The complete document is in the file.

Board Item No. 3 9

## **COMMITTÉE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Board of Supervisors Meeting Date: June 5, 2012
Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence
OTHER:
Completed by: Annette Lonich Date: May 31, 2012
An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages.

**Print Form** 

## **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	orniceting date: 40
☐ 1. For reference to Committee:	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.  2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee:	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	•
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	
☐ 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the folloon Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Com  Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission	umission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different	
ponsor(s):	
Supervisor David Chiu	
Subject:	
Extending Planning Commission Review for File No. 120220	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The text is listed below or attached:	
Resolution extending by 30 days the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission means on a Ordinance (File No. 120220) amending the San Francisco Planning Code by 1) amending \$135.3(g), and 138(i) to impose additional signage requirements for privately owned publicly-acceptance (2) amending Section 603(k) to make a conforming amendment; and 3) making environmental fraction for the section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with General Plan and Planning Code Section 302 findings.	Sections 135(h)(4), cessible open spaces; indings, Planning
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: Dawd Chui	
For Clark's Hea Only	

120586

1	[Approval of a 30-Day Extension for Planning Commission Review of Signage Required for
2	Privately-Owned Publicly Accessible Open Spaces - File No. 120220]
3	Resolution extending by 30 days the prescribed time within which the Planning
4	Commission may render its decision on a Ordinance (File No. 120220) amending the
5	San Francisco Planning Code by 1) amending Sections 135(h)(4), 135.3(g), and 138(i) to
6	impose additional signage requirements for privately owned publicly-accessible open
7	spaces; 2) amending Section 603(k) to make a conforming amendment; and 3) making
8	environmental findings, Planning Code Section 302 findings, and findings of
9	consistency with General Plan and Planning Code Section 101.1.
10	•
11	WHEREAS, On March 6, 2012, Supervisor Chiu introduced legislation amending the
12	San Francisco Planning Code that by 1) amending Sections 135(h)(4), 135.3(g), and 138(i) to
13	impose additional signage requirements for privately owned publicly-accessible open spaces;
14	2) amending Section 603(k) to make a conforming amendment; and 3) making environmental
15	findings, Planning Code Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with General Plan
16	and Planning Code Section 101.1; and
17	WHEREAS, On or about March 15, 2012, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
18	referred the proposed ordinance to the Planning Commission, which currently is reviewing the
19	proposed ordinance; and
20	WHEREAS, The Board, in accordance with Planning Code Section 306.4(d) may, by
21	Resolution, extend the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission is to render its

decision on proposed amendments to the Planning Code that the Board of Supervisors

WHEREAS, Supervisor Chiu has requested additional time for the Planning

Commission to review the proposed Ordinance; and

initiates; and

22

23

24

25

1	WHEREAS, The Board deems it appropriate in this instance to grant to the Planning
2	Commission additional time to review the proposed Ordinance and render its decision; now,
3	therefore, be it
4	RESOLVED, That by this Resolution, the Board hereby extends the prescribed time
5	within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on the proposed Ordinance for
6	approximately 30 additional days, until July 13, 2012.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 ·	
22	
23	
24	
25	