

File No. 120902

Committee Item No. 3

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Land Use and Economic Development Date April 15, 2013

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date _____

Cmte Board

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Resolution
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ordinance
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Legislative Digest
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Commission Report
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Introduction Form
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MOU
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Grant Information Form
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Grant Budget
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Subcontract Budget
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Contract/Agreement
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Form 126 – Ethics Commission
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Award Letter
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Application
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Correspondence

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Environmental Review Determination, 2/13/13</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Planning Commission Resolution No. 18812</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Notice of Public Hearing</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Alisa Miller Date April 12, 2013

Completed by: _____ Date _____

[Planning Code, Zoning Map - Upper Market Zoning Map]

Ordinance amending Planning Code, Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07, to change the use classification of specified lots on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and to change the height and bulk classification of Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034, from 50-X to 65-B; adopting findings, including environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

NOTE: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman;
deletions are ~~strike-through italics Times New Roman~~.
Board amendment additions are double-underlined;
Board amendment deletions are ~~strike-through normal~~.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds and determines that:

(a) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board of Supervisors finds that this Ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 18812, and hereby incorporates those reasons by reference. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120902.

(b) The Board of Supervisors finds that this Ordinance is in conformity with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the Planning Code for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 18812, and hereby incorporates those reasons by

reference. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120902.

(c) Environmental Findings. The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this Ordinance are in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120902 and is hereby incorporated by reference.

Section 2. The following amendments to the San Francisco Planning Code, duly approved by resolution of the Planning Commission, are hereby adopted as amendments to the Sectional Map ZN07 of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Assessor's Block/Lot Parcel Number	Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
3561009	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3561010	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3561011	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3561012	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3561013	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3561014	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3561015	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562001	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562003	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562004	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562006	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562007	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

3562008	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562009	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562010	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562011	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562014	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562015	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562017	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3562035	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3563022	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3563023	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3563026	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3563027	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3563028	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3563029	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3563030	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3563034	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3563036	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3563044	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564086	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564086A	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564087	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564088	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564090	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564091	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT

3564092	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564093	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564106 (portion)	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564107	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564111	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564112	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564113	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3564114	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3565073	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT
3565074	Upper Market NCD	Upper Market NCT

Section 3. The following amendments to the San Francisco Planning Code, duly approved by resolution of the Planning Commission, are hereby adopted as amendments to the Sectional Map HT07 of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:


Assessor's Block/Lot Parcel Number	Height and Bulk District to be Superseded	Height and Bulk District Hereby Approved
3563034	50-X	65-B

Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the date of passage.

Section 5. This section is uncoded. In enacting this Ordinance, the Board intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent part of the Planning Code that are explicitly shown in this legislation as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and

1 Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title
2 of the legislation.

3
4 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

5 By: 
6 Elaine C. Warren
7 Deputy City Attorney

REVISED LEGISLATIVE DIGEST
(10/16/2012, Substituted)

[Planning Code, Zoning Map - Upper Market Zoning Map]

Ordinance amending Planning Code, Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07, to change the use classification of specified lots on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and to change the height and bulk classification of Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034, from 50-X to 65-B; adopting findings, including environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Existing Law

The Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District (Upper Market NCD), on Market Street from approximately Noe to Castro Streets, and on side streets off Market, is a multi-purpose commercial district. Controls are designed to promote moderate-scale development that contributes to Market Street's design and character and preserves the existing mix of commercial uses. Most commercial uses are permitted with some limitations above the second story, where residential units are encouraged. Retail frontages and a mix of commercial uses are encouraged on the ground floor. Residential densities are limited to 1 unit per 400 square feet of lot area or, for group housing, 1 bedroom per 140 square feet of lot area. Height and bulk districts in the Upper Market NCD are 40-X, 50-X and 65-B.

The Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District (Upper Market NCT), on Market Street from approximately Church to Noe Streets and on side streets off Market has the same controls as the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District with the exception of residential densities and height and bulk. Residential densities, both dwelling units and group housing, do not have density limits by lot area and instead, density is restricted by physical envelope controls of height, bulk, setbacks, open space, exposure and other applicable controls and by design guidelines, the General Plan and design review by Planning. Height and bulk districts in the Upper Market NCT are 40-X, 50-X, 50/55-X and 60/65-X.

Amendments to Current Law

The ordinance would change the use classification map for the area along and on side streets near Market from approximately Noe Street to approximately Castro Street from Upper Market NCD controls to Upper Market NCT controls. Two lots near the northwest corner of Market and Castro Streets would remain in the Upper Market NCD district. The legislation would also change the height and bulk on one lot at the southwest corner of Market and Noe Streets from

50-X to 65-B. Companion legislation would modify the text of Planning Code Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to reflect the change in the area subject to the Upper Market NCD and Upper Market NCT controls.

Background Information

The intent of the legislation is to simplify the zoning along most of the Upper Market area. The two zoning districts are essentially the same except for the differences in residential density controls and there is no longer a planning rationale for the distinction. The height and bulk change for the one corner lot is to allow for higher groundfloor ceiling heights in keeping with good urban design principles.



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Certificate of Determination EXCLUSION/EXEMPTION FROM ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Date: February 13, 2013
Case No.: 2012.1306E
Project Name: BOS File Nos. 120901-2 & 120902-2 Amendments to San Francisco Planning Code related to the Upper Market St. Neighborhood Commercial District (NCD) and Upper Market St. Neighborhood Commercial Transit District (NCT)
Zoning: Upper Market St. NCD and Upper Market St. NCT
Block/Lot: Various
Lot Size: Various
Project Sponsor: Supervisor Scott Wiener, District 8, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Staff Contact: Kei Zushi – (415) 575-9036
kei.zushi@sfgov.org

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The proposed legislation, introduced by District 8 Supervisor Scott Wiener, would: 1) amend San Francisco Planning Code ("Planning Code") Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to modify the boundaries of the Upper Market St. NCD and the Upper Market St. NCT; 2) amend San Francisco Planning Code Section 703.2(b) to permit in a limited area food processing as an accessory use to a nearby off-site non-residential use; and 3) amend San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market St. NCD to the Upper Market St. NCT, and to change the height and bulk classification of a parcel at 2301 Market Street (Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034) from 50-X to 65-B. [Continued on following page.]

EXEMPT STATUS:

General Rule Exclusion (State CEQA Guidelines, Section 15061(b)(3))

REMARKS:

Please see next page.

DETERMINATION:

I do hereby certify that the above determination has been made pursuant to State and Local requirements.


Bill Wycko
Environmental Review Officer


Date

cc: Sophie Hayward, San Francisco Planning Dept.
District 8 Supervisor Scott Wiener

Distribution List
Virna Byrd, M.D.F

PROJECT DESCRIPTION (CONTINUED):

Planning Code Sections 721.1 and 733.1 describe the general location of the boundaries of the Upper Market St. NCD and Upper Market St. NCT, respectively. The proposed legislation would rezone the parcels on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, which are currently zoned Upper Market St. NCD (Neighborhood Commercial District), to Upper Market St. NCT (Neighborhood Commercial Transit District) (see Figure 1). In addition, San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 would be amended to reflect the above rezoning.

Furthermore, the proposed legislation would also change the height and bulk classification of a parcel at 2301 Market Street (Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034), which is located on the southwest corner of Market and Noe Streets, from 50-X to 65-B. This property is one of the parcels subject to the above rezoning (see Figure 2). Pursuant to Article 2.5 of the Planning Code, a 50-X Height and Bulk District allows a maximum building height of 50 feet with no bulk restrictions, and a 65-B Height and Bulk District allows a maximum building height of 65 feet and limits building bulk by restricting length and diagonal dimensions to 110 feet and 125 feet, respectively, above 50 feet in height. The parcel, approximately 9,800 square feet in size, is irregularly shaped along its front property line, as Market Street crosses Noe Street diagonally. A 25-foot-tall, two-story, 17,600-sf over-basement commercial building presently occupies the site. The predominant use of the building is the Gold's Gym Health Club on the first and second floors. A commercial space is also provided on the ground floor. The basement level is a 23-space parking garage, accessed from Noe Street.¹

Finally, the proposed legislation also includes an amendment to Planning Code Section 703.2(b) to permit a food processing use as defined in Section 790.54(a)(1)², located on the west side of Noe Street between 16th and Beaver Streets on the ground floor, as an accessory use to a non-residential establishment located within 300 feet of the food processing use. The parcels subject to this proposed amendment to Planning Code Section 703.2(b) generally contain two- to three-story mixed-use (residential above ground-floor commercial use) buildings, and ground-floor neighborhood commercial uses, including a restaurant, cafe, and dry cleaning shop. These parcels are currently zoned Upper Market St. NCD and would be rezoned to the Upper Market St. NCT as part of this legislation (see Figure 3). An off-site accessory food processing use permitted through this amendment would be required to be set back from the front property line by 15 feet or greater. In addition, authorization for an off-site accessory food processing use would be subject to the notice requirements outlined in Planning Code Sections 312(d) and 321(e). This proposed provision authorizing an off-site accessory food processing use would be repealed one year after its initial effective date, unless the Board of Supervisors extends or re-enacts the said provision on or before the expiration date.

REMARKS:

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) State Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) establishes the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects that have the potential to cause a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA.

¹ Paul Malizer, San Francisco Planning Department. *Preliminary Project Assessment, Case No. 2011.0423U, 2301 Market Street, Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034*, September 16, 2011. Available online at: <http://www.sfplanning.org/files/notice/2011.0423U.pdf>. Accessed January 25, 2013.

² A food processing use does not include mechanized assembly line production of canned or bottled goods pursuant to Section 790.54(a)(1) of the Planning Code.

Land Use. Both the Upper Market St. NCD and the Upper Market St. NCT zoning districts are intended to be multi-purpose commercial districts that provide limited convenience goods to adjacent neighborhoods, but also serve as a shopping street for a broader trade area. A large number of offices are located along Market Street in both of the districts. Market Street is a collection of dispersed centers of commercial activity, concentrated at the intersections of Market Street with secondary streets. Both of these zoning districts are well served by transit, and Market Street is a primary bicycle corridor. Commercial establishments are discouraged or prohibited from building accessory off-street parking to preserve the pedestrian-oriented character of the districts.

A project could have a significant effect on land use if it would physically divide an established community; conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to, the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect; or have a substantial adverse impact on the existing character of the vicinity.

Given the similarity of the zoning controls and permitted uses in both of the zoning districts, the proposed change in the boundaries of the Upper Market St. NCD and the Upper Market St. NCT would not be considered to cause a substantial adverse impact on the existing character of the subject area or conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation. The proposed change in the height and bulk classification for the parcel at 2301 Market Street (Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034) from 50-X to 65-B would not have a significant impact on land use because any future redevelopment that may occur at the site would be consistent with the existing land uses and buildings in the area.

The proposed amendment to Planning Code Section 703.2(b) to permit an off-site accessory food processing use would not cause a substantial adverse impact on the existing character of the subject area or its vicinity, given that: 1) the subject area currently contains similar commercial uses (including a restaurant, café, etc.); 2) a food processing use permitted through this legislation would be subject to existing and proposed development standards, including the minimum 15-foot front setback requirement and 300-foot distance standard (the maximum allowable distance between an off-site accessory food processing use and the non-residential establishment), which would minimize the food processing use's impacts on the physical character of the area; 3) the proposed provision authorizing food processing uses would be repealed one year after its initial effective date (unless the Board of Supervisors extends or re-enacts the said provision on or before the expiration date), which in turn would allow the Board of Supervisors to determine whether or not this provision should be continued beyond the one-year period; and 4) under the current Planning Code Section 703.2(b)(1)(C), a similar accessory use located on the same lot as the lawful principal use can be permitted in the subject area, provided that it complies with specific standards relative to floor area and other applicable restrictions to provide flexibility to local land uses.³

In light of the above, the proposed project would not physically disrupt or divide an established community, or conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation that has been adopted for the

³ Per Planning Code Section 703.2(b)(1)(C), Accessory Uses are prohibited in Section 728 (24th Street – Noe Valley Neighborhood Commercial District Zoning Control Table) and subject to certain limitations set forth in Planning Code Sections 204.1 (Accessory Uses for Dwelling Units in R and NC Districts), 204.4 (Dwelling Units Accessory to Other Uses), and 204.5 (Parking and Loading as Accessory Uses).

purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. For these reasons, the proposed project would not result in a significant impact on land use.

Visual Quality and Urban Design. The proposed legislation would not result in a substantial change in physical characteristics of existing buildings or sites within the subject area, except for the proposed change in the height and bulk classification of the parcel at 2301 Market Street (Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034) from 50-X to 65-B.

Under the proposed height and bulk classification, the parcel at 2301 Market Street could be redeveloped with a building up to 65 feet in height with bulk restrictions, which limit building bulk by restricting length and diagonal dimensions to 110 feet and 125 feet, respectively, above 50 feet in height (a 5-foot height increase would not be allowed in a 65-B Height and Bulk District per Planning Code Section 263.20). The parcel at 2301 Market Street could be redeveloped with a building up to 55 feet in height with a qualified ground-floor space (per Planning Code Section 263.20) under the current height and bulk classification (50-X). This ten-foot increase in the maximum allowable height (or forty-foot increase measured from the height of the existing structure on the parcel) would not be considered a significant change considering the physical context of the area, which contains many 40- to 50-foot-tall buildings in a dense, urban setting. As a result, the proposed change in the height and bulk classification would not have a substantial adverse impact on the existing character of the vicinity.

The proposed amendment to Planning Code Section 703.2(b) to permit a food processing use would not cause a substantial adverse impact with respect to visual quality and urban design, as such a food processing use would be established inside an existing building. Thus, the proposed amendment to Planning Code Section 703.2(b) would not result in a significant impact with respect to visual quality and urban design.

In reviewing visual quality and urban design under CEQA generally, consideration of the existing context in which a project is proposed is required, and evaluation must be based on the impact on the existing environment. That some people may not find a given development project attractive does not mean that it creates a significant aesthetic environmental impact; projects must be judged in the context of the existing conditions. For the proposed legislation, the context is a well-established, dense urban environment. Given the context, the proposed legislation would be consistent with the existing developed environment, and its visual effects would not be unusual and would not create adverse aesthetic impacts on the environment. Furthermore, it would not result in a substantial, demonstrable negative aesthetic effect, or obstruct or degrade scenic views or vistas now observed from public areas. Thus, the proposed legislation would result in less-than-significant impacts on visual quality and urban design.

Lastly, the proposed legislation would not directly or indirectly contribute to the generation of any obtrusive light or glare that is unusual in the subject area. Furthermore, use of reflective glass would be restricted by Planning Commission Resolution 9212. For all the above reasons, the proposed legislation would not result in a significant adverse effect on public views or aesthetics.

Historic Resources. There are no designated historic districts within or adjacent to the subject area. The only known historic resource for purposes of CEQA that is located within the subject area is the Jose Theater/Names Project building at 2362 Market Street (Assessor's Block No. 3562, Lot No. 011),

Landmark No. 241, pursuant to Article 10 of Planning Code.⁴ This property is one of the parcels subject to the rezoning proposed through this legislation.

The area along Market Street from approximately Church Street on the east to Castro Street on the west, including the parcel at 2301 Market Street (Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034), was identified in the Market and Octavia Neighborhood Plan as a potential California Register Historic District.^{5,6} Any proposed future development projects that may occur within the subject area would be subject to further review by the Planning Department's historical resources review team to ensure that the design, colors, and materials of the proposed building would not adversely impact existing and potential historic resources.

The proposed amendment to Planning Code Section 703.2(b) to permit a food processing use would not cause a substantial adverse impact with respect to historic resources, because such a food processing use would be established inside an existing building.

In light of the above, the proposed legislation would not result in a significant impact on historical resources.

Shadow. In general, adverse shadow impacts result when the height or bulk of a building increases. The proposed legislation would not result in an increase in building height or bulk, except for the proposed change in the height and bulk classification of the parcel at 2301 Market Street (Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034) from 50-X to 65-B. This proposed change could result in redevelopment of the parcel (currently containing a 25-foot-tall building) with a building up to 65 feet in height with bulk restrictions, which limit building bulk by restricting length and diagonal dimensions to 110 feet and 125 feet, respectively, above 50 feet in height.

Section 295 of the Planning Code was adopted in response to Proposition K (passed November 1984). Planning Code Section 295 mandates that new structures above 40 feet in height that would cast additional shadows on properties under the jurisdiction of, or designated to be acquired by, the Recreation and Parks Department (RPD) can only be approved by the Planning Commission (based on recommendation from the Recreation and Parks Commission) if the shadow is determined to be insignificant or not adverse to the use of the park. A shadow fan analysis for the proposed change in height and bulk district for the parcel at 2301 Market Street was prepared in compliance with Section 295

⁴ San Francisco Board of Supervisors. *Ordinance No. 92-04, Ordinance to Designate 2362 Market Street, the Jose Theater/Names Project building, as a Landmark Under Planning Code Article 10*, passed May 18, 2004. Available online at: http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/docs/landmarks_and_districts/LM241.pdf. Accessed January 25, 2013.

⁵ Paul Maltzer, San Francisco Planning Department. *Preliminary Project Assessment, Case No. 2011.0423U, 2301 Market Street, Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034*, September 16, 2011. Available online at: <http://www.sfplanning.org/files/notice/2011.0423U.pdf>. Accessed January 25, 2013.

⁶ Caitlin Harvey, Page & Turnbull, Inc. *State of California & The Resources Agency, Department of Parks and Recreation, District Record, the Upper Market Street Commercial Historic District*, June 2007. This document is available for review as part of Case File No. 2012.1306E at the San Francisco Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, California 94103.

of the Planning Code.⁷ The shadow analysis found that shadows cast by the proposed project would not shade Section 295 Open Space.⁸

The proposed rezoning of the parcel at 2301 Market Street would potentially result in increased shadows on the adjacent properties. However, reduction in the amount of lighting into a private parcel resulting from development on an adjacent parcel would not be considered a significant physical environment impact under CEQA.

The proposed rezoning of the parcel at 2301 Market Street would also shade portions of nearby streets and sidewalks at times within the project vicinity. These new shadows would not exceed levels commonly expected in urban areas, and would be considered a less-than-significant effect under CEQA. For this reason, the proposed legislation would not result in a significant impact with regard to shadow.

Cumulative Impacts. As described above, the proposed rezoning of the parcel at 2301 Market Street would not have a significant adverse effect on the environment. In light of the fact that the parcel is located in a fully developed area with existing buildings and uses, it would not have the potential to have a considerable contribution to a significant cumulative impact. Thus, cumulative impacts would be less than significant.

Neighborhood Concerns. A "Notification of Project Receiving Environmental Review" was mailed on January 24, 2013, to potentially interested parties. A comment letter was submitted by the Merchants of Upper Market & Castro (MUMC), stating that the Board of Directors of the MUMC unanimously supports the proposed legislation.⁹ No comments raising concerns or issues related to physical environmental effects have been submitted.

Conclusion. CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) provides an exemption from environmental review where it can be seen with certainty that the proposed project would not have a significant impact on the environment. As noted above, there are no unusual circumstances surrounding the current proposal that would suggest a reasonable possibility of a significant effect. Since the proposed project would have no significant environmental effects, it is appropriately exempt from environmental review under the General Rule Exclusion (CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3)).

⁷ Kei Zushi, San Francisco Planning Department. *Shadow Analysis for Height and Bulk Change: Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034*, January 25, 2013. This document is available for review as part of Case File No. 2012.1306E at the San Francisco Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, California 94103.


⁸ The Eureka Valley / Harvey Milk Memorial Branch Library site located at 1 Jose Sarria Ct. is not subject to Section 295 of the Planning Code because the site is owned by San Francisco Public Library, not San Francisco Recreation and Park Department.

⁹ Terry Asten Bennett, President, MUMC. *Comment Letter to Sophie Hayward and Kei Zushi, Staff Planners*, January 28, 2013. This document is available for review as part of Case File No. 2012.1306E at the San Francisco Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, California 94103.

Figure 1

Upper Market St NCD & NCT

BEFORE



AFTER




Figure 2

Existing and Proposed Height/Bulk Districts





Figure 3

Amendment to Section 703.2(b): Accessory Food Processing Use

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Printed: 25 January 2012





SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

February 25, 2013

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk
Honorable Supervisor Wiener
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Re: Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2012.1306TZ:
Amendments relating to the Upper Market NCD, and permitting food
processing as an accessory use on one parcel, and amending the Height and
Bulk designation for one parcel.
Board File Nos. 12-0901 and 12-0902
Planning Commission Recommendation: Approval with Modifications

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Wiener,

As you know, on February 21, 2013, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at the regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed amendments to the Planning Code and the Zoning Maps introduced by Supervisor Scott Wiener. At the hearing, the Planning Commission recommended approval with modifications.

The specific modifications recommended by the Planning Commission were:

1. That the Upper Market NCD (Planning Code Section 721.1) be eliminated in its entirety and replaced by the Upper Market NCT (Planning Code Section 733.1), by including Lots 006 and 091 on Assessor's Block 2623 in the Upper Market NCT. This would serve to further clarify the zoning in the area, and would result in fewer duplicative Zoning Districts defined in the Planning Code. This modification would require that Zoning Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 be modified, as well as all references in the Code to the Upper Market NCD.
2. That specific technical amendments as described in the attached resolution be made to Planning Code Section 733.1 in order to correct errors in the existing Code.


The proposed amendments would result in no significant impact to the environment, and the proposal is subject to a General Rule Exclusion under Section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act. Pursuant to San Francisco's Administrative Code Section 8.12.5 "Electronic Distribution of Multi-page Documents," the Department is sending electronic documents and one hard copy. Additional hard copies may be requested by contacting Sophie Hayward at 558-6372.

Supervisor, please advise the City Attorney at your earliest convenience if you wish to incorporate the changes recommended by the Commissions.

www.sfplanning.org

Please find attached documents relating to the action taken by the Planning Commission. If you have any questions or require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'AM-Rodgers', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

AnMarie Rodgers
Manager of Legislative Affairs

cc:

Supervisor Scott Wiener
Jon Givner, Elaine Warren, Deputy City Attorney
Jason Elliot, Mayor's Director of Legislative & Government Affairs

Attachments (two hard copies of the following):

Planning Commission Resolution
Draft Ordinance
Planning Department Executive Summary



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Planning Commission Resolution 18812

HEARING DATE FEBRUARY 21, 2013

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Project Name: Amendments relating to the Upper Market NCD, and permitting food processing as an accessory use on one parcel, as well as amending the Height and Bulk district for one parcel

Case Number: 2012.1306TZ [Board File Nos. 12-0901 and 12-0902]

Initiated by: Supervisor Wiener / Introduced September 19, 2012

Staff Contact: Sophie Hayward, Legislative Affairs
sophie.hayward@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257

Reviewed by: AnMarie Rodgers, Manager Legislative Affairs
anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org, 415-558-6395

Recommendation: Recommend Approval with Modifications

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPT WITH MODIFICATIONS A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND PLANNING CODE SECTIONS 721.1 AND 733.1 TO MODIFY THE EXPLANATION OF THE BOUNDARIES OF THE UPPER MARKET STREET NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT AND THE UPPER MARKET STREET COMMERCIAL TRANSIT DISTRICT, AND SECTION 703.2(B) TO PERMIT IN A LIMITED AREA FOOD PROCESSING AS AN ACCESSORY USE TO A NEARY OFF-SITE NON-RESIDENTIAL USE, AND AMEND SECTIONAL MAP SHEETS ZN07 AND HT07 TO CHANGE THE USE CLASSIFICATION OF SPECIFIED LOTS ON BLOCKS 3561 THROUGH 3565A ND TO CHANGE THE HEIGHT AND BULK DESIGNATION OF BLOCK 3563, LOT 034 FROM 50-X TO 65-B; ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS, PLANNING CODE SECTION 302 FINDINGS, AND FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2012, Supervisor Wiener introduced proposed Ordinances under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Numbers 120901-2 and 120902-2, which would amend Sections 721.1, 733.1, and 703.2(b) of the Planning Code and would amend San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 regarding the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District (NCD), the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District (NCT), accessory use definitions, and the Height and Bulk Classification of Assessor's Block 3563, Lot 034;

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on February 21, 2013; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be subject to a General Rule Exclusion under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15061(b)(3); and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors **approve with modifications** the proposed ordinance. Specifically, the Commission recommends the following modifications:

1. That the Upper Market NCD (Planning Code Section 721.1) be eliminated in its entirety and replaced by the Upper Market NCT (Planning Code Section 733.1), by including Lots 006 and 091 on Assessor's Block 2