FILE NO. 120842

Amended in Committee 10/29/2012

RESOLUTION NO.

1 2	[Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Better MUNI Service Needed, Without Switchbacks: An Investigation into the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency]
3	Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings
4	and recommendations contained in the 2011-2012 Civil Grand Jury report entitled
5	"Better MUNI Service Needed, Without Switchbacks: An Investigation into the San
6	Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency" and urging the Mayor to cause the
7	implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her
8	department heads and through the development of the annual budget.
9	
10	WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of
11	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
12	Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and
13	WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
14	recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
15	county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
16	and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
17	response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
18	which it has some decision making authority; and
19	WHEREAS, The 2011-2012 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Better MUNI Service
20	Needed, Without Switchbacks: An Investigation into the San Francisco Municipal
21	Transportation Agency" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.
22	120842, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and
23	WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
24	to Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9 as well as Recommendations 1, 2, 3, and 5 contained
25	in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1	WHEREAS, Finding No. 1 states: "Muni switchbacks violate the spirit of the San
2	Francisco Charter;" and
3	WHEREAS, Finding No. 2 states: "Muni management has expressed very little interest
4	in finding alternatives to switchbacks;" and
5	WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: "There is not statistical or other evidence that
6	switchbacks alleviate delays or improve scheduling;" and
7	WHEREAS, Finding No. 4 states: "Muni officials show a callous disregard for the
8	welfare of riders overall in their use of switchbacks;" and
9	WHEREAS, Finding No. 6 states: "Other comparable transit systems refuse to subject
10	passengers to switchbacks for any reasons other than equipment breakdowns, accidents, or
11	unavoidable emergencies;" and
12	WHEREAS, Finding No. 7 states: "Muni has failed to fully implement basic
13	technological improvements in the system;" and
14	WHEREAS, Finding No. 8 states: "Muni's newest and most advanced control centers
15	lack adequate operating personnel and cannot communicate directly with Muni drivers;" and
16	WHEREAS, Finding No. 9 states: "Muni has failed to conduct and publish monthly rider
17	surveys as recommended in the FY 2008 and 2010 quality review;" and
18	WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 1 states: " Eliminate switchbacks except for
19	equipment breakdowns, accidents, or unavoidable emergencies;" and
20	WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 2 states: " Contact and learn from comparable
21	transit systems that do not resort to switchbacks as a regular solution to their problems;" and
22	WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 3 states: " The Controller audit Muni funds to
23	determine if there are additional resources that may be available to rectify delays and
24	scheduling problems;" and
_	

25

WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 5 states: "Conduct and publish monthly rider
 satisfaction surveys in accordance with the FY 2008 and 2010 quality review
 recommendations;" and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of
Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
Court on Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9 as well as Recommendations 1, 2, 3, and 5
contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it

8 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the 9 Superior Court that it partially disagrees with Finding 1 for reasons as follows: The goal of 10 being reliable, timely, and frequent can cut both ways, the Board wants the majority of people 11 to have reliable service, but the Board acknowledges the frustration involved when riders are 12 subjected to switchbacks; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it disagrees with Finding 2 for reasons as follows: The Board understands that switchbacks are not something that Muni wants to do, but that it is a tool in Muni's arsenal, and Muni understands that there is pushback on switchbacks from riders; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees withFinding 3; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it disagrees with
Finding 4 for reasons as follows: The Board finds that "callous disregard" is inflammatory
language, the use of which the Board does do not agree with; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially
disagrees with Finding 6 for reasons as follows: While the Civil Grand Jury found a number of
jurisdictions that do not use switchbacks, Muni provided a list of jurisdictions that do use
switchbacks; and, be it

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially
2	disagrees with Finding 7 for reasons as follows: Muni has worked hard to do this, but Muni,
3	like many City departments, is fiscally constrained; and, be it
4	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with
5	Finding 8; and, be it
6	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with
7	Finding 9; and, be it
8	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it will not
9	implement Recommendation 1 for reasons as follows: It falls outside of the Board's purview;
10	and, be it
11	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it will implement
12	Recommendation 2 for reasons as follows: Muni will implement this in the future and the
13	Controller's Office is engaged in a multi-year effort with Muni to improve Muni services;
14	including the reconstitution of the Transportation Effectiveness Project (TEP); and, be it
15	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it has implemented
16	Recommendation 3; and, be it
17	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it will not
18	implement Recommendation 5 for reasons as follows: It falls outside of the Board's purview;
19	and, be it
20	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
21	implementation of accepted findings and the recommendation through his/her department
22	heads and through the development of the annual budget.
23	
24	
25	

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS