FILE NO. 111340

Amendment of the Whole in Committee. 9/12/12 RESOLUTION NO.

[Contract - Shell Energy North America and Approval of the CleanPowerSF Program Including 1 Local Sustainability Services] 2 3 Resolution authorizing the Public Utilities Commission, subject to conditions, to launch the CleanPowerSF program, approving local sustainability services for 4 5 CleanPowerSF customers, and authorizing the General Manager of the Public Utilities 6 Commission to execute a contract with Shell Energy North America for a term of up to 7 five four years and six months for services required to launch the CleanPowerSF 8 program; and delegating authority to non-materially amend or modify the contract. 9 History and Background Ι. 10 11 WHEREAS, Public Utilities Code Section 366.2 allows public agencies to aggregate 12 the electrical load of interested electricity consumers within their jurisdictional boundaries. 13 Pursuant to this law, the City has established a Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) 14 program known as CleanPowerSF to provide electric power to the residents and businesses 15 located within its jurisdiction. The San Francisco Board of Supervisors established the City's 16 CCA program in May 2004 (Ordinance 86-04). The Ordinance found that CCA would allow the 17 City to increase the scale and cost-effectiveness of renewable energy, conservation and 18 energy efficiency in San Francisco and to increase local control over electricity prices and 19 resources. To implement the program, Ordinance No. 86-04 directed the development of a 20 draft Implementation Plan (IP) and the preparation of a draft Request for Proposals (RFP) to 21 solicit an electricity supplier for the program. In December 2004, the Board of Supervisors 22 created a Citizens Advisory Task Force (Task Force) to advise the City regarding the draft 23 Implementation Plan and the draft RFP; and

- 24
- 25

WHEREAS, Mayor Gavin Newsom signed a Declaration of Mayor or Chief County
 Administrator Regarding Investigation, Pursuit or Implementation of Community Choice
 Aggregation on December 16, 2005; and

4 WHEREAS, After an extensive process that involved public meetings of the San 5 Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCOD), the Task Force, the San 6 Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) and interested parties and advocacy groups, 7 the Board of Supervisors approved a Draft Implementation Plan (Draft IP) in June 2007 8 setting forth goals and policies for the City's CCA program (Ordinance 147-07). Ordinance No. 9 147-07 directed the issuance of a Request For Information (RFI) and a subsequent Request 10 for Proposals (RFP) to solicit input and bids from interested parties regarding the development 11 of the program. Ordinance No. 147-07 stated that the RFI responses and other information 12 obtained in implementing the program might suggest changes to the Draft IP to improve its 13 viability, and allowed for such changes. As required by Ordinance No. 147-07, SFPUC issued 14 an RFI in November 2007. In April 2009, SFPUC issued a request for gualifications ("RFQ") 15 from potential electricity suppliers. SFPUC, in consultation with LAFCoO, used the 16 information obtained from these solicitations to prepare an RFP; and

17 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors approved the issuance of an RFP in October 18 2009 (Ordinance 232-09). Like Ordinance 147-07, Ordinance No. 232-09 provided that RFP 19 responses and other information obtained in implementing the program might suggest 20 changes to Draft IP that would improve the viability of the City's CCA program, and allowed 21 for such changes. In November 2009, SFPUC issued the RFP. The City received five 22 responses to its RFP and, in January 2010, identified Power Choice, LLC as the highest 23 ranked proposer. The City engaged in negotiations with Power Choice, LLC for electricity 24 supply and other services; and 25

1 WHEREAS, In January 2010, SFPUC prepared a revised Implementation Plan (IP) and 2 Statement of Intent to file with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) in 3 accordance with Ordinance 147-07. As anticipated in Ordinances 147-07 and 232-09, the Implementation Plan was revised to allow more flexibility in the resources that may be used to 4 5 make up the CleanPowerSF supply portfolio, and to specify that the SFPUC may roll out the 6 program in phases if phasing allows it to maximize demand-side management programs and 7 renewable energy impacts, synergies with local ordinances and other customer programs, 8 cost of service and customer load characteristics, and other operational considerations. The 9 Board of Supervisors held a hearing on the IP in the Budget and Finance Committee on 10 February 17, 2010, and forwarded the Ordinance adopting the IP to the full Board of Supervisors with a recommendation for approval. The Board of Supervisors considered and 11 12 voted on the Ordinance adopting the revised IP at its public meetings on February 23, 2010 13 and March 2, 2010. On March 2, 2010, The Board of Supervisors finally approved the Ordinance and authorized the filing of the IP with the CPUC (Ordinance 45-10). The IP was 14 15 certified by the CPUC on May 18, 2010; and

16 WHEREAS, The SFPUC authorized the General Manager to execute a service 17 agreement with Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) on May 11, 2010. The General 18 Manager executed the Community Choice Aggregation Service Agreement (the Service 19 Agreement) with PG&E on May 27, 2010. In May 2012, the City and PG&E agreed to extend 20 the Service Agreement until December 31, 2018. The Service Agreement is a contract that 21 governs the business relationship between PG&E and the City with respect to CleanPowerSF. 22 Among other things, the Service Agreement includes provisions for audits, dispute resolution, 23 events of default, billing and payment terms and indemnity. The Service Agreement 24 incorporates by reference PG&E's CCA tariffs that set forth the operational and financial 25 duties of aggregators and PG&E in establishing and conducting CCA service; and

1 WHEREAS, Negotiations with Power Choice, LLC, were unsuccessful, and on August 2 5, 2010, the SFPUC issued a second RFP seeking an electricity supplier for the program. No 3 bidders met the minimum qualifications of that RFP, and on February 8, 2011, in Resolution 11-0027, the SFPUC a) authorized the General Manager to negotiate with one or more 4 5 creditworthy firms to create a program that most closely achieves the City's goals and b) 6 directed the General Manager to direct SFPUC staff to develop a process and scope of work, 7 together with stakeholders and consultants, to request bids for renewable generation and 8 green resource commitments to further the adopted City goals for CCA as described in 9 Ordinance 147-07. Shortly thereafter, SFPUC engaged in negotiations with Shell Energy 10 North America (Shell) for electricity supply and Noble Americas for customer care and billing services; and 11

12 WHEREAS, Work began on November 16, 2011, in accordance with the SFPUC Task 13 Order: Modeling and Conceptual Framework for CCA Deployment to study deployment 14 options and prepare RFPs for a potential build-out of in-City renewable energy resources, 15 comprised of both demand reduction and new renewable generation, and assess their to 16 study and prepare associated financing alternative mechanisms (including 2001 proposition H 17 bonds and use of collateral), SFPUC management and integration of local supplies by the 18 <u>SFPUC</u>, levelized costs, and jobs potential, and to develop <u>associated</u> contract term sheets 19 and RFPs, all to be used if the City approves a local build-out after environmental review; and 20 WHEREAS, In Ordinance No. 232-09 the Board of Supervisors authorized approval by 21 resolution for future CleanPowerSF approvals; and

- 22
- 23

CleanPowerSF Program

24 WHEREAS, Enrollment in the CleanPowerSF program will be launched in phases to 25 groups of customers, to allow for <u>mitigate the risks inherent in purchasing power, and to better</u>

II.

<u>integrate into CleanPowerSF_a proposed</u> build-out of local and regional energy resources if
 these programs when and if component installations of this build-out are approved by the
 City, and to mitigate the risks inherent in purchasing power. The first phase will follow the
 state-mandated opt-out process, enrolling sufficient customers to meet the volume of
 electricity specified in the Shell agreement, not to exceed an average of approximately 30
 MW, and any customer within San Francisco will be eligible to participate in that enrollment
 phase: and

WHEREAS, The Shell agreement does not preclude a build-out of local and regional
 energy resources, if such build-out is approved by the City after any necessary environmental
 review, because the Shell agreement allows the City to replace purchases from Shell with
 other resources (subject to making Shell whole for any losses) and because program roll out
 will be phased; and

13

14

A. Program Characteristics and Local Sustainability Services

WHEREAS, The CleanPowerSF program will initially offer customers one or more 15 products, consistent with the contracted Shell purchases, and will leverage which support the 16 potential development of new renewable and efficiency resources, if such programs are 17 approved by the City, to achieve high rates of customer acceptance create local jobs, promote 18 locally owned power production and to balance generation sources. These initial products will 19 allow for development of new renewable resources to be integrated into the electricity portfolio 20 as a customer revenue stream, revenue bond financing, and other financing mechanisms are 21 established, if a program for developing renewable resources is planned and approved by the 22 City;, and upon completion of any necessary environmental review; and 23

24 WHEREAS, The <u>Board of Supervisors believes the</u> integration of a large-scale local 25 build-out of renewable energy and efficiency resources, as described in Ordinance No. 147-

1	07, if such a program is planned and approved by the City, may facilitate establishing a
2	successful CleanPowerSF program that will be price competitive, attractive to electricity
3	customers, financially robust, productive of clean energy jobs, and of sufficient scale and rapid
4	construction to achieve significant greenhouse gas reductions, with the understanding that
5	such a program must first be planned and approved by the City with any necessary
6	environmental review; and
7	WHEREAS, The CleanPowerSF program will offer local sustainability services to
8	CleanPowerSF customers including:
9	1. incentives for the installation of solar projects on properties of participating
10	
11	CleanPowerSF customers pursuant to the GoSolarSF Program, and
12	2. augmented energy efficiency programs for the benefit of participating
13	CleanPowerSF customers; and
14	3. study of and possible development of a local build-out of renewable energy facilities-,
15	if the City approves such a program after necessary environmental review. The SFPUC has
15 16	if the City approves such a program after necessary environmental review. The SFPUC has indicated its commitment to studying and, if the City approves such a program, developing a
16	indicated its commitment to studying and, if the City approves such a program, developing a
16 17	indicated its commitment to studying and, if the City approves such a program, developing a local build-out of renewable energy facilities as a component of CleanPowerSF, and
16 17 18	indicated its commitment to studying <u>and, if the City approves such a program, developing</u> a local build-out of renewable energy facilities as a component of CleanPowerSF, and anticipates immediate commencement of that build-out, if such program is approved by the
16 17 18 19	indicated its commitment to studying <u>and, if the City approves such a program, developing</u> a local build-out of renewable energy facilities as a component of CleanPowerSF, and anticipates immediate commencement of that build-out, if such program is approved by the City, when (i) consultant studies and RFP preparation have been concluded, (ii) sufficient
16 17 18 19 20	indicated its commitment to studying <u>and, if the City approves such a program, developing</u> a local build-out of renewable energy facilities as a component of CleanPowerSF, and anticipates immediate commencement of that build-out, if such program is approved by the City, when (i) consultant studies and RFP preparation have been concluded, (ii) sufficient revenues are generated or identified to commence the build-out, (iii) SFPUC has completed
16 17 18 19 20 21	indicated its commitment to studying <u>and, if the City approves such a program, developing</u> a local build-out of renewable energy facilities as a component of CleanPowerSF, and anticipates immediate commencement of that build-out, if such program is approved by the City, when (i) consultant studies and RFP preparation have been concluded, (ii) sufficient revenues are generated or identified to commence the build-out, (iii) SFPUC has completed environmental analysis of the physical impacts of any specific build-out projects where
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	indicated its commitment to studying <u>and, if the City approves such a program, developing</u> a local build-out of renewable energy facilities as a component of CleanPowerSF, and anticipates immediate commencement of that build-out, if such program is approved by the City, when (i) consultant studies and RFP preparation have been concluded, (ii) sufficient revenues are generated or identified to commence the build-out, (iii) SFPUC has completed environmental analysis of the physical impacts of any specific build-out projects where required and made appropriate findings, and (iv) the SFPUC approves a plan, budget, and

<u>and environmental review</u> requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, California
 Public Resources Code Section 21000 et. seq. (CEQA); and

3 WHEREAS, The SFPUC and the Board of Supervisors will explore use of sources of 4 revenue such as 2001 proposition H bonds, municipal bonds, power purchase agreements, 5 public agency loans and/or other favorable financing and contractual mechanisms for local 6 and regional renewable energy generation and also energy demand reduction projects in 7 CleanPowerSF, with the understanding that to the extent that such projects must be are 8 planned and approved by the City and subjected to any necessary environmental review; and 9 WHEREAS, Before any specific local build-out programs or projects are approved, the 10 SFPUC will undertake all necessary CEQA review of the proposed programs or projects 11 identified in the study process and of their alternatives, including a no project alternative, and 12 shall obtain all requisite approvals; and

13 14

21

B. Cost Overview

WHEREAS, The SFPUC approved in Resolution 11-0194 and submitted to the Board
of Supervisors an appropriation request for \$19.5 million, which is on file with the Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors in File No. 11-1371. The request includes

18 1. \$13 million as collateral and reserves required under the Shell agreement,

- 19
 2. \$6 million for local sustainability services for CleanPowerSF customers as
 20
 follows (half to be used in 2013 and half to be used in 2014):
- a. \$2,000,000 dollars for energy efficiency programs;
- b. \$2,000,000 dollars for GoSolarSF incentives; and
- c. \$2,000,000 dollars for studies of local build-out of renewable energy
 facilities, and

- 1
- 2

3

4

5

\$500,000 for start-up costs and costs related to the Noble Americas contract for customer billing, data management and other administrative services; and WHEREAS, The \$19.5 million is in addition to a total of \$6 million that already has been appropriated to CleanPowerSF through September 2011, including \$1 million in July 2011; and

WHEREAS, In the event the CleanPowerSF Program is discontinued or terminated all
 unspent amounts appropriated, including any of the \$6,000,000 for local sustainability
 services for CleanPowerSF customers, will be de-appropriated and returned to Hetch Hetchy
 Power Enterprise fund balance reserves; and

10

11

III. Rates for CleanPowerSF Customers

WHEREAS, CleanPowerSF rates will be approved by the SFPUC and Board of Supervisors through the process established in section 8B.125 of the City's Charter, including review by the Rate Fairness Board, and the SFPUC must determine that those rates are sufficient to cover the cost of power and services provided by Shell as well as other costs required for the program prior to launching the program; and

17 WHEREAS, The SFPUC staff will <u>1</u> propose rates to the Rate Fairness Board that will 18 cover all costs to provide service to CleanPowerSF customers, including the cost of power it expects Shell to provide, based on market information and consultation with Shell, the cost of 19 20 the services it expects Noble Americas to provide, and the costs of solar incentives, energy 21 efficiency programs, and studies to guide development of local renewable facilities and 2) include in that proposal a discount for low income customers; the Rate Fairness Board will 22 23 consider the rate proposal, and may report to the SFPUC regarding its analysis; the SFPUC 24 will establish rates for CleanPowerSF and submit those rates to the Board of Supervisors for 25 its approval or rejection; and

WHEREAS, The SFPUC will review the power prices proposed by Shell before it
 authorizes the General Manager to complete a power purchase transaction, in order to
 determine that the rates established by the SFPUC and Board of Supervisors will be adequate
 to recover all costs of providing service to customers; and

WHEREAS, If the SFPUC determines that the adopted CleanPowerSF rates are not
 adequate to cover all costs of providing service to CleanPowerSF customers, it will not
 authorize the General Manager to complete a power purchase transaction and launch the
 program; and

9

10

IV. Contract with Shell

WHEREAS, The SFPUC, in consultation with LAFCOO, has negotiated the key terms of a contract with Shell for electricity necessary for commencement of the CleanPowerSF Program, and to serve as the primary power purchasing component of the program over its first <u>up to five</u> four and one-half years. The draft contract is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.11-1340 and declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

WHEREAS, The draft Shell contract consists of three parts: (i) a Master Agreement
(setting forth general terms and conditions and providing that Shell and the City may enter into
transactions to buy particular amounts, quantities and types of electric products); (ii) a
Security Agreement (giving Shell control over the account that holds the receipts received
from CleanPowerSF customers and a first priority security interest in that account); and (iii) a
Confirmation (specifying the price, quantity and type of product for specific electricity purchase
transactions); and

WHEREAS, Shell represents and warrants that no new facilities are required to be
 constructed in order for Shell to meet its supply obligations under the contract; and

1	WHEREAS, The contract requires Shell to provide energy to the City with an average
2	carbon content equal to or less than the average carbon content of energy supplied by PG&E
3	to its customers; and
4	WHEREAS, Shell will provide and the City will purchase the following for up to five four
5	and one half-years: (i) electricity to serve CleanPowerSF customers; (ii) scheduling
6	coordinator services to go along with the power supplied; and
7	WHEREAS, The contract allows the City and Shell to enter into additional
8 9	Confirmations for procurement of additional electricity services; and
10	WHEREAS, The contract requires the City to provide \$13 million for startup costs and
11	program reserves, consisting of the following:
12	1. \$7 million to be held in an escrow account subject to joint instructions by the
13	City and Shell, as partial collateral for a termination payment in the event the City defaults and
14	Shell terminates the agreement. The termination payment is intended to cover reasonable risk
15	and costs that might be incurred by Shell should the program cease operations during the
16	contract period. This amount may be reduced in subsequent years of the contract if market
17	conditions and the progressive completion over time of the contract reduce Shell's exposure
18	to potential financial losses (see Sections 2.3(f) and 5.3);
19	2. \$4.5 million to fund a Program Reserve to be deposited into the customer
20	revenues secured account, controlled by Shell. The Program Reserve is intended to provide
21	security to Shell that there will be sufficient cash on hand in the customer revenues secured
22	account to cover Shell's monthly bills. The City must restore the balance of the Program
23	Reserve to at least \$4.5 million within five Business Days of a notice by Shell that the
24	Program Reserve is below this amount (see Sections 2.3(d) and 5.2);
25	

1	3. \$1.5 million to be held by the City in an Operating Reserve, to ensure short-
2	term unanticipated costs associated with startup and initial program expenses do not create
3	long-term program stability issues (for example, additional costs associated with bringing in
4	additional customers, or delays in receipt of revenues, in the event that opt-out rates are
5	higher than anticipated); and
6	WHEREAS, Shell will not have a right to collect the termination payment or the
7	Program Reserve unless and until the City executes a Confirmation and all other conditions
8	are satisfied; and
9	WHEREAS, The draft contract does not specify the amount or price of the electricity to
10	
11	be provided by Shell; these will be determined before the program is launched, after Shell has
12	obtained prices for the electricity it will provide; and
13	WHEREAS, The contract includes terms that are non-standard for City contracts,
14	including a modification to the standard appropriation of funds language (see Section 8.2(c)):
15	1. if Shell terminates the contract as a result of a City default, the General
16	Manager must seek an appropriation or supplemental appropriation to fully fund the applicable
17	termination payment, but approval of such appropriation is within the sole discretion of the
18	SFPUC and/or the Board of Supervisors;
19	2. a failure by the City to pay the full termination payment is an event of default
20	under the Agreement;
21	2 the contract date not include standard City language stating that the
22	3. the contract does not include standard City language stating that the
23	contractor assumes the risk of a failure on the part of the City to appropriate additional funds;
24	and
25	

1 WHEREAS, Consistent with standard energy industry practice, it is not an event of 2 default for Shell to fail to deliver a product it is required to provide under the agreement. If 3 Shell fails to deliver a product it contracted to provide:

- 4 1. the City may purchase a replacement product and charge to Shell the 5 difference between the price of such purchase and the contract price (see Section 4.1);
- 2. in the case of renewable energy and resource adequacy capacity, if penalties 7 are imposed on the City as a result of Shell's failure to perform, Shell must reimburse the City 8 for the penalties (see Sections 4.2 (a) and 4.3); 9
- 3. in the case of bundled renewable energy, if on an annual basis Shell fails to 10 deliver at least 90% of the product it contracted to provide, in addition to any payments made 11 by Shell described in (i) and (ii) above, Shell must pay the City 25% of the contract price for 12 every MWh Shell failed to deliver (see Section 4.2(b)); and 13
- 14 WHEREAS, The contract imposes the following financial requirements on the City and 15 makes it an event of default if the City fails to meet them within the relevant cure periods:
- 16

6

- 1. All receipts from CleanPowerSF customers served by Shell must be 17 deposited in an account controlled by Shell, but owned by the City (see Sections 2.3 (i) and 18 7.4);
- 19 2. Disbursements from the customer receipts account must be made by Shell 20 in accordance with a pre-established waterfall, pursuant to which on a monthly basis, Shell 21 gets paid first, the Program Reserve is retained, and any amount remaining is transferred to 22 the City (which the City intends to deposit in the CPSF Customer Fund) (see Section 7.3); 23
- 24
- 25

1 3. The CleanPowerSF program must be financially healthy, but the City has a 2 sixty day cure period to restore financial health if end of the month financial reports indicate 3 there is a problem (see Section 5.1); 4 4. The termination payment is calculated as the difference between the 5 contract price and the market price of any product the City commits to buy pursuant to a 6 Confirmation; but the termination payment is capped at \$15 million unless the City terminates 7 the CleanPowerSF program at a time when the program is healthy (see Sections 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 8 6.5); and 9 WHEREAS, The SFPUC approved the draft contract with Shell on December 13, 2011, 10 in Resolution No. 11-0194, and authorized the General Manager to execute the contract, 11 subject to conditions; and 12 V. **Contract with Noble Americas** 13 WHEREAS, In Resolution 11-0194, on December 13, 2012 2011, the Public Utilities 14 Commission authorized the General Manager to negotiate an agreement with Noble Americas 15 16 (Noble) for customer care and billing services to support CleanPowerSF and directed the 17 General Manager to submit the final contract to the Public Utilities Commission for approval; 18 and 19 WHEREAS, SFPUC staff, in concert with LAFCo staff, has negotiated an agreement 20 with Noble for customer care and billing services, which is on file with the Clerk of the Board 21 of Supervisors in File No. 11-1340; and 22 WHEREAS, Noble will provide services that include: managing the electronic data 23 exchange with PG&E, maintaining customer information and billing administration systems, 24 providing reports on energy use and billing, preparing settlement quality meter data, tracking 25

1 opt-out notices, maintaining a customer care operation center and creating a plan for 2 eventually transitioning the services to CleanPowerSF; and 3 WHEREAS, Noble will make commercially reasonable efforts to locate a customer 4 care center in San Francisco in order to provide local jobs; and 5 WHEREAS, Other key terms of the Noble agreement include the following: 6 1. the term is 4.5 years and the guaranteed maximum cost is \$9 million dollars; 7 8 2. the total monthly fees charged by Noble for the CleanPowerSF program will be at 9 least \$25,000 per month; 10 3. the City can cancel the agreement without charge prior to the start up date, but if the 11 cancellation occurs after that date, CleanPowerSF will pay a cancellation fee based on 12 milestones, up to a maximum amount of \$250,000; and 13 4. the agreement will become effective after satisfaction of specified conditions, 14 including, appropriation of necessary funds and approval by the SFPUC; and 15 VI. **Conditions for Contract Effectiveness and CleanPowerSF Program Launch** 16 WHEREAS, Even after approval by the Board of Supervisors and execution by the 17 18 General Manager, the Shell contract will not become effective until satisfaction of conditions established by the contract as well as those established by the SFPUC and the Board of 19 20 Supervisors; and 21 WHEREAS, The Shell contract establishes conditions that must be satisfied before it 22 becomes effective, including but not limited to the following: (i) the conditions placed by the 23 City on the launch of CleanPowerSF have been satisfied; (ii) the City has directed PG&E to 24 deposit the payments from CleanPowerSF customers for amounts due to the City for 25 CleanPowerSF services into a customer receipts account controlled by Shell; (iii) the City has

1 entered into an agreement that gives Shell control of the customer receipts account, has 2 granted Shell a first priority lien on the amounts in the account, and has appropriated and 3 deposited \$4.5 million in the account; (iv) the City has appropriated and placed \$7 million dollars into an escrow account as collateral for a termination payment to Shell in the event of 4 5 a City default; (v) the CPUC has accepted an amendment to the City's implementation plan and statement of intent filed with the CPUC pursuant to California Public Utilities Code 6 7 Section 366.3, that identifies Shell as the primary supplier of power for CleanPowerSF; and 8 (vi) the City has posted the CCA Bond required by the CPUC and advised Shell of the amount 9 thereof; and

10 WHEREAS, The SFPUC in its December 2011 resolution established the following 11 conditions which must be satisfied before the Shell contract becomes effective: (i) 12 CleanPowerSF rates are approved by the SFPUC and Board of Supervisors through the 13 process established in section 8B.125 of the City's Charter, and the SFPUC has determined 14 that those rates are sufficient to cover the cost of power and services provided by Shell as 15 well as other costs required for the program, (ii) the CPUC has made its final determination of 16 the CCA bond amount required by Public Utilities Code Section 366.2 and the SFPUC has the 17 resources and all necessary authorizations to obtain the bond, (iii) all appropriations required 18 by the CCA supplier contracts have been authorized, and (iv) the SFPUC Power Enterprise 19 has rates in place to be financially stable and in compliance with its reserve policies, and (v) a 20 contract for customer billing, data management and other administrative services with Noble 21 Americas or another entity has been approved; and

22

23

24

25

WHEREAS, This action is not considered a "project" as defined in the California Environmental Quality Act, California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq. ("CEQA") for the reasons set forth in the memorandum prepared by the Bureau of Environmental

Management for the SFPUC dated July –<u>18</u>, 2012. Said memorandum is on file with the Clerk
of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 11-1340 and is incorporated herein by reference; now,
therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That any proposed projects for local build-out of renewable energy
 facilities will be subject to SFPUC and Board of Supervisors review of environmental impacts
 and compliance with the CEQA prior to Board of Supervisors approval of appropriations or
 financing of such projects; and, be it

- FURTHER RESOLVED, That the SFPUC should and the City will work with
 stakeholders to establish favorable <u>bond capacity and</u> financing mechanisms, including 2001
 proposition H bonds and use of collateral, for the local build-out of new renewable generation
 projects and demand reduction as components of CleanPowerSF, if such programs are
 planned and approved by the City; and, be it
- FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors intends that the steps to study,
 plan, prepare RFPs and submit for City approval a local renewables build-out be commenced
 as soon as practicable; and be it
- 17 <u>FURTHER RESOLVED, That because a timely integration of the local build-out of</u>
- 18 renewables and efficiency, if such build-out is approved by the City, would enhance the
- 19 <u>economic and structural characteristics of CleanPowerSF, and planning and RFP preparation</u>
- 20 for such build-out is planned to be completed by SFPUC consultants by November of 2012,
- 21 and that, to the extent such work is completed on time, RFP's should be released in
- 22 accordance with SFPUC Task Order Title: Modeling and Conceptual Framework for CCA
- 23 Deployment, to solicit bids for the local build-out work identified in that task order, on or before
- 24 <u>February 1, 2013; and, be it</u>
- 25

1 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors supports expenditure by the 2 SFPUC of six million dollars for CleanPowerSF participating customers, including \$2,000,000 3 for energy efficiency, \$2,000,000 for studies related to local build-out activities, and \$2,000,000 for GoSolarSF, which will further environmental quality and local job creation but 4 5 would only be expended if the CleanPowerSF program is launched; and, be it 6 FURTHER RESOLVED. That the Board of Supervisors supports an appropriate rate 7 discount for low income CleanPowerSF customers; and, be it 8 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the SFPUC to 9 undertake an extensive public education and outreach campaign, in multiple languages, and 10 with particular attention to low-income communities, to ensure that prior to the opt-out process 11 targeted residents in each phase are fully aware of the program, its features and its costs: 12 and, be it 13 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors, subject to all conditions set 14 forth in the contract and this resolution and all conditions adopted by the SFPUC, authorizes 15 the General Manager of the Public Utilities Commission to execute approves the contract with 16 Shell in substantially the form on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, with such 17 additions or modifications as may be acceptable to the General Manager of the Public Utilities 18 Commission and the City Attorney, and that do not materially decrease the intended public 19 benefits to the City; and, be it 20 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors authorizes the General 21 Manager, in consultation with the City Attorney, and on approval of the SFPUC, to amend or 22 modify the Shell contract, including the Master Agreement, the Security Agreement, and any 23 Confirmations, to the extent that such amendment or modification does not materially change 24 the terms or decrease the intended public benefits to the City; and, be it 25

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors authorizes the General Manager to execute an initial Confirmation to purchase power from Shell provided that (1) the amount of electricity procurement shall not exceed an average of 30 MWs, (2) the conditions set forth in the Shell contract are satisfied, and (3) the conditions imposed by the SFPUC and the Board of Supervisors on effectiveness of the contract and program launch are satisfied; and, be it

7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors authorizes the General 8 Manager to enter into additional Confirmations, on approval of the SFPUC, so long as the 9 Charter does not require approval by the Board of Supervisors and the SFPUC has 10 determined that CleanPowerSF rates approved by the SFPUC and Board of Supervisors 11 through the process established in section 8B.125 of the City's Charter, are sufficient to cover 12 the cost of additional power and services provided by Shell pursuant to the additional 13 Confirmation, as well as other costs required for the program. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25