File No	120559	Committee Item No	2	
	*	Board Item No.		

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee	PUBLIC SAFETY	Date	6/7/12
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date	
Cmte Boa	rd		
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for heari Department/Agency Cover I MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence		t
OTHER	(Use back side if additional		3/1/12
Completed I	oy: <u>Gail Johnson</u> oy:	Date <u>6</u> Date	<u>//1/12</u>

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

[Police Code - Prohibiting Obstructing Sidewalks Adjacent to White Zones]

2

1

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Police Code by adding Section 65 to prohibit obstructing sidewalks adjacent to white colored curb zones and establish exceptions to the prohibition; and adopting environmental findings.

6

7

12

18

19

23

25

24

Supervisors Campos, Avalos **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u>;

deletions are strike-through italies Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double-underlined: Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120559 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. The San Francisco Police Code is hereby amended by adding Section 65, to read as follows:

Sec. 65. OBSTRUCTING PASSENGER LOADING ZONES

(a) Findings.

NOTE:

- San Francisco is a dense, urban environment that, like many large cities, experiences both heavy motor vehicle traffic on streets and heavy pedestrian traffic on sidewalks, particularly in areas with concentrated commercial businesses and other facilities open to the public.
- Reducing traffic congestion on City streets and maintaining a smooth flow of pedestrian traffic and access to authorized commercial businesses and other facilities on public sidewalks are essential to public safety, thriving neighborhoods and a vital economy in the City.

Page 1 5/22/2012

(3) The need to control pede	strian and commercial traffic is greatest during the hours of
operation of businesses, shops, restaura	unts, and other organizations and commercial enterprises, when
streets and public sidewalks are congest	ted, and when City residents are most likely to use their
neighborhood sidewalks.	

- (4) Various entities, including for example, restaurants, hotels, apartment buildings, schools, religious institutions, health care facilities, and adult and child day care facilities, have significant numbers of customers or patrons who are picked up or dropped off by motor vehicles.

 These entities may request that the City, through its Municipal Transportation Agency ("MTA"), establish a "white zone," which is a passenger loading area at the street curb fronting the entity.

 White zones require payment of a fee, a public hearing and approval by the City's Traffic Engineer.
- (5) By facilitating access to businesses, shops, organizations and services, white zones reduce the number of vehicles double parked on City streets and obviate the need for drivers to circle blocks in search of a parking space in order to drop off or pick up passengers. White zones serve an important role in reducing traffic congestion, improving vehicular and pedestrian safety, and reducing motor vehicle emissions.
- (6) An individual's placement of physical items, including, but not limited to, materials, objects, substances, or articles of personal property on a white zone curb or on a sidewalk adjacent to a white zone, can prevent or impede a passenger's ability to enter or exit a vehicle stopped in the white zone, or his or her unobstructed passage across the sidewalk between the white zone and the adjacent building, which in turn endangers the safety of motor vehicle passengers and other members of the public seeking to use these zones, especially seniors, individuals with a disability, including individuals with mobility, vision, or hearing impairments, and children and their parents or guardians, and frustrates the very purposes of the white zone.
- (7) Existing laws that prohibit the intentional, willful or malicious obstruction of pedestrians on sidewalks do not adequately address the safety hazards, disruption and impediments to

Supervisors Campos, Avalos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 3 5/22/2012

<u>(c)</u>	Prohibition.	It shall be unlawful to	o Obstruct a Wi	<u>uite Zone Curb or</u>	r Adjacent Sidewalk in
front of an	y Business or Fa	cility at any time durir	ig which use of	the parking spac	e adjacent to the
White Zone	e Curb is restrici	ted to passenger loadir	ng and unloadin	<u>g.</u>	

- Exceptions. The prohibition in Subsection (c) shall not apply to the placement of:
- Any property placed on or affixed to an Adjacent Sidewalk by a governmental entity, a public utility, or the Joint Pole Authority, including but not limited to telephone, electrical and light poles, traffic control and directional signs and devices, parking meters, fire hydrants, emergency call boxes. United States Postal Service mail receptacles or boxes, public transportation shelters, benches and identifying signs, bicycle racks, and bicycles stored in such racks;
- Any property or equipment that the City authorizes in accordance with the Public Works Code or under any permit from, or regulations or orders issued by, the Director of Public Works.
- Physical items on a White Zone Curb or Adjacent Sidewalk in the course of operating or patronizing a commercial establishment conducted on an Adjacent Sidewalk pursuant to a sidewalk
- Physical items on a White Zone Curb or Adjacent Sidewalk in the course of participating in or attending a parade, festival, performance, or similar event conducted in the street or on a public sidewalk pursuant to and in compliance with a street use or other applicable permit.
 - (5) Any personal property required by a person for personal mobility or medical purposes.
- Notification. A peace officer may not cite a person for violating this Section unless the person engages in conduct this Section prohibits after a peace officer has notified the person that the
- Penalty. Any person violating Subsection (c) of this Section shall be guilty of an infraction and shall be punished by a fine not to exceed one hundred dollars (\$100) for a first violation. two hundred dollars (\$200) for a second violation of Subsection (c) within a year of a first violation,

Supervisors Campos, Avalos **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

. 1	and five hundred dollars (\$500) for each additional violation of Subsection (c) within a year of a first
2	violation.
3	(g) Other laws and orders. Nothing in this Section shall be construed to permit willfully and
4	substantially obstructing the free passage on the sidewalk of any person in violation of State or local
5	<u>law.</u>
6	(h) Disclaimer. In undertaking the adoption and implementation of this Ordinance, the City
7	is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. The City is not assuming, nor is it
8	imposing on its officer and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages
9	to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.
10	(i) Severability. If any subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this Section be for
11	any reason declared unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction,
12	such decision shall not affect the validity or the effectiveness of the remaining portions of this Section
13	or any part thereof.
14	
15	Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the
16	date of passage.
17	
18	Section 4. In enacting this Ordinance, the Board intends to amend only those words, phrases,
19	paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation, charts, diagrams, or any
20	other constituent part of the Name of Code here Code that are explicitly shown in this
21	111
22	111
23	111
24	
25	111
	Supervisors Campos, Avalos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 5

legislation as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the legislation.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

DAVID A. GREENBURG Deputy City Attorney

Supervisors Campos, Avalos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Police Code- Prohibiting Obstructing Sidewalks Adjacent to White Zones]

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Police Code by adding section 65 to prohibit obstructing sidewalks adjacent to white colored curb zones and establish exceptions to the prohibition; and adopting environmental findings.

Existing Law

Existing law prohibits impeding pedestrians on a sidewalk, but does not otherwise address obstructing the sidewalk adjacent to a passenger loading zone ("white zone").

Amendments to Current Law

This legislation would add a new section to the Police Code that would make it an offense to obstruct access to a white zone curb or the adjacent sidewalk between the curb and the back edge of the sidewalk by placing any objects, materials, substances or personal property on the sidewalk. This prohibition would apply during the hours that the white zone is restricted to passenger loading and unloading. A violation of the prohibition would be punishable as an infraction, with a fine not to exceed \$100 for the first violation, \$200 for a second violation occurring within a year, and up to \$500 for a third or any subsequent violation within a year of the first violation. The prohibition would not apply to government buildings, with the exception of public schools and health care facilities, that are used for governmental purposes. In addition, the legislation provides a number of exceptions from the prohibition, including for example, property and equipment placed on the sidewalk by governmental entities and public utilities, and activities authorized by a permit.

Background Information

Many areas of San Francisco, and particularly commercial areas, experience high levels of traffic congestion and limited on-street parking. By reducing the need for motorists to circle blocks or to double-park, white zones serve an important role in reducing congestion, improving vehicular and pedestrian safety and reducing motor vehicle emissions.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 31, 2012

File No. 120559

Bill Wycko Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Wycko:

On May 22, 2012, Supervisors Campos and Avalos introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 120559 Ordinance amending the San Francisco Police Code by adding Section 65 to prohibit obstructing sidewalks adjacent to white colored curb zones and establish exceptions to the prohibition; and adopting environmental findings.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Sincerely,

Gail Johnson, Committee Clerk Public Safety Committee

Attachment

 Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Non-physical exemptions CEQA Sentions 15060(cX2)

Environmental Review Referral

7/23/09