File No.	111	1189
----------	-----	------

Committee Item No	2	
Board Item No		-

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Rules	Date	2/16/11
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date	
Cmte Boa	ırd		
	Motion		
	Resolution		
	Ordinance		
	Legislative Digest		
	Budget Analyst Report		
	Legislative Analyst Report		
	Youth Commission Report		
	Introduction Form (for hearing		
	Department/Agency Cover Le	tter and/or Report	
	MOU		
	Grant Information Form		
	Grant Budget	•	
	Subcontract Budget		
H	Contract/Agreement Award Letter		
	Application	•	
	Public Correspondence		
	rubiic correspondence		
OTHER	(Use back side if additional sp	pace is needed)	
	<u> </u>		
Completed I	y: Linda Wong	Date <u>2/13/11</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Completed I	oy:	Date	

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Article 58, Sections 58.1 through 58.3, to: 1) declare San Francisco to be a Right to Civil Counsel City; and 2) declare the intent of the Board of Supervisors to create a one-year San Francisco Right to Civil Counsel pilot program.

NOTE:

[Administrative Code – Right to Counsel In Civil Matters]

Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u>; deletions are <u>strike through italics Times New Roman</u>. Board amendment additions are <u>double-underlined</u>; Board amendment deletions are <u>strikethrough normal</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors finds and declares as follows:

- 1. The United States Supreme Court has declared that "there can be no equal justice where the kind of trial a [person] gets depends on the amount of money [s]he has," Griffin v. Illinois, 351 U.S. 12, 19 (1956), and that "there are fundamental principles of liberty and justice which lie at the base of all our civil and political institutions . . . The right to the aid of counsel is of this fundamental character," Powell v. Alabama, 287 U.S. 45, 67-68 (1932).
- 2. The adversary system of justice allocates to the parties the primary responsibility for discovering the relevant evidence and legal principles and presenting them to a neutral judge or jury, and discharging these responsibilities requires the knowledge and skill of a legally trained professional.
- 3. The interests at stake in civil cases can be significant, involving human needs such as child custody, shelter, sustenance, safety or health, and in such instances, there exists an inherent unfairness if a case goes forward with one side represented and the other side unrepresented.

- 4. In 1978, President Jimmy Carter reminded the national legal community that 90 percent of American lawyers serve the top 10 percent of all Americans, a statistic that has only skewed worse over the past three decades.
- 5. Over 4.3 million court users in California are self-represented and the barriers to justice are especially severe in family law court where 80 percent of litigants do not have counsel at the time of disposition, in unlawful detainer (housing) cases where over 90 percent of defendants are self-represented and in domestic violence and restraining order cases where litigants are reported to be pro se over 90 percent of the time.
- 6. Six of ten persons of the middle class and eight of ten who live below the poverty line nationwide are unrepresented in civil proceedings, including proceedings with as dire circumstances as losing custody of a child.
- 7. The trial courts are in the best position to determine, in the exercise of their discretion, when counsel in civil cases should be provided to ensure the litigants have equal access to justice.
- 8. Affording low-income litigants with counsel provides both equal justice to low-income persons entangled in civil proceedings and also provides relief to the courts themselves.
- 9. Indeed, recent studies have concluded that providing civil legal services "will have a positive, net economic impact" by improving the efficiency and effectiveness of not only the courts but saving state and local government millions of dollars in costs from responding to the effects of evictions, homelessness and disruption of family life. "Report to the Chief Judge of the State of New York." (November 2010). Another study found that "for every direct dollar expended in the state for indigent civil legal services, the overall annual gains to the economy are found to be \$7.42 in total spending which, in turn, generates approximately \$30.5 million

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- 10. In Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335 (1963), the Supreme Court unanimously ruled that state courts are required under the Sixth Amendment of the Constitution to provide counsel in criminal cases for defendants who are unable to afford their own attorneys. The stakes at issue in some civil matters involving human needs can be more serious than minor criminal offenses in which the person enjoys a constitutional right to counsel at public expense. Therefore, many have called for a corresponding "Civil Gideon."
- 11. San Francisco statistics suggest the need for counsel in civil cases. In the San Francisco Unified Family Court, more than 2,800 dissolution (divorce) actions were filed in 2009. Of those actions, both parties were represented in only 8 percent of the cases. In that same year, 95 percent of child support cases were filed by those who were self-represented.
- 12. Legal services organizations in San Francisco are unable to meet the increased demand in unlawful detainer (eviction) cases against the City's most vulnerable, low-income families living in public housing units. The number of eviction lawsuits filed by the San Francisco Housing Authority has increased by 400 percent from those filed in 2010.
- 13. The Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Act (AB590) provides that pilot projects selected by the Judicial Council of California will be funded to provide legal representation and improved court services to low income parties on critical legal issues affecting basic human needs. One of seven pilot projects selected by the Judicial Counsel is based out of the Superior Court of San Francisco County's Unified Family Law Court. In 2006, the American Bar Association issued a statement backing civil Gideon.
- 14. The two top legal service organizations in San Francisco the Bar Association of San Francisco Voluntary Legal Services Program and the Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights

- estimate that the legal community, through these two organizations alone, provided the equivalent of approximately \$30 million in pro bono legal services in civil cases in 2010.

Section 2. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Article 58, Sections 58.1 through 58.3, to read as follows:

SEC. 58.1. DECLARATION OF POLICY.

The City and County and San Francisco hereby declares itself the first "Right to Civil Counsel City" in the United States. This title is intended to represent the City and County's firm commitment to creating a local judicial system that provides representation to all residents involved in civil proceedings that could deny them basic human needs, such as child custody, shelter, sustenance, safety or health, regardless of their income or ability to pay.

The City and County of San Francisco declares its intent to work with the Courts, the Bar

Association of San Francisco, and interested persons to progress steadily toward the goal of providing counsel whenever the court, in its discretion, believes that such counsel would assist in the fair administration of justice. This declaration is not intended to immediately establish a right to counsel in civil proceedings, but rather it is a codification of the beginning of a firm commitment to this eventual goal.

SEC. 58.2. ESTABLISHMENT OF RIGHT TO CIVIL COUNSEL PILOT PROGRAM.

Within six months of the effective date of this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors shall consider recommendations regarding the creation of a San Francisco Right to Civil Counsel Pilot Program ("Pilot Program") to be administered by the City and to take place for one year in FY 2012-2013.

SEC. 58.3. RIGHT TO CIVIL COUNSEL PILOT PROGRAM FUNDING, ADMINISTRATION, AND EVALUATION.

Supervisor Chiu, Kim, Wiener BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

24

25

It shall be City policy that in FY 2012-2013, the City's fiscal commitment to the Pilot Program shall be limited to the cost of a single staff person for supporting program coordination among the City, the Superior Court, non-profit organizations and others involved in the Pilot Program. The legal services provided pursuant to the Pilot Program will be provided by pro bono and legal services attorneys. At the conclusion of the Pilot Program, the Board of Supervisors may choose to undertake an evaluation of the Pilot Program. If the evaluation finds that the Pilot Program is successful, the Board of Supervisors shall consider extending, expanding, or making permanent the work of the Pilot Program.

Section 3. Additional Provisions.

- (a) Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the date of passage.
- (b) General Welfare. In adopting and implementing this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.
- (c) Conflict with State or Federal Law. This ordinance shall be construed so as not to conflict with applicable federal or State laws, rules or regulations. Nothing in this ordinance shall authorize any City agency or department to impose any duties or obligations in conflict with limitations on municipal authority established by State or federal law at the time such agency or department action is taken.
- (d) Severability. If any of the provisions of this ordinance or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of those provisions, including the application of such part or provisions to persons or circumstances other than those to which it

1	
2	
3	
4 5 6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

is held invalid, shall not be affected thereby and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative Code – Right to Counsel In Civil Matters]

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Article 58, Sections 58.1 through 58.3, to: (1) declare San Francisco to be a Right to Civil Counsel City; (2) declare the intent of the Board of Supervisors to create a one-year San Francisco Right to Civil Counsel Pilot program.

Existing Law

Federal law requires states to provide counsel for the accused in a criminal proceeding when the accused cannot afford an attorney. There is no similar right in a civil proceeding.

Amendments to Current Law

The proposed ordinance includes a declaration of policy in which the City declares its intent to work with the Courts, the Bar Association of San Francisco and interested persons to provide counsel in civil proceedings whenever the court "in its discretion believes that such counsel would assist in the fair administration of justice."

The proposed ordinance further provides that within six months of its effective date, the Board of Supervisors shall consider recommendations regarding the creation of a San Francisco Right to Civil Counsel Pilot Program to be administered by the City.

The proposed ordinance includes a further declaration of City policy: in fiscal year 2012-2013, the City's fiscal commitment to the Pilot Program shall be limited to the cost of a single staff person to coordinate the program, and that the legal services provided under the Pilot Program will be provided by pro bono and legal services attorneys.

Background Information

Federal law requires states to provide counsel for the accused in a criminal proceeding when the accused cannot afford an attorney. But in many civil cases, the interests at stake are significant, involving human needs such as child custody, shelter, sustenance, safety or health. In such cases there may exist an inherent unfairness if a case goes forward with one side represented and the other side unrepresented. Therefore, the City intends to work with the Courts, Bar Association and other interested parties to provide a mechanism for the provision of legal counsel in such civil cases.