Board Item No.

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Board of Supervisors Meeting | Date: March 13, 2012 |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Cmte Board Motion Resolution Crdinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form (for hearing Department/Agency Cover Legislative MOU | ngs) |
| Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence | |
| OTHER: | |
| Completed by: Annette Lonich Dat | e: March 8, 2012 |
| An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to The complete document is in the file. | a document that exceeds 25 pages. |



City and County of San Francisco Master Report

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

| File Number: 120177 | File Type: Hearing | Status: Special Order |
|----------------------|--|---|
| Enacted: | | Effective: |
| Version: 1 | In Control: Board of Supervisors | |
| File Name: Formal Po | olicy Discussions - March 13, 2012 | Date Introduced: 03/06/2012 |
| Requester: | Cost: | Final Action: |
| Comment: | Administrat answer the Supervisors | Charter Sections 2.103 and 3.100(7), and ive Code Section 2.11, the Mayor shall following eligible questions submitted from a representing District's 3, 5, 9, and 11. The address the Board initially for up to five |

Supervisor.

Office of Small Business."

1. Mr. Mayor, in November 2007, the voters of San Francisco passed Proposition I, which created the Office of Small Business to support small businesses, among other things, in complying with the laws and regulations administered by the 14 City departments that impact small business. As drafted by the Small Business Commission, Prop I specifically stated that within four months of the Office's opening, the Office "shall issue a report that analyzes the existing laws, regulations, roles, procedures and responsibilities of all City departments that impact small businesses and make recommendations regarding the streamlining and consolidation of such departmental functions under the

minutes. Discussion shall not exceed five minutes per

However, as of today, four years later, the Office has not issued that report, nor made any such recommendations, and the executive branch has not yet engaged in efforts to streamline or consolidate the functions of the 14 different City departments from which 80,000 small businesses must seek approvals to do business in San Francisco.

Mr. Mayor, will you commit to carrying out the mandates of Proposition I to streamline City government to help small businesses, and will you commit to delivering a report within the next four months with recommendations that you will act on? (Supervisor Chiu, District 3)

2. With the dissolution of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency on February 1, 2012, and the

new formation of the Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board, what are your plans to ensure that community voices will be heard through the Community Advisory Committees in a meaningful way, despite the lack of staffing and shortage of resources? (Supervisor Olague, District 5)

- 3. There have been news articles claiming that you plan to attach strings to the release of any rainy day funds to the San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD). Specifically, the articles claim that you will not release funds that will help SFUSD avoid teacher lay-offs unless the district sells off its surplus property. Whether selling surplus property is a good way of budgeting is an open question. Those of us who have supported the rainy day fund are concerned that these comments, if true, are inconsistent with the letter and spirit of the rainy day fund, which already outlines how the funds are supposed to be distributed. Can you please explain your position, and what would you say to the thousands of parents who may be concerned about what has been reported? (Supervisor Campos, District 9)
- 4. Although District 11 has some great parks and we are adjacent to San Francisco's second largest park -McLaren, our streets lack the robust urban forest that other districts benefit from. I have worked closely with the Department of Public Works and Friends of the Urban Forest to increase our district's green space and green canopy, however, there is much more we can do. Given that we just celebrated the 140th Anniversary of Arbor Day in District 11 this past weekend, I would like to know what the City's intention is towards completing the Alemany Street Greening Project. Currently, the median has only been greened from Lyell to Niagara. Does the City have plans to green the remainder of Alemany from Niagara to the Daly City border? And if so, what is the timeline for this plan? (Supervisor John Avalos, District 11)

History of Legislative File 120177

 Ver
 Acting Body
 Date
 Action
 Sent To
 Due Date
 Result

 1
 President
 02/27/2012 ASSIGNED
 Board of Supervisors

Text of Legislative File 120177

Print Form

Introduction Form RECEIVED By a member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor AN FRANCISCO

| I here | reby submit the following item for introduction: | eting date |
|-------------|---|---|
| | 1. For reference to Committee: | |
| | An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment | · |
| | 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee | |
| | 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee: | |
| | 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor inc | quires" |
| | 5. City Attorney request | |
| | 6. Call file from Committee | |
| _ · | 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion). | |
| | 8. Substitute Legislation File No. | |
| | 9 Request for Closed Session: | Maria de la Maria de la Salada de la Caraca d La Caraca de la Caraca d |
| | 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole | |
| \boxtimes | 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on March 13, 2012 | |
| Pieas | ase check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: Small Business Commission Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission | n |
| Note: | For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different form. | |
| Sponse | sor(s): | · |
| Super | ervisor David Chiu | |
| Subje | | |
| Imple | ementation of Proposition I to Help Small Businesses in San Francisco | - |
| The to | text is listed below or attached: | |
| See at | attached. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| <u></u> | Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: | |
| For C | Clerk's Use Only: | |

President, Board of Supervisors District 3



City and County of San Francisco

DAVID CHIU 邱信福 市參事會主席

Question for Mayoral Appearance at the Board of Supervisors on March 13, 2012

Mr. Mayor, in November 2007, the voters of San Francisco passed Proposition I, which created the Office of Small Business to support small businesses, among other things, in complying with the laws and regulations administered by the 14 City departments that impact small business. As drafted by the Small Business Commission, Prop I specifically stated that within four months of the Office's opening, the Office "shall issue a report that analyzes the existing laws, regulations, roles, procedures and responsibilities of all City departments that impact small businesses and make recommendations regarding the streamlining and consolidation of such departmental functions under the Office of Small Business."

