File Number: (Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)			
Grant Resolution Information Form (Effective July 2011)			
Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.			
The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:			
. Grant Title: Mobile Recreation and Urban Trails gift			
2. Department: Recreation and Parks Departme	ent		
3. Contact Person: Lisa Bransten	Telephone: 415.831.2704		
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):			
[X] Approved by funding agency	[] Not yet approved		
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$200,000			
6a. Matching Funds Required: \$ NONE b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):			
7a. Grant Source Agency: SF Parks Alliance b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable):			
and Parks Department's Mobile Recreation progra	is a combination of a gift in place to support the Recreation am and to improve the department's Urban Trail system in the grant comes from a \$250,000 gift to the San Francisco Parks		
9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approva	al documents, or as proposed:		
Start-Date: November 2011	End-Date: Upon completion		
Oa. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$	550,000		
b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Y	'es		
c. If so, will contract services help to further the requirements? YES	e goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE)		
d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing req	quest for contracting out? One-time		
11a. Does the budget include indirect costs?	[] Yes [X] No		
b1. If yes, how much? \$ b2. How was the amount calculated?			
c1. If no, why are indirect costs not included? [1] Not allowed by granting agency	IX 1 To maximize use of grant funds on direct services		

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:			
Disability Access Checklist*(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)			
13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):			
[X] Existing Site(s)[] Rehabilitated Site(s)[] New Site(s)	[X] Existing Structure(s)[] Rehabilitated Structure(s)[] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)	
14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:			
1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;			
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;			
 Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers. 			
If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:			
Comments:			
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:			
<u>Lucas Tobin</u>			
(Name)			
ADA Coordinator for Programmatic Access (Title)			
Date Reviewed: (Signature Required)			
Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:			
Phil Ginsburg (Name)			
General Manager (Title)			
Date Reviewed:			
		(Signature Required)	

[] Other (please explain):

c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?