File No	121131	Committee Item No
		Board Item No.

#### COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Date
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date 12/4/2012
Cmte Board	
Motion   Resolution   Ordinance   Legislative Digest   Budget and Legislative Analyst Report   Youth Commission Report   Introduction-Form (for hearings)   Department/Agency Cover Letter and   MOU   Grant Information Form   Grant Budget   Subcontract Budget   Contract/Agreement   Form 126 – Ethics Commission   Award Letter	
Application Dublic Correspondence	
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is	needed)
Completed by: Erica Dayrit Date	11/26/2012

21.

	F				
NI C . I D . I b	0-4: 0	Cffeete to	Lacata Albatraz	Form Service	at Fort Mason Piers
National Park	Service - Cease		Locale Alcaliaz	Lett à Service s	at Fort Mason Piers
•					

Resolution urging the National Park Service to abandon plans to locate Alcatraz Ferry Service at Fort Mason Piers and to focus their efforts on working with the Port of San Francisco to continue service at the Port.

WHEREAS, The National Park Service (NPS) began a public scoping and planning process in June of 2012 to establish a long-term ferry embarkation site for passenger service between the San Francisco waterfront and Alcatraz Island; and

WHEREAS, The Alcatraz Ferry Embarkation Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will also evaluate a ferry connection from the San Francisco embarkation site to existing piers in Sausalito and/or Fort Baker; and

WHEREAS, NPS is evaluating potential sites at Fort Mason Piers and three sites at the Port of San Francisco – Piers 31 ½, Pier 41 and Pier 45; and

WHEREAS, According to the NPS' Notice of Intent to Prepare and EIS, over 1.4 million people visit Alcatraz Island annually from the existing ferry embarkations site at Pier 31 and 33 in San Francisco at Fisherman's Wharf; and

WHEREAS, Fisherman's Wharf is a bustling commercial district with restaurants, gift shops, over 3000 hotel rooms and other tourist attractions well served by public transportation; and

WHEREAS, Contrast that to Fort Mason in the Marina – a predominantly residential neighborhood with a population of 23,000 residents, NPS is looking at a very different landscape that what is currently in place at Fisherman's Wharf; and

WHEREAS, Linking the embarkation location of the Alcatraz Ferry service to the closest possible proximity to the center of Fisherman's Wharf will serve to continue the

symbiotic relationship the district has enjoyed with the NPS for years by providing a convenient link for the end customer to the hotels, restaurants, shops, mass transit and parking facilities that drive volume to Alcatraz and make a well-rounded experience for visitors.

WHEREAS, The Fort Mason location would require shuttle busses to take people from Jones Street to Fort Mason which will increase large vehicle traffic on streets already impacted with Golden Gate Transit, Sightseeing Tour Busses and MTA busses.

WHEREAS, Fort Mason also pales in comparison to the public transportation options offered at Fisherman's Wharf – one of the City's most popular tourist destinations; and

WHEREAS, Adding the potential of 5,000 visitors per day or 35,000 visitors per week will more than double the population of the Marina and create adverse impacts on parking and traffic in an already congested area; and

WHEREAS, This number does not take into account how many visitors would increase if ferry service was established between Sausalito and Fort Baker in addition to Alcatraz Ferry Service; and

WHEREAS, If Fort Mason is selected as the best alternative site, this could mean an addition of 1.5 million people annually coming through the Marina neighborhood looking for parking, creating traffic and a likely increase in tour bus activity; and

WHEREAS, In addition to a ferry embarkation point, NPS' desire to create an on-land experience with a visitor center, store and/or museum will also increase the expected impacts; and

WHEREAS, Based on the Draft EIS the NPS published for the F-Line Extension, we already know that traffic impacts will be long-term and adverse in this area as it stated, "The [traffic] effect could be adverse if large numbers of people search parking at the marina, creating traffic congestion at local intersections"; and

WHEREAS, Drastically altering a residential neighborhood and the businesses already established at Fort Mason is rather bold, especially considering that the Fort Mason Center and the Fort Mason Merchants group does not believe it's a feasible alternative due to compatibility of use and the glaring absence of public transportation to the site; and

WHEREAS, There are currently 4,297 parking lot spaces with walking distances of Piers 31-45 but only 738 near the Fort Mason piers; and

WHEREAS, The Marina Green is already at capacity on the weekends due to children's athletic leagues such as soccer and various festivals that are permitted through the Recreation and Park Department; now, therefore,

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the National Park Service to abandon plans to locate Alcatraz Ferry Service at Fort Mason Piers and to focus their efforts on working with the Port of San Francisco to continue service at the Port.



## Final Environmental Impact Statement for Extension of F-Line Streetcar Service to Fort Mason Center

Executive Summary



#### Final Environmental Impact Statement Extension of F-Line Streetcar Service to Fort Mason Center

## GOLDEN GATE NATIONAL RECREATION AREA, SAN FRANCISCO MARITIME NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK, CALIFORNIA

Lead Agency: National Park Service, U.S. Department of Interior Cooperating Agencies: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, Federal Transit Administration

The Environmental Impact Statement for the Extension of F-Line Streetcar Service to Fort Mason Center presents and analyzes alternatives to lengthen the historic streetcar F-line from Fisherman's Wharf to the San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park and on to the Golden Gate National Recreation Area, ending at the Fort Mason Center. The intended effect of this action is to provide park visitors and transit-dependent residents with high-quality rail transit that improves transportation access and mobility between existing streetcar service at Fisherman's Wharf to San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park and Fort Mason Center. The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) presents and analyzes the potential consequences of implementing the alternatives.

Alternative 1, the No-Action Alternative, would provide no change from the existing historic streetcar line and would not provide transit connections to the Fort Mason Center.

Alternative 2, the Preferred Alternative, would extend the existing F-Line from Fisherman's Wharf to the Fort Mason Center. The track extension would include a street-running segment along Beach Street, a transition zone between the street-running segment and the Fort Mason Tunnel, a tunnel segment and a turnaround segment with two options for locations, Alternative 2A: North Loop (Fort Mason parking lot) and 2B: South Loop (Great Meadow). Project elements would include the construction of streetcar track for approximately 0.85 miles, construction of 8-9 station platforms, upgrades to the existing Fort Mason Tunnel, and installation of signals, crossings, wires and poles.

Based on issues identified during the public and agency scoping process, and public correspondence received during the 60-day Draft EIS comment period, the impact analysis focuses on land use, socioeconomics, transportation and circulation, air quality, noise and vibration, cultural resources, recreation and visitor use, visual and aesthetic resources, night sky visibility and light pollution, geological resources, biological resources, public health and safety, and public services and utilities.

Decision Process: The National Park Service will execute a Record of Decision (ROD) no sooner than 30 days following publication by the Environmental Protection Agency of the Notice of Availability of the Final EIS. The Final EIS will be available for public inspection as follows: at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/streetcar; in the Office of the Superintendent (Bldg. 201 Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA); at local public libraries (San Francisco Public Libraries: Marina Branch, Main Branch, Golden Gate Valley Branch, North Beach Branch, Eureka Valley Harvey Milk Library, Presidio Branch Library), or by requesting a copy (contact Steve Ortega at 415-561-2841, or e-mail at goga\_planning@nps.gov). Written inquiries can also be sent to:

Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area Attention: F-Line FEIS Fort Mason, Building 201 San Francisco, CA 94123-0022



# Final Environmental Impact Statement for Extension of F-Line Streetcar Service to Fort Mason Center

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### INTRODUCTION

The National Park Service (NPS) is preparing an environmental impact statement (EIS) for an extension of the historic streetcar F-line from Fisherman's Wharf to the Fort Mason Center. The National Park Service is the lead agency and the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and the Federal Transit Administration are the cooperating agencies under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The proposed Project is the culmination of a cooperative effort by the National Park Service with the Golden Gate National Recreation Area and the San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park, the City and County of San Francisco, the SFMTA, and the Presidio Trust. Studies from these agencies showed that these urban national park destinations could benefit from improved regional and local transit connectivity. This improved service connectivity would help accommodate existing and future visitor demand. Based on those studies, conceptual approaches to address alternative transportation needs were identified and evaluated against the purpose and need of the Project, park management objectives, and operability constraints.

The Project proposes to extend the F-Market & Wharves Line (F-line) from Fisherman's Wharf through the San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park (SF Maritime NHP) and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA), in San Francisco, California. The GGNRA and the SF Maritime NHP are two separate National Park Service units in San Francisco's northeastern waterfront; SF Maritime NHP is adjacent to the GGNRA, which includes Fort Mason. The GGNRA was established in 1972, and encompasses over 80,000 acres of land in San Francisco, Marin, and San Mateo Counties. The 50-acre SF Maritime NHP, established in 1988, includes the Maritime Museum and a Senior Center (both housed in the original Aquatic Park Bathhouse), Aquatic Park, Municipal Pier, Hyde Street Pier, and a collection of National Historic Landmark vessels.

One Action alternative (the Proposed Action) and the No Action alternative were identified to be carried forward for detailed evaluation in this EIS. This document has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code 4321 et seq.), and Director's Order No. 12: Conservation Planning, Environmental Impact Analysis, and Decision-making (NPS 2001). The Director's Order No. 12 and NEPA regulations require consideration of a project's potential environmental impacts as early as possible in the planning process. This helps to ensure environmental values are considered as the project takes shape. At the same time, because the NEPA process occurs early in the planning stages, some of the project elements being evaluated can be conceptual in nature, and subject to change through subsequent state or local planning processes.

This document closely examines the potential impacts of the F-line extension from Fisherman's Wharf to the Fort Mason Center, while recognizing that decisions regarding various elements of the proposed project, such as in-street track alignment, platform location, and shelter design, will be determined during a subsequent local public planning and design process managed by SFMTA, with additional oversight from the San Francisco Planning Department. That process will provide additional opportunity for consideration of operational and design characteristics, with input from

public stakeholders and federal agenecies. At the outset, all owners and interested parties within 300 feet of the project would be sent notification informing them of the proposed project and planning process. Initial drawings and concepts would be shared at one or more public meetings, and after a period of outreach, a general public hearing would be held by the SFMTA to receive comments on the initial work. The findings would then be reported to the San Francisco Planning Department, which may choose to hold their own public meetings on the issue. Following comments from the Planning Department, design and engineering would be refined and shared with the public stakeholders and federal agencies once again. When the majority of parties are in agreement, the design and engineering work would then proceed to the advanced level. The process would repeat until the SFMTA completed a final design for the project, and that would be the project that is constructed.

#### **Project Study Area**

The study area for the Project in San Francisco's northeastern waterfront is bounded by Mason Street on the east, Bay Street on the south, Fillmore Street on the west and the bayfront, including the piers and parklands within the east-west boundary, on the north.

Part of the SF Maritime NHP has been designated as the Aquatic Park National Historic Landmark District (NHLD). Fort Mason—which includes the San Francisco Port of Embarkation NHLD<sup>1</sup>—consists of Upper Fort Mason and Lower Fort Mason. Lower Fort Mason encompasses the historic piers and buildings in which Fort Mason Center (the Center) is located. Fort Mason Center is a non-profit entity that is a destination for programs, events and organizations. Both the NHLDs mentioned above are in dense, urban locations, directly adjacent to high-density residential and commercial districts. These districts are characterized by high visitation rates, high pedestrian and automobile traffic volumes, and intense recreational and commercial use.

#### PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED

#### Purpose of Project

The purpose of this project is to provide park visitors and transit-dependent residents with high-quality rail transit that improves transportation access and mobility between existing streetcar service at Fisherman's Wharf and Fort Mason Center in GGNRA. The streetcar service would have connection to the regional transit rail services, while respecting the settings, context, and resources of these two national park destinations and avoiding or minimizing adverse effects to National Historic Landmarks and National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) listed or eligible properties.