However, as of today, four years later, the Office has not issued that report, nor made any such recommendations, and the executive branch has not yet engaged in efforts to streamline or consolidate the functions of the 14 different City departments from which 80,000 small businesses must seek approvals to do business in San Francisco.

Mr. Mayor, will you commit to carrying out the mandates of Proposition I to streamline City government to help small businesses, and will you commit to delivering a report within the next four months with recommendations that you will act on?

Print Form

Introduction Form OARD OF SUPERVISORS By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor RECEIVED RECEIVED SAN FRANCISCO

| | 2012 MAR - 7 | A Time stamp or meeting date |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one): | 51. | W Of meeting date |
| 1. For reference to Committee: | | |
| An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment. | | |
| 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee | • | |
| 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee: | | |
| 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor | | inquires" |
| 5. City Attorney request. | | |
| ☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee. | | |
| 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion). | | en e |
| 8. Substitute Legislation File No. | Allen une | |
| 9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion). | | and the second s |
| ☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| □ 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS of | on Tuesday, Ma | arch 13, 2012 |
| Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be for | | |
| Small Business Commission Youth Commission | | Commission |
| ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building | Inspection Com | mission |
| Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agen | da), use a differ | ent form. |
| ponsor(s): | u . | |
| Olague | | |
| Subject: | | The second secon |
| Mayoral Question (District 5) | | |
| | | |
| The text is listed below or attached: | | |
| With the dissolution of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency on Febru Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board, what are your plans to heard through the Community Advisory Committees in a meaningful way, of resources? | ensure that con | nmunity voices will be |
| Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: | | |
| For Clerk's Use Only: | | |

RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO

INTRODUCTION FORM

By a member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor 17

7 AM 11:58

Time Stamp or Meeting Date

| I hereby submit the following item for introduction: |
|--|
| 1. For reference to Committee: |
| 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee |
| Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee: |
| 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor inquires" |
| 5. City Attorney request |
| 6. Call file from Committee |
| 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion). |
| 8. Substitute Legislation File Nos. |
| 9. Request for Closed Session |
| 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole |
| 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on 3/13/12 |
| Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: |
| ☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Planning Commission |
| Building Inspection Commission |
| Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different form.] |
| Sponsor(s): Campos |
| Subject: Release of Rainy Day Funds to SFUSD |
| |
| The text is listed below or attached: |
| There have been news articles claiming that you plan to attach strings to the release of any rainy day funds to the San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD). Specifically, the articles claim that you will not release funds that will help SFUSD avoid teacher lay-offs unless the district sells off its surplus property. Whether selling surplus property is a good way of budgeting is an open question. Those of us who have supported the rainy day fund are concerned that these comments, if true, are inconsistent with the letter and spirit of the rainy day fund, which already outlines how the funds are supposed to be distributed. Can you please explain your position, and what would you say to the thousands of parents who may be concerned about what has been reported? |
| Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: For Clerk's Use Only: |

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

| I herel | by submit the following item for introduction (select only one): | or meeting date |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | 1. For reference to Committee: | |
| | An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment. | |
| | 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee. | |
| | 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee: | B 0 A |
| | 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor | inquires' |
| | 5. City Attorney request. | 7 70 |
| | 6. Call File No. from Committee. | |
| | 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion). | Social |
| | 8. Substitute Legislation File No. | a 35 |
| | 9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion). | |
| - Impurity | 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole. | |
| \boxtimes | 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on March 13, 2012 | |
| Please | e check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the follow Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Comm | ing: nission |
| | ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission | on |
| Note: 1 | For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different fo | orm. |
| Sponso | or(s): | |
| Superv | visor John Avalos | |
| Subjec | t: | |
| Mayor | ral Question (District 11) | |
| The te | xt is listed below or attached: | |
| streets the Ur | lack the robust urban forest that other districts benefit from. I have worked closely with D ban Forest to increase our district's green space and green canopy, however, there is much that we celebrated the 140th Anniversary of Arbor Day in District 11 this past weekend, I | OPW and Friends of more we can do. |

the City's intention towards completing the Alemany Street Greening Project. Currently, the median has only been greened from Lyell to Niagara. Does the City have plans to green the remainder of Alemany from Niagara to the

Daly City border? And if so, what is the timeline for this plan?

Page 1 of 2

Time stamp

Signatur Sponsoring Supervisor:

For Clerk's Use Only:

Page 2 of 2

| <u>.</u> |
|----------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |