:

- (a) Category 1: Technology services (such as software programming, software customization, or network security services) and products related to such services, and/or
- (b) Category 2: Technology products only.

City departments requiring technology products and technology services are required to purchase such items through the City's Technology Store's vendors, who were previously pre-qualified under a competitive Request for Proposals (RFP) process, without undergoing another separate independent competitive process, with some exceptions made for products which are only sold directly through specific manufacturers, federally funded purchases, or specific projects which are large enough to require a separate RFP process. In addition, for purchases in excess of \$100,000, City departments are required to obtain bids from no fewer than three pre-qualified vendors from the City's Technology Store, and then purchase such technology products and/or services from the lowest bidding vendor.

Original Agreements

On April 8, 2008, OCA issued a RFP to pre-qualify various vendors for the City's Technology Store. On December 9, 2008, subsequent to this competitive RFP process, the Board of Supervisors approved the award of \$120,000,000¹ for seven technology agreements (Resolution No. 508-08), for a term of three years, from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2011, with options to extend the terms by two additional years, or through December 31, 2013 including:

- (a) Four agreements totaling \$48,000,000, each in an equal amount not-to-exceed \$12,000,000, for as-needed technology services and products related to such services with En Pointe Technology Sales Inc. (En Pointe), ComputerLand, Cornerstone Technology Partners, and Xtech Joint Venture (Xtech); and
- (b) Three agreements totaling \$72,000,000, each in an equal amount not-to-exceed \$24,000,000, for as-needed technology products only with En Pointe, ComputerLand and Xtech.

6,7 & 8-2

¹ According to Ms. Jaci Fong, Acting Director of OCA, the \$120,000,000 total for the agreements was based on the total technology store purchases over the prior three years including (a) \$48,000,000 for technology services and related products, and (b) \$72,000,000 for technology products only.

SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

Amendments to the Original Agreements

On October 9, 2009, OCA approved a First Amendment to the original not-to-exceed \$12,000,000 technology services and products agreement with Xtech to increase the authorized agreement by \$475,000 to \$12,475,000. On November 10, 2009, the Board of Supervisors approved a Second Amendment to this not-to-exceed \$12,475,000 Xtech agreement to increase the authorized agreement by \$27,525,000 to \$40,000,000 (Resolution No. 451-09)². On November 21, 2011, OCA approved a Third Amendment to this Xtech agreement to exercise the first option to extend the agreement by one year, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

On September 28, 2010, the Board of Supervisors approved a First Amendment to the original not-to-exceed \$24,000,000 technology products only agreement with Xtech to increase the authorized agreement by \$17,000,000 to \$41,000,000 (Resolution No. 458-10). On November 21, 2011, OCA approved a Second Amendment to the Xtech agreement to exercise the first option to extend the agreement by one year, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

On June 7, 2011, the Board of Supervisors approved a First Amendment to the original not-to-exceed \$24,000,000 technology products only agreement with En Pointe to increase the authorized agreement by \$4,000,000 to \$28,000,000. On November 21, 2011, OCA approved a Second Amendment to the En Pointe agreement to (a) increase the authorized amount by \$475,000 from \$28,000,000 to \$28,475,000, and (b) to exercise the first option to extend the agreement by one year, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The three proposed resolutions would authorize the Office of Contract Administration to enter into the following:

- (a) Third Amendment to the existing technology products only agreement between the City and Xtech to increase the existing authorization by \$10,000,000 from a not-to-exceed \$41,000,000 to a not-to-exceed \$51,000,000 (File 11-1309);
- (b) Fourth Amendment to the existing technology services and products related to such services agreement between the City and Xtech to increase the existing authorization by \$20,000,000 from a not-to-exceed \$40,000,000 to a not-to-exceed \$60,000,000³ (File 11-1310); and
- (c) Third Amendment to an existing as needed technology products only agreement between the City and En Pointe Technology Sales, Inc. to increase the existing authorization by \$9,525,000 from a not-to-exceed \$28,475,000 to a not-to-exceed \$38,000,000 (File 11-1311).

²I n accordance with the proposed resolution, on November 2, 2009, the Civil Service Commission approved this modification to authorize a not-to-exceed \$39,000,000 of technology services with Xtech, within this not-to-exceed \$40,000,000 agreement for technology services and products agreement.

In accordance with the proposed resolution, on September 14, 2011, the Department of Human Resources administratively authorized a not-to-exceed \$58,500,000 of technology services with Xtech, within the subject not-to-exceed \$60,000,000 agreement for technology services and products agreement with Xtech.

SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

The proposed amendments would not impact the existing terms of these three agreements, which were recently amended by OCA to extend the agreements by one year, from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012. All three agreements contain one more option to extend the term by one additional year through December 31, 2013.

FISCAL IMPACTS

All expenditures for technology services and related products and technology only product purchases are subject to separate appropriation approval for each City department by the Board of Supervisors.

As shown in Table 1 below, from January 1, 2009 through November 30, 2011, or 35 months, City departments expended a total of \$53,989,629 out of the total previously authorized combined not-to-exceed amount of \$76,000,000 for technology services and products related to such services. Based on the amounts reflected in Table 1 below, of the \$53,989,629 total expended by City departments through November 30, 2011, \$37,100,167 or 68.7 percent was for technology services and related products purchased from one vendor, Xtech. In comparison, of the \$53,989,629 total expended by City departments for technology services and related products through November 30, 2011, (a) \$5,315,741 or 9.8 percent was purchased from Computerland, (b) \$5,897,723 or 10.9 percent was purchased from Cornerstone Technology Partners, and (c) \$5,675,998 or 10.5 percent was purchased from En Pointe.

Table 1: Technology Services and Products Purchased by City Departments from January 1, 2009 through November 30, 2011 by Vendor and Contract Authorizations

Vendors	CY 2009	CY 2010	Year-to-Date CY 2011	TOTAL	% of Total	Original Contract Authorized	Amended Contract Authorized
Computerland	\$1,503,118	\$2,031,633	\$1,780,990	\$5,315,741	9.8%	\$12,000,000	\$12,000,000
Cornerstone Technology Partners	2,074,423	2,000,893	1,822,407	5,897,723	10.9%	12,000,000	12,000,000
En Pointe	3,117,571	1,791,909	766,518	5,675,998	10.5%	12,000,000	12,000,000
Xtech	12,623,621	14,660,420	9,816,126	37,100,167	68.7%	12,000,000	40,000,000
Total Services and Products	\$19,318,733	\$20,484,855	\$14,186,041	\$53,989,629	100%	\$48,000,000	\$76,000,000

As shown in Table 2 below, from January 1, 2009 through November 30, 2011, or 35 months, City departments expended a total of \$80,167,766 out of the total previously authorized combined not-to-exceed amount of \$93,475,000 for technology products only. Based on the amounts reflected in Table 2 below, of the \$80,167,766 total expended by City departments San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Budget and Legislative Analyst

6, 7 & 8–4

through November 30, 2011 for technology only products, (a) \$16,734,010 or 20.9 percent were purchased from Computerland, (b) \$26,876,768 or 33.5 percent were purchased from En Pointe, and (c) \$36,556,988 or 45.6 percent were purchased from Xtech.

Table 2: Technology Products Only Purchased by City Departments from January 1, 2009 through November 30, 2011 by Vendor and Contract Authorizations

	through 100	, 0222					
Vendors	CY 2009	CY 2010	Year-to- Date CY 2011	TOTAL	% of Total	Original Contract Authorized	Amended Contract Authorized
Computerland	\$4,680,685	\$6,434,837	\$5,618,488	\$16,734,010	20.9%	\$24,000,000	\$24,000,000
En Pointe	8,144,449	10,128,478	8,603,841	26,876,768	33.5%	24,000,000	28,475,000
Xtech	10,256,734	14,954,247	11,346,007	36,556,988	45.6%	24,000,000	41,000,000
Total Products Purchased	\$23,081,868	\$31,517,562	\$25,568,336	\$80,167,766	100%	\$72,000,000	\$93,475,000

As shown in Table 3 below, based on the 35 months that have elapsed from January 1, 2009 through November 30, 2011 under the existing agreements, City departments have purchased an average of (a) \$1,060,005 per month from Xtech for technology services and products, (b) \$767,908 per month from En Pointe for technology products only, and (c) \$1,044,485 per month from Xtech for technology products only. Assuming that City departments continue purchasing technology products and services at these same average monthly expenditure rates, over the entire 48-month terms of the existing agreements, or through December 31, 2012, as shown in Table 3 below, City departments would expend a total of approximately (a) \$50,880,240 from Xtech for technology services and products, (b) \$36,859,584 from En Pointe for technology products only, and (c) \$50,135,280 from Xtech for technology products only.

Table 3: Projected Authorizations Needed Through Based on Average Actual Monthly Expenditures from January 1, 2009 Through November 30, 2011

Expenditures from outday, 27	Xtech (Services and Products; File 11-1310)	En Pointe (Products only; File 11-1311)	Xtech (Products only; File 11-1309)
Actual Expenditures from January 1, 2009 through	\$37,100,167	\$26,876,768	\$36,556,988
November 30, 2011	/35 months	/35 months	/35 months
Agreement Term Elapsed	\$1,060,005	\$767,908	\$1,044,485
Average Monthly Expenditures Total Term of Agreement from January 1, 2009	x 48 months	x 48 months	x 48 months
Through December 31, 2012	\$50,880,240	\$36,859,584	\$50,135,280
Projected Total Expenditures	\$60,000,000	\$38,000,000	\$51,000,000
Requested Authorized Not-to-Exceed Amount Difference in Projections vs. Req uested Amounts	\$9,119,760	\$1,140,416	\$864,720

SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

According to Mr. Galen Leung, Supervising Purchaser for the Office of Contract Administration, the total requested authorized amounts are greater than the projections, as shown in Table 3 above, because of specific purchase orders from the Airport and the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) that are currently pending, and due to rounding. Mr. Leung advises that the three pending requests have already been bid through the City's Technology Store, and Xtech and En Pointe are the identified low bidders, as follows:

- (a) Xtech is the low bidder for the PUC's new Customer Care and Billing project, which will redesign the billing and collections systems for both the PUC's Water and Power systems, at an estimated total cost of \$9,000,000, under Xtech's technology services and products agreement (File 11-1310). As shown in Table 3 above, the difference between the projected total expenditures and the requested amount in the subject amendment for the Xtech services and products agreement is \$9,119,760 or only \$119,760 more than projected, if the subject \$9,000,000 additional pending request for the PUC is included.
- (b) En Pointe is the low bidder for the PUC's new networking hardware and software project, which is primarily to connect facilities with the new PUC building, at an estimated total cost of \$1,000,000, under En Pointe's products only agreement (File 11-1311). As shown in Table 3 above, the difference between the projected total expenditures and the requested amount in the subject amendment is \$1,140,416 or \$140,416 more than projected, if the subject \$1,000,000 additional pending request for the PUC is included.
- (c) Xtech is the low bidder for the Airport's new computer and networking equipment upgrade, estimated at \$800,000, under Xtech's products only agreement (File 11-1309). As shown in Table 3 above, the difference between the projected total expenditures and the requested amount in the subject amendment is \$864,720 or \$64,720 more than projected, if the subject \$800,000 pending request for the Airport is included.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Approve the three proposed resolutions.

City and County of San Francisco Office of Contract Administration Purchasing Division

Third Amendment

THIS AMENDMENT (this "Amendment") is made as of January 17, 2012, in San Francisco, California, by and between **En Pointe Technology Sales, Inc.**, ("Contractor"), and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation ("City"), acting by and through its Director of the Office of Contract Administration.

RECITALS

MOITIES
at the second of mod below); and
WHEREAS, City and Contractor have entered into the Agreement (as defined below); and
WHEREAS, City and Contractor desire to modify the Agreement on the terms and conditions set forth herein to increase the contract amount; and
WHEREAS, approval for this Amendment was obtained when the Board of Supervisors approved resolution number on January, 2012;
NOW, THEREFORE, Contractor and the City agree as follows:
1. Definitions. The following definitions shall apply to this Amendment:
1a. Agreement. The term "Agreement" shall mean the Agreement dated January 1, 2009 between Contractor and City, as amended by the
First amendment, dated June 17, 2011, and Second amendment, dated November 21, 2011.
1b. Other Terms. Terms used and not defined in this Amendment shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Agreement.
2. Modifications to the Agreement. The Agreement is hereby modified as follows:

Compensation. Section 5 of the Agreement, "Compensation", currently reads as

582 3

January 17, 2012

2a. follows:

P-550 (7-11)

5.

Compensation

Compensation shall be made by Ordering Departments in accordance with the terms of each Authorization for an Order. In no event shall the amount of this Agreement exceed \$28,475,000 (twenty eight million four hundred seventy five thousand dollars).

No charges shall be incurred under this Agreement nor shall any payments become due to Contractor until reports, services, or both, required under this Agreement are received from Contractor and approved by the Ordering Department or Purchasing as being in accordance with this Agreement. City may withhold payment to Contractor in any instance in which Contractor has failed or refused to satisfy any material obligation provided for under this Agreement.

Such section is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

5. Compensation

Compensation shall be made by Ordering Departments in accordance with the terms of each Authorization for an Order. In no event shall the amount of this Agreement exceed \$38,000,000 (thirty eight million dollars).

No charges shall be incurred under this Agreement nor shall any payments become due to Contractor until reports, services, or both, required under this Agreement are received from Contractor and approved by the Ordering Department or Purchasing as being in accordance with this Agreement. City may withhold payment to Contractor in any instance in which Contractor has failed or refused to satisfy any material obligation provided for under this Agreement.

- 3. Effective Date. Each of the modifications set forth in Section 2 shall be effective on and after the date of this amendment.
- 4. Legal Effect. Except as expressly modified by this Amendment, all of the terms and conditions of the Agreement shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Contractor and City I first referenced above.	have executed this Amendment as of the date
CITY	CONTRACTOR
Recommended by:	En Pointe Technology Sales, Inc.
Galen Leung Supervising Purchaser, IT Purchasing Office of Contract Administration	Mac McConnell Sales Manager 1 California Street, Suite 2800 San Francisco, CA 94111
	City vendor number: 58893
Approved as to Form: Dennis J. Herrera	
City Attorney	
By: Deputy City Attorney	
Approved:	
Jaci Fong Acting Director Office of Contract Administration	

City and County of San Francisco Office of Contract Administration **Purchasing Division** City Hall, Room 430 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4685 Complete copy of document is located in

File No. ///3//

Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and

En Pointe Technology Sales, Inc.

This Agreement is made this 1st day of January, 2009, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, by and between: En Pointe Technology Sales, Inc., 1 California Street, Suite 2800, San Francisco, CA. 94111, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as "City," acting by and through its Director of the Office of Contract Administration or the Director's designated agent, hereinafter referred to as "Purchasing."

Recitals

WHEREAS, the Office of Contract Administration ("Department") wishes to procure computer hardware, software, and associated manufacturer's warranty or support packages and other technology products; and,

WHEREAS, a Request for Proposal ("RFP") was issued on April 8, 2008, and City selected Contractor as one of the four highest qualified scorers pursuant to the RFP; and

WHEREAS, Contractor represents and warrants that it is qualified to perform the services required by City as set forth under this Contract; and,

WHEREAS, approval for this Agreement was obtained when the Board of Supervisors approved resolution number _______on December 10, 2008.

Now, THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:

DEFINITIONS:

AUTHORIZATION

Purchase Order or Blanket Purchase Order or Release against a Blanket Order of the City properly executed by City and certified by the Controller for the specific funding of an Order or any modification thereof,

CHANGE ORDER

A written instrument authorized in accordance with the requirements established by City that modifies an Order through an adjustment to one or more of the following: (i) the price, (ii) the product (iii) project schedule, (iv) the project scope of work, or (v) the acceptance criteria.

1/1/2009

FORM SFEC-126: NOTIFICATION OF CONTRACT APPROVAL (S.F. Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code § 1.126) ation (Please print clearly.)

City Elective Officer Information (Please print clearly.)	CC (-) 1 -11.
Name of City elective officer(s):	City elective office(s) held:
Members, SF Board of Supervisors	Members, SF Board of Supervisors
Contractor Information (Please print clearly.)	
Name of contractor: En Pointe Technology Sales Inc.	
Please list the names of (1) members of the contractor's board of diffinancial officer and chief operating officer; (3) any person who has any subcontractor listed in the bid or contract; and (5) any political additional pages as necessary. 1.) En Pointe is a private company and does not have a board 2.) Bob Din, CEO, Javed Latif, CFO, Shahzad Munawar, COO 3.). Ownership in excess of 20%: Bob Din, Naureen Din 4.) No subcontractor listed in contract, other than HRC certification. There is no political committee sponsored or controlled by	of directors. O. ed list
Contractor address: 1 California St., Ste. 2800, San Francisco, CA/HQ: 18701 S. Figuer	
Date that contract was approved:	Amount of contract: \$28,475,000 to \$38,000,000.
Comments:	
his contract was approved by (check applicable):	
This contract was approved by (check applicable): I the City elective officer(s) identified on this form So board on which the City elective officer(s) serves San F	Francisco Board of Supervisors
his contract was approved by (check applicable): I the City elective officer(s) identified on this form a board on which the City elective officer(s) servesSan F	Print Name of Board nority Commission, Industrial Development Authority ion, Relocation Appeals Board, Treasure Island
his contract was approved by (check applicable): I the City elective officer(s) identified on this form a board on which the City elective officer(s) servesSan F I the board of a state agency (Health Authority, Housing Auth Board, Parking Authority, Redevelopment Agency Commissi Development Authority) on which an appointee of the City el	Print Name of Board nority Commission, Industrial Development Authority ion, Relocation Appeals Board, Treasure Island lective officer(s) identified on this form sits
his contract was approved by (check applicable): I the City elective officer(s) identified on this form a board on which the City elective officer(s) serves San F I the board of a state agency (Health Authority, Housing Auth Board, Parking Authority, Redevelopment Agency Commissi Development Authority) on which an appointee of the City el Print Name of Board Filer Information (Please print clearly.) Name of filer:	Print Name of Board nority Commission, Industrial Development Authority ion, Relocation Appeals Board, Treasure Island
his contract was approved by (check applicable): I the City elective officer(s) identified on this form a board on which the City elective officer(s) servesSan F I the board of a state agency (Health Authority, Housing Auth Board, Parking Authority, Redevelopment Agency Commissi Development Authority) on which an appointee of the City el	Print Name of Board nority Commission, Industrial Development Authority ion, Relocation Appeals Board, Treasure Island lective officer(s) identified on this form sits Contact telephone number:
his contract was approved by (check applicable): the City elective officer(s) identified on this form a board on which the City elective officer(s) serves San F the board of a state agency (Health Authority, Housing Auth Board, Parking Authority, Redevelopment Agency Commissi Development Authority) on which an appointee of the City el Print Name of Board Filer Information (Please print clearly.) Name of filer: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board Address: City Hall, Room 244	Print Name of Board nority Commission, Industrial Development Authority ion, Relocation Appeals Board, Treasure Island lective officer(s) identified on this form sits Contact telephone number: (415) 554-5184 E-mail:
This contract was approved by (check applicable): I the City elective officer(s) identified on this form I a board on which the City elective officer(s) serves San F I the board of a state agency (Health Authority, Housing Auth Board, Parking Authority, Redevelopment Agency Commissi Development Authority) on which an appointee of the City elective of the City elective filer Information (Please print clearly.) Name of filer: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board Address: City Hall, Room 244	Print Name of Board nority Commission, Industrial Development Authority ion, Relocation Appeals Board, Treasure Island lective officer(s) identified on this form sits Contact telephone number: (415) 554-5184 E-mail: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org