The San Francisco Port of Embarkation NHLD includes all of Lower Fort Mason and only Building 201 in Upper Fort Mason.

#### **Need for Project**

The need for this project resulted from the following issues:

#### • Inadequate Regional Transit Access to Fort Mason Center

Visitors traveling to Fort Mason on regional transit are required to make multiple transfers to reach their destination. For regional riders using the Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART), or regional services offered by Caltrain, access to Fort Mason frequently requires at least two transfers. Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (AC Transit) and ferry riders must transfer at least two, and often three, times to reach Fort Mason. Multiple transfers are a deterrent to the use of regional transit to reach Fort Mason.

Nearby transit service does not directly link the Fort Mason Center with transit lines. The 28 bus line provides the closest connection to Fort Mason Center with a station at Marina Boulevard and Laguna Street; however this bus line originates in Daly City and only services the western and northern parts of San Francisco. Passengers arriving near Upper Fort Mason via the 47 or 49 bus lines disembark at Van Ness Avenue and North Point Street and then walk approximately 0.6 miles along streets or a path through the Great Meadow to reach Fort Mason Center. Passengers arriving via the 30 bus line would disembark at Chestnut Street and Laguna Street and then walk approximately 0.3 miles along Laguna Street to the Fort Mason Center entrance. Visitors coming from Fisherman's Wharf take the existing F-line to Jones Street and then walk approximately 1 mile to reach the Fort Mason Center.

#### • Limited Transportation Options for Transit-Dependent Residents

In the spirit of bringing national parks to the people, GGNRA and SF Maritime NHP reach out to, and promote the richness and breadth of the national park system to a diverse urban community, including city residents who may be experiencing a national park for the first time and who may not have access to private vehicles. One of the goals of NPS is to provide recreational and cultural facilities and destinations to transit-dependent residents. Although the GGNRA and SF Maritime NHP are in the City of San Francisco (the City) and therefore closer to these residents than many other national parks, the public transportation access required by most potential park patrons continues to be insufficient, often requiring multiple transfers to reach the NPS sites along the waterfront. As noted above, multiple transfers can be a deterrent to transit use.

Underserved populations living outside San Francisco may require transfers within their communities to reach the regional transportation network, as described above. Underserved residents living inside San Francisco are interspersed throughout most of the City. However, according to the 2006 San Francisco Mayor's Office of Community Investment 2005-2010 Consolidated Plan, underserved areas are in the eastern and southeastern portions of the City. While most San Francisco residents generally require at least one transfer to access the parks, those living in the eastern/southeastern portion of the City may require additional transfers. For example, portions of the Bayview Hunters Point neighborhood require a minimum of two transfers to access the parks. The 1980 GGNRA General Management Plan identified the need for an extension of transit service between the park and transit dependent neighborhoods (1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SFMTA's *Transit Effectiveness Project* recommends changes to the 28 and 28L bus line that would eliminate the bus stop closest to Fort Mason Center at Marina Boulevard. The new route would run along Lombard Street and terminate at Van Ness Avenue and North Point Street (SFMTA 2008b).

#### • Limited Connectivity to Northeastern Waterfront Cultural and Recreational Corridor

Over the past 20 years, San Francisco's northeastern waterfront has been transformed from an underused industrial area to a vibrant waterfront cultural corridor stretching from AT&T Park to the Presidio. This corridor includes South Beach Marina, the Ferry Building, Pier 7, Pier 39, the Aquarium of the Bay, Fisherman's Wharf, SF Maritime NHP and Fort Mason Center. Throughout the northeastern waterfront corridor there is a high level of pedestrian activity, with visitors seamlessly moving between the commercial establishments and the NPS facilities. Many of these attractions are linked by SFMTA's historic streetcar service (the F Line), which has proven to be popular with visitors and residents alike. However, this service does not currently reach the National Park Service's recreational and historic attractions including the Hyde Street Pier, Aquatic Park, the Maritime Museum, the Municipal Pier, nor Upper and Lower Fort Mason including the Fort Mason Center.

The facilities within Fort Mason and SF Maritime NHP are integrated into the fabric of the City, serving as an arts and cultural activity center. Many of the 14 million annual visitors to Fisherman's Wharf, a major tourist destination immediately adjacent to SF Maritime NHP, are also drawn to the neighboring national park destinations. The necessity of multiple transfers slows trips and increases the difficulty for visitors or residents unfamiliar with the local transit network.

### Insufficient Transportation Infrastructure to Accommodate Existing and Projected Visitor Demand

Fort Mason Center hosted more than 11,400<sup>3</sup> events in fiscal year 2009 (October 2008-September 2009), bringing approximately 1.7 million visitors to the site (FMC 2009a). Table ES-1 shows a breakdown of projected attendance at major events hosted by the Fort Mason Center in 2010. These figures do not include regularly scheduled meetings, classes, and smaller events. Many events at Fort Mason Center are attended by thousands of visitors, with the largest events attended by 8,000 visitors. Other events in the area that impact the Fort Mason Center such as the Bridge to Bridge Run bring over 10,000 visitors to the area.

TABLE ES-1: FORT MASON CENTER PROJECTED ATTENDANCE FOR MAJOR EVENTS<sup>a</sup> IN 2010

Visitor Attendance <sup>b</sup>	Number of Events
0-100	88
. 101-500	349
501-1000	83
1001-5000	66
Over 5000	2

Major events do not include the daily regularly scheduled meetings, classes and smaller events at the FMC Crowd numbers for events are estimates

Source: Fort Mason Center Parking Impact Notice, 2010.

Events include classes, meetings, conferences, exhibitions and performances; many occur simultaneously each day.

Transportation access to Fort Mason Center is primarily by automobile, in part due to the inadequate regional and local transit access described above. The Fort Mason Center is served directly by only one bus line (the 28-19th Avenue); this line does not originate from downtown or other parts of the City frequented by visitors, and it has poor connections to regional transit lines and to local transit lines serving the rest of San Francisco. Existing transit service to the Fort Mason Center may be further impacted in the future by a proposed bus rapid transit project on Van Ness Avenue. At the Fort Mason Center, there are 446 parking spaces available. While parking volumes for this lot are highly cyclical and depend on the events occurring at the Center, the annual volume of cars for 2009 was 236,271 (FMC 2009b). This results in substantial parking problems, especially on weekends, when parking spills over into the adjacent Marina neighborhood and adjacent parking areas (Gashouse Cove and Marina Green) that are not under NPS jurisdiction. Some event organizers hire valet services or use Marina Middle School for overflow parking.

SF Maritime NHP has 4 million visitors each year. The SF Maritime NHP relies on the availability of on-street or commercial parking lots available for the Fisherman's Wharf area. The number of visitors coming to the Fort Mason Center and SF Maritime NHP is expected to increase in the future. With the San Francisco Bay Area population projected to grow 18.8 percent by 2030 (presently 7.3 million) (ABAG 2009), transit links will be critical to maintaining access to the Parks. The Bay Area region recognized the importance of the expansion of historic streetcar service by including it as one of the "Strategic Expansion" projects in San Francisco in the *Transportation 2030 Plan* for the San Francisco Bay Area (MTC 2005.) The planned restoration of a historic pier at the Fort Mason Center will provide additional exhibition space, as will the renovated Maritime Museum recently re-opened to the public. These improvements are anticipated to draw a greater number of visitors to the national park destinations, which would in turn exacerbate existing parking and traffic capacity demands.

The Fort Mason Center Long-Term Lease Environmental Assessment projects an increase in visitor levels to the Fort Mason Center by 14.5 percent contingent upon the renovations of Pier One, which is currently not used as an event space. If Pier One was restored, the 2003 Environmental Assessment (EA) projected that the 1.6 million annual visitors would be increased to 1.9 million for the entire Fort Mason Center. The EA also predicts that the increase in visitors from the development of Pier One could increase transit demand.

The 2007 Fort Mason Center Employee Survey (URS 2009f) concluded that approximately 17 percent of Fort Mason Center employees currently arrive at work by transit and that 48 percent of employees noted they would have taken the F-line if it already served Fort Mason directly. Similarly, the 2007 Fort Mason Intercept Survey (URS 2009f), which surveyed 729 visitors to Fort Mason Center found that approximately 11-14 percent of current visitors reported that they took transit to Fort Mason and 45 percent of visitors said that they would have taken the F-line if it already served Fort Mason Center.

NPS goals for transportation in the GGNRA include the reduction of automobile-based trips for recreational travel, and inter- and intra-park transportation networks coordinated with existing transportation systems (NPS 1980). The San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park Climate Change Action Plan (NPS 2010a) and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area Climate Change Action Plan (NPS 2008b) both seek to reduce fuel consumed by visitors by maximizing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Van Ness Avenue Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Project would implement transit improvements along the Van Ness Corridor from Mission Street to Lombard Street.

Bay Area region includes the following counties: Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma (ABAG 2009).

transportation options in the parks and providing linkages to public transportation systems. This is particularly desirable, appropriate, and feasible at dense, urban national parks such as SF Maritime NHP and GGNRA, where existing public transit infrastructure can be extended at a reasonable cost.

 Infrastructure Constraints Impacting Effectiveness and Operations of Fort Mason Center

Fort Mason Center is an international model for an urban park setting which preserves historic buildings for uses consistent with and related to the mission of the National Park Service and GGNRA.

Fort Mason Center hosts numerous expositions, conferences, and events throughout the year however, the closest hotels are in the Fisherman's Wharf area and along Lombard Street and Van Ness Avenue. The lack of a direct transit connection between the hotels in the Fisherman's Wharf area and Fort Mason Center limits the potential of the center as an event destination. With better transit, Fort Mason Center would also function better as a conference/meeting location. The lack of direct transit limits the number of transit-dependent visitors who participate in activities at the center, and may be a deterrent to others who avoid the area due to roadway congestion and difficulty of parking. Furthermore, the lack of transit to the Center directly contributes to roadway congestion along Marina Boulevard which is a direct link to the Golden Gate Bridge. Its unique position as a large multi-use venue offers a tremendous opportunity to benefit businesses and nonprofit organizations as well as 1.7 million visitors per year.

Under the lease terms with the National Park Service, Fort Mason Center has a financial obligation to assist with funding historic preservation and rehabilitation of all of the buildings and amenities on the campus. Funds to support operations are generated by tenant rentals at the Center, including a restaurant, a café, art galleries, non-profit organizations, and museums. Additional revenues are generated by visual, performing and literary arts events, large and small expositions, conferences and meetings. Funds for rehabilitation and restoration of the Center will be derived from financing supported by these revenues. Major funding is also derived from the philanthropic community which supports the Center's programs.

#### **PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS**

The Notice of Intent (NOI) for the Project was published in the Federal Register on March 29, 2006. The NOI announced the preparation of an EIS by the National Park Service, as the federal lead agency. The NOI also provided information on Project issues and potential impacts and invited comments, questions, and suggestions on the scope of the EIS during the 60-day public scoping period, which ended on May 29, 2006. Postcards notifying the public of the commencement of the planning process were sent to approximately 4,000 individuals; the mailing list was developed from GGNRA, SF Maritime NHP, and SFMTA databases. A half-page ad announcing the public scoping meeting and requesting input was placed in the *San Francisco Examiner* on May 3, 2006, and a legal notice was posted in the *San Francisco Chronicle* on May 6, 2006. Public and agency scoping meetings were held on May 9, 2006 at the Fort Mason Officer's Club in San Francisco. A meeting with the NPS and the cooperating agencies was held from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. and the public meeting was held from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.

During the scoping period, the National Park Service received 101 comments from individuals, organizations representing environmental, conservation and recreational interests, and governmental agencies. The primary environmental concerns focused on changes in traffic and parking, impacts on parklands and recreational facilities, noise and vibration, visual impacts, and cultural resources.

Input was also solicited from the National Park Service Historic Streetcar Extension Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), which consists of members of GGNRA, SF Maritime NHP, SFMTA, Fort Mason Center, Market Street Railway, San Francisco County Transportation Authority, Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy, San Francisco Recreation and Park Department, and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). NPS staff with expertise on park resources were also consulted. After the initial scoping period, the National Park Service continued to update the public about the Project during the park's quarterly open houses.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Historic Streetcar Extension was published in March of 2011. Public notice of availability and opportunity to comment, along with an invitation to attend a public open house meeting, were provided through mailers, email, public postings, and publication in the Federal Register. The public comment period remained open for 60 days; from March 18 to May 17, 2011. A public open house meeting was held at the Fort Mason Center on April 20, 2011, from 7:00pm to 9:00pm.

Approximately 37 people attended the open house meeting. The public was invited to submit comments through the NPS' Planning, Environment, and Public Comment (PEPC) website, regular mail, email, and park comment posters and forms during the public open house meeting. A total of 97 pieces of correspondence were received during the DEIS public comment period.

#### THE ALTERNATIVES

The study area is divided into the following four segments analyzed separately in the alternatives: In-Street; Transition; Fort Mason Tunnel; and Turnaround. During the alternatives development process alternatives were examined for each of these segments.

In-Street Segment. This approximately 2,500 foot street segment runs west down Jefferson Street (from its intersection with Jones Street) to Leavenworth Street, then south to a section of Beach Street extending from Jones Street to the base of Polk Street (approximately adjacent to the Maritime Museum). This segment would connect the terminus of the existing F-line at Jones Street with the proposed F-line extension.

Transition Segment. This approximately 750 foot segment connects the In-Street Segment from Beach Street, through San Francisco Maritime NHP, and up to the Fort Mason Tunnel Segment. This segment crosses Van Ness Avenue before entering the tunnel.

Fort Mason Tunnel Segment. The existing 1,500 foot tunnel segment runs underneath Fort Mason and the Great Meadow from the east tunnel portal at Van Ness Avenue to the west tunnel portal at Marina Boulevard and Laguna Street. It is a single-track tunnel, used for freight train movements until

the late 1970s. This tunnel segment would need to accommodate the bi-directional movement of streetcars on a single track. Structural rehabilitation of the tunnel would be required for its use.

Turnaround Segment. The turnaround segment occurs between the west tunnel portal at Marina Boulevard and Laguna Street. The areas considered in the alternatives include the lower Fort Mason (Fort Mason Center) parking lot and the Great Meadow. The turnaround segment would be the terminus of the proposed F-line extension and would allow for westbound streetcars to turnaround in a loop of track before returning eastbound back through the Fort Mason Tunnel.

#### Alternative 1 - No Action

Alternative 1 provides a baseline for comparing the other alternative, evaluating the magnitude of proposed changes, and measuring the effects of those changes. The No Action alternative follows the guidance of the Council on Environmental Quality, which describes the No Action alternative as representing no change from the current management direction. Under the No Action Alternative, the F-line would not be extended beyond Fisherman's Wharf; the Transition Segment within the Aquatic Park NHLD would remain undisturbed; the Fort Mason Tunnel would remain closed and would not be renovated or made seismically sound; and the Turnaround Areas (Great Meadow or lower Fort Mason) within the Fort Mason National Register Historic District and the San Francisco Port of Embarkation NHLD would remain undisturbed.

The 2007 Fort Mason Center Employee-Survey (URS 2009f) concluded that approximately 17 percent of Fort Mason Center employees currently arrive at work by transit. The 2007 Fort Mason Intercept Survey (URS 2009f), which surveyed 729 visitors to Fort Mason Center found that approximately 11-14 percent of current visitors reported that they took transit to Fort Mason

The lack of connectivity between the Fort Mason Center and nearby transit lines would continue. The 28 bus line provides the closest connection to Fort Mason Center with a station at Marina Boulevard and Laguna Street; however this bus line originates in Daly City and only services the western and northern parts of San Francisco. Passengers arriving near Upper Fort Mason via the 47 or 49 bus lines, disembark at Van Ness Avenue and North Point Street and then walk approximately 0.6 miles along streets or a path through the Great Meadow to reach Fort Mason Center. Passengers arriving via the 30 would disembark at Chestnut Street and Laguna Street and then walk approximately 0.3 miles along Laguna Street to the Fort Mason Center entrance. Visitors coming from Fisherman's Wharf take the existing F-line to Jones Street and then walk approximately 1 mile to reach the Fort Mason Center.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> SFMTA's *Transit Effectiveness Project* recommends changes to the 28 and 28L bus line that would eliminate the bus stop closest to Fort Mason Center at Marina Boulevard. The new route would run along Lombard Street and terminate at Van Ness Avenue and North Point Street (SFMTA 2008b).

#### Alternative 2 - Proposed Action Alternative (with Turnaround Options)

The Proposed Action would extend the existing F-line streetcar service from Jones Street to Fort Mason Center. This section describes the Proposed Action components, as well as anticipated construction requirements and operation. Alterative 2 includes a preferred In-Street alignment, Transition, Fort Mason Tunnel, and Turnaround Segments. The Turnaround Segment presents two options, Alternative 2A: North Loop (located in the Fort Mason Center parking lot) and Alternative 2B: South Loop (located in Great Meadow), which are analyzed separately. The In-Street Segment presents both mixed traffic and semi-exclusive options (autos do or do not share track right-of-way); however these would be determined during the final design phase. They have been analyzed separately as appropriate in the resource sections.

