File	No	.1	20	02	5

Committee Item	1 No	· <u> </u>
Board Item No.	30	<u> </u>

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date January 3, 2012	
Cmte Board			
	Ordinance		
	Resolution	•	
	Motion		
	Hearing		
	Introduction Form		
	Legislative Digest		•
	Department/Agency Cove	er Letter and/or Report	
	MOU		
	Grant Information Form		
	Grant Budget		
	Ethics Form 126		
	Subcontract Budget		
H H	Contract/Agreement		
H H	Award Letter		
	Application		
	Budget Analyst Report		
	Legislative Analyst Repo	rt	*1,
H H	Youth Commission Repo		
H H	Correspondence		
OTHER	(Use back side if addition	nal space is needed)	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
			the second
		<u> </u>	
	. A I. A I		0040
	by: Andrea Ausberry	Date January 18,	<u>2012</u>
Completed	by:	Date	

^{*}An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

Opposing the United States Supreme Court's Decision in Citizens United and Supporting Related United States Constitutional Amendment

Resolution opposing the United States Supreme Court's interpretation of the Constitution in Citizens United regarding the rights of corporations and supporting an amendment to the Constitution to provide that corporations are not entitled to the entirety of protections of natural persons.

WHEREAS, Free and fair elections are the foundation of American democracy; and WHEREAS, In Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission, the Supreme Court held that independent spending on elections by corporations and other groups could not be limited by government regulations, a decision that allows for unlimited corporate spending in elections; and

WHEREAS, In reaching its decision in Citizens United, the Supreme Court interpreted the First Amendment of the Constitution to afford corporations the same free speech protections as natural persons; and

WHEREAS, The Citizens United decision has proven to be one of the Court's most controversial decisions and supersedes state and local efforts to regulate corporate activity in their campaign finance laws; and

21

24

25

WHEREAS, In his eloquent dissent, Justice John Paul Stevens stated that '[c]orporations have no consciences, no beliefs, no feelings, no thoughts, no desires, Corporations help structure and facilitate the activities of human beings, to be sure, and their personhood often serves as a useful legal fiction. But they are not themselves members of

'We the People' by whom and for whom our Constitution was established"; and

WHEREAS, Members of Congress are seeking to amend the Constitution in order to reverse the *Citizens United* decision and establish that corporations are not entitled to the entirety of protections of natural persons; and

WHEREAS, Several dozen municipalities, including New York City, Los Angeles, and Oakland, have successfully passed resolutions opposing the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Constitution in *Citizens United* and supporting Constitutional amendments; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco has a long history of demonstrating support for ensuring free and fair elections, such as with its public campaign finance policy, as well as other ethics and electoral reforms; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors hereby declares its opposition to the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Constitution in *Citizens United* regarding the rights of corporations; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors joins other cities in calling on Congress to begin the process of amending the Constitution to provide that corporations are not entitled to the entirety of protections or "rights" of natural persons, specifically so that the expenditure of corporate money to influence the electoral process is no longer a form of constitutionally protected speech.

INTRODUCTION FORM

By a member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

Time Stamp or Meeting Date

I hereby sub	omit the following item for introduction:	
□ 1. Fo	or reference to Committee:	
· · ·	An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment	
 	equest for next printed agenda without reference to Committee	•
☐ 3. R	equest for Committee hearing on a subject matter	
3. Rd 4. Rd 5. Cd 6. Cd 7. Bd 8. Sd 9. Rd 10. B	equest for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. C	ity Attorney request	
☐ 6. C	all file from Committee	
7. B	udget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
∐ 8. Sī	ubstitute Legislation File Nos.	
9. R	equest for Closed Session	•
∐ 10. B	oard to Sit as A Committee of the Whole	
☐ 11. Q	uestion(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS of	on
	the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be for	warded to the
following:		
☐ Sma	ll Business Commission	
L Ethic	cs Commission	
☐ Build	ding Inspection Commission	
Note: For the	E Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a diffe	rent form.]
Sponsor(s):	Supervisor David Chiu	· .
Subject:	Resolution opposing the US Supreme Court's Decision in Citizens United	and supporting
	related US Constitutional amendment.	
- T-		
The text is li	isted below or attached:	
		•
•		
		i'
•	Service:	
	rure of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's	Use Only:	

392

Common/Supervisors Form

Revised 05/19/11
/2002S