Project Components. If implemented, the extension would include approximately 0.85 mile of new rail track; associated features such as signals, crossings, wires and poles; approximately 8-9 new platforms; new designated stops; retrofitting of the historic State Belt Railroad tunnel (Fort Mason Tunnel); and construction of a track turnaround in the Fort Mason Center parking lot or Great Meadow (see Table ES-2 for details).

**TABLE ES-2: ALTERNATIVE 2 PROJECT SEGMENT DETAILS** 

	In-Street Segment	Transition Segment	Fort Mason Tunnel Segment	Turnaround Segment
Alternative 2 Options	Operates west down Jefferson Street to Leavenworth Street, south to Beach Street, and in both directions along Beach Street between Jones Street and the transition at Van Ness Avenue. Four platforms would be added to this segment.  Options to be determined during design phase: 1) shared auto/streetcar operation 2) semi-exclusive for the eastbound alignment and shared operation for the westbound alignment 3) hybrid of the two options	The transition segment takes the alignment from the double-track, street-running segment to the east, shifting the alignment to NPS property to the west of Polk Street. The line would move from double track to single track between two new platforms and the tunnel portal.	The streetcar extension would run on a single track through the tunnel. Tunnel improvements would include installation of new track and overhead lines and reconstruction of the tunnel interior	Alternative 2A: North Loop (Preferred). In the North Loop turnaround tracks would loop north out of the Fort Mason Tunnel and enter the Lower Fort Mason parking lot. Two platforms would be constructed within the loop.  Alternative 2B: South Loop. In the South Loop turnaround tracks would loop south out of the Fort Mason Tunnel and enter the Great Meadow. One platform would be constructed in this loop.

#### PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

The Preferred Alternative is Alternative 2 – Action Alternative. This alternative was determined after a multi-year alternative development and screening process during which time alternatives for the project's street-running alignment, transition segment, and turnaround segment were analyzed. These alternatives were evaluated based on a standard set of criteria. Alternatives that were unreasonable were eliminated from further analysis. Following this process a preferred street-running alignment and transition segment were selected. However, two options remained for the turnaround segment.

The North Loop (Alternative 2A) and South Loop (Alternative 2B) Turnaround Alternatives were analyzed during a 1.5-day Value Analysis (VA) workshop held in August of 2010. In the Value Analysis Workshop, the North Loop and South Loop turnaround alternatives were evaluated using a process called Choosing by Advantages (CBA), where decisions are based on the weighted importance of the advantages between alternatives with capital and life cycle costs factored in last, to illustrate benefits to cost. In using CBA to determine a preferred alternative, the VA team identified the alternative that offers the highest total importance of advantages at the lowest cost (in both initial and life cycle).

In this workshop, the North Loop was identified as best value due to the following advantages:

- Significantly Better at Limiting Disruption to Natural Resources;
  - No impervious surface is added (can increase pervious surface between rail);
  - Does not remove vegetation;
  - Emits the least amount of emissions during construction (less earth moved).
- Somewhat Better at Improving Visitor Experience;
  - Limited view shed impacts by adding streetcars and infrastructure in the Fort Mason Center (FMC) parking lot;
  - Provides direct interior connection between SF Maritime NHP and Fort Mason Center.
- Slightly Better at Protecting Public Health, Safety and Welfare;
  - All the alternatives create potential conflicts between pedestrians, auto and transit. This
    alternative limits those conflicts particularly with bicycles. It may include conflict with
    bicycles in the future;
  - Allows for redesign of the Bay Trail with less change required (this is an independent project).
- Slightly Better at Supporting Criteria for Large Events;
  - It is best able to manage headway (frequency and storage of streetcars);
  - Creates more room to queue visitors away from Laguna Street.
- Somewhat Better at Accessing Disabled Streetcar;
  - Creates better access to disabled streetcar in the storage area for repair via service truck in this location.

- Slightly Better at Minimizing Noise & Sound Impacts;
  - Minimizes noise impacts on residential neighborhoods since it is the farthest from the residential areas;
  - Minimizes vibration impacts. All the options create vibration but this option is 10 feet farther away from the historic structures than the other alternatives.
- Somewhat Better at Attracting New Tenants:
  - This alternative gives Fort Mason Center the ability to attract new tenants (via Fort Mason Center Long-Term Lease Environmental Assessment).

#### ENVIRONMENTALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

The Preferred Alternative (Alternative 2) would also be the environmentally preferred alternative. Alternative 1 (the No-Action Alternative) does not meet project goals, purpose, or need, and does nothing to reduce the number of automobiles used to access the park and/or the Fort Mason Center. Changes to the mix of transportation modes [autos and transit] serving the project area resulting from the Preferred Alternative identified a 14.4 percent increase in transit use for daily person trips to Fort Mason Center between the No Project and implementation of the Project with the F-line extension. The result would be a long-term, moderate, beneficial impact which leads to the conclusion that the Preferred Alternative is the environmentally preferred alternative.

This conclusion is reached looking at current conditions. The environmental preference for an alternative that provides increased transit is further supported by future conditions. The Fort Mason Center Long-Term Lease Environmental Assessment projects an increase in visitor levels by 14.5 percent contingent upon the renovations of Pier One, which is currently not used as an event space. If Pier One were restored, the 2003 EA projected that the 1.6 million annual visitors would be increased to 1.9 million for the entire Fort Mason Center. Increased transit would support these visitors and be in compliance with renewable goals set out in Director's Order #12.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

The following topics were raised during the scoping process and selected for detailed analysis: Land Use; Socioeconomics; Transportation and Circulation; Air Quality; Noise and Vibration; Cultural Resources; Recreation and Visitor Use; Visual and Aesthetic Resources; Night Sky Visibility and Light Pollution; Geology, Soils and Seismicity; Biological Resources; Public Health and Safety; Public Services and Utilities. Rational for selection of each impact topic was based on potential for substantive impact; environmental statues, regulations, and executive orders; and/or NPS management policies and guidance. Table ES-3 summarizes the potential impacts of the Project and proposes mitigation measures.

TABLE ES-3: SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION

P TANKSTON	CHARAMATIN	ALTERNATIVE 2A	ALTERNATIVE 2B	
ALIERNATIVE I NO ACTION	ALIERNATIVE Z ACTION ALTERNATIVE	NORTH LOOP OPTION	SOUTH LOOP OPTION	MITIGATION WEASURES
Land Use				
Alternative 1 would result in no direct, indirect impacts to land use	The implementation of Alternative 2 would result in a minor long-term adverse impact to land use practices due to change in land use of	The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in a negligible impact to land use	The South Loop Turnaround Option would result in a long- term moderate adverse impact	N/A
	ure existing site, nowever ure Project would remain consistent with applicable land use plans and policies			
Socioeconomics				
Alternative 1 would have no economic impacts to the San rancisco economy	Alternative 2 would have short-term negligible beneficial construction related economic impacts and long-term negligible beneficial operations related economic impacts on the San Francisco economy	The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in negligible positive shortterm economic impacts to the City and County of San Francisco economy	The South Loop Turnaround Option would result in negligible positive long-term economic impacts to the City and County of San Francisco economy.	N/A
Transportation and Circulation Transit Operations	lon			
Alternative 1 would result in no impacts to transit operations	Alternative 2 would result in a long-term, moderate, beneficial impact	The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in a long-term, moderate, beneficial impact	The South Loop Turnaround Option would result in a long- term, moderate, beneficial impact	N/A
Traffic Safety				
Alternative 1 would result in long-term, minor, adverse impacts to traffic safety conditions	In-Street Segment: long-term, negligible, adverse impact Transition Segment: long-term, minor, adverse impact	The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in a long-term, minor, adverse impact	The South Loop Turnaround Option would result in a long- term, minor, beneficial impact	TRANS-2: Install Wayfinding Devices

TABLE ES-3: SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION (CONTINUED)

ALTERNATIVE 1 NO ACTION	ALTERNATIVE 2 ACTION ALTERNATIVE	ALTERNATIVE 2A PROPOSED ACTION WITH NORTH LOOP OPTION	ALTERNATIVE 2B PROPOSED ACTION WITH SOUTH LOOP OPTION	MITIGATION MEASURES
Transportation and Circulation (cont.)	ion (cont.)			
Parking				
Alternative 1 would result in no impacts to parking conditions	The overall impact would be long-term, minor and adverse	The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in a long-term, minor, adverse	The South Loop Turnaround Option would not affect parking conditions at Fort	TRANS-3: Reconfigure On-Street Parking Spaces TRANS-4: Implement Parking Time Restrictions
		impact	Mason Center, and would not displace any parking spaces resulting in no impact	
Traffic Flow				
Alternative 1 would result in	The result with	N/A	N/A	TRANS-1: Optimize Traffic Signal Timing
long-term, minor, adverse impacts to traffic flow	implementation of the Public Realm Plan would be a long-			
1.0	term, minor, adverse impact,			
10	and without implementation			
9	of the Public Realiff Plan			
	adverse impact			
Air Quality				
Alternative 1 would result in no	Short-term adverse air quality	The North Loop Turnaround	The South Loop Turnaround	AIR-1: Implement BAAQMD Basic Construction
short- or long-term air quality or	impacts would result from	Option would result in a net	Option would result in a net	Mitigation Measures
greenhouse gas emission	daily maximum construction	negligible to minor beneticial	minor beneficial operational air	
adverse	implementation of mitigation	impact.	The South Loop option would	
	measures, short-term air	Construction-related GHG	have the same net minor	,
	quality impacts would be minor to moderate and	emissions are considered a	adverse construction-related	
	adverse	respect to global climate	would occur with the North	
	Alternative 2 would result in	change.	Loop Option	
	negligible to minor beneficial	The North Loop Turnaround	The South Loop option would	
	regional and local air quality	Option would result in a minor net beneficial impact	have the same net minor beneficial impact with regard to	
·	as well as greenhouse gas emissions	to GHG emissions.	GHG emissions as would occur with the North Loop Option.	

Table ES-3: Summary of Impacts and Mitigation (continued)

Mitigation Measures	NOISE-1: Implement Construction Noise Mitigation NOISE-2: Implement Operational Noise Mitigation VIBR-1: Implement Construction Vibration Mitigation Mitigation  VIBR-2: Implement Operational Vibration  Mitigation  VIBR-3: Measures to mitigate the adverse impacts of the loss of individual resources at Aquatic Park  NHL District (stone retaining wall)  CUL-2: Measures to mitigate the adverse impacts of the alteration of individual resources at San Francisco Port of Embarkation U.S. Army NHL  District  CUL 3: Measures to mitigate the adverse impacts of the alteration of individual resources at San Francisco Port of Embarkation U.S. Army NHL  District  CUL 4: Measures to mitigate the adverse impacts due to the introduction of new, incompatible uses to the San Francisco Port of Embarkation U.S.  Army NHL District/Fort Mason National Register	Historic District
ALTERNATIVE 2B PROPOSED ACTION WITH SOUTH LOOP OPTION	The South Loop Turnaround Option would result in the following: Construction Noise: minor adverse impact Construction Vibration: minor adverse annoyance impact at the residences on Laguna Street. Operational Noise: moderate adverse impact Operational Vibration: minor adverse impact The South Loop Turnaround Option would result in impacts to NRHP-listed, eligible, or contributing building, structure, object, site or cultural landscape features range from negligible to moderate adverse impact, see Table 4.7-2 for details	
ALTERNATIVE 2A PROPOSED ACTION WITH NORTH LOOP OPTION	The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in the following: Construction Noise: minor adverse impact Construction Vibration: minor adverse impact. Operational Noise: moderate adverse impact Operational Vibration: minor adverse impact similar to existing vibration levels monitored in the area The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in impacts to NRHP-listed, eligible, or contributing building, structure, object, site or cultural landscape features range from negligible to moderate adverse impact, see Table 4.7-1 for details	
ALTERNATIVE 2 ACTION ALTERNATIVE	Alternative 2 would result in major adverse impacts to the residential units on the corner of Hyde and Beach Streets and at Ghirardelli Square as well as hotels along Beach Street and the Maritime Museum. Impacts would result from construction noise, construction-related vibration, operational vibrations. Identified mitigation would reduce these major adverse impacts to the moderate level impacts to the moderate level impacts to the moderate and building, structure, object, site or cultural landscape features in the In-Street and Transition segments range from negligible to moderate adverse impact, see Table 4.7-1 and Table 4.7-2 for details	
ALTERNATIVE 1 NO ACTION Noise and Vibration	Alternative 1 would result in no new short- or long-term noise or vibration impacts, either beneficial or adverse  Cultural Resources  Alternative 1 would not result in any new short- or long-term impacts, either beneficial or adverse	

TABLE ES-3: SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION (CONTINUED)

ALTERNATIVE 1 No ACTION	ALTERNATIVE 2 ACTION ALTERNATIVE	ALTERNATIVE 2A PROPOSED ACTION WITH NORTH LOOP OPTION	ALTERNATIVE 2B PROPOSED ACTION WITH SOUTH LOOP OPTION	MITIGATION MEASURES
Cultural Resources (cont.)				
				CUL-5: Measures to mitigate negligible impacts to archeological resources due to inadvertent discovery during ground-disturbing activities
Recreation and Visitor Use				
Alternative 1 would result in no impacts to recreational opportunities	Alternative 2 would result in short-term and long-term, minor, adverse impacts on recreation and visitor use in the project area	The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in short and long-term minor adverse impacts	The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in short and long-term minor adverse impacts	REC-1: If necessary, relocate the bocce ball courts to suitable location REC-2: Post signage to direct Bay Trail users of temporary re-routes. REC-3: Coordinate the Bay Trail reroutes with Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG)
Visual and Aesthetic Resources	cessən			
Alternative 1 would result in no direct, indirect, or cumulative impacts to visual resources	Alternative 2 would result in a long-term moderate adverse impact	The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in long- term minor and moderate, adverse effects	The South Loop Turnaround Option would result in long- term minor and moderate, adverse effects	VIS-1: Install temporary visual screening during construction. VIS-2: To the extent feasible, construction staging areas shall be located to the largest extent possible away from view of public viewsheds and remain clear of all trach weeds and debric erc.
				VIS-3: Signs will be limited to the minimum necessary to meet information, warning, and regulatory needs and to avoid confusion and visual intrusion.
Night Sky Visibility and Light Pollution	it Pollution			
Alternative 1 would result in no direct or indirect, impacts to night sky visibility	Alternative 2 would result in long-term minor impacts due to increased night lighting	Same as Alternative 2 Action Alternative conclusions	Same as Alternative 2 Action Alternative conclusions	NIGHT-1: The project would be required to minimize the use of lighting in areas already well lit and to use full cutoff light fixtures throughout the project.
• .				

TABLE ES-3: SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION (CONTINUED)

Witigation Weasures	GEO-1: Conduct further analyses to determine whether or not the tunnel is vulnerable to additional damage due to compaction of soil during an earthquake GEO-2: Slope stability evaluation and adherence to California Building Code GEO-3: Fort Mason Tunnel rehabilitation	BIO-1: Preconstruction Nesting Bird Surveys BIO-2: Preconstruction Roosting Bat Surveys	HEA-1: Pre-Construction Hazardous Materials Assessment HEA-2: Soil and Groundwater Management Plan HEA-3: Health and Safety Plan (HSP)	PUB-1: Maintain Utility Services
ALTERNATIVE 2B PROPOSED ACTION WITH SOUTH LOOP OPTION	The South Loop Turnaround Option would result in minor adverse effects after implementation of mitigation measure GEO-2.	Same as Alternative 2 Action Alternative conclusions	Same as Alternative 2 Action Alternative conclusions	Same as Alternative 2 Action Alternative conclusions
ALTERNATIVE 2A PROPOSED ACTION WITH NORTH LOOP OPTION	The North Loop Turnaround Option would result in minor adverse effects after implementation of mitigation measure GEO-3.	Same as Alternative 2 Action Alternative conclusions	Same as Alternative 2 Action Alternative conclusions	Same as Alternative 2 Action Alternative conclusions
ALTERNATIVE 2 ACTION ALTERNATIVE	Alternative 2 would result in minor adverse effects	Alternative 2 would result in negligible impacts to biological resources after implementation of the mitigation measures BIO-1 and BIO-2, construction and operation impacts	Alternative 2 would result in a short-term, minor, adverse impact	Alternative 2 would result in moderate adverse impacts
ALTERNATIVE 1 NO ACTION Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	Alternative 1 would result in negligible impacts with respect to soil erosion and seismic or landslide events for all segments of the alternative, except for the Fort Mason Tunnel Segment, which could experience a moderate, longtern, adverse impact from dynamic settlement caused by a design-basis earthquake. This moderate impact would be reduced to minor intensity with implementation of the proposed mitigation measure(s).	Alternative 1 would result in no measurable change to vegetation, wildlife, or specialstatus species (if present)	Alternative 1 would result in no direct or indirect impacts to public health and safety	Alternative 1 would result in no impacts to public services or utilities under this alternative
	2000	x(a)		

Print Form

### **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

	Time stamp or meeting date
I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	
1. For reference to Committee:	
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee:	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	
11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the  Small Business Commission  Planning Commission  Building Inspection Commission  For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a difference of the commission of the printed agenda.	: Commission nmission
ponsor(s):	<u> </u>
Supervisors Farrell, Chiu	<u> </u>
Subject:	
Urging the National Park Service to cease efforts to locate Alcatraz Ferry Service at Fort 1	Mason Piers
The text is listed below or attached:	
Attached	
- $        -$	
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	1
For Clerk's Use Only:	Page 1 of 1
2000(6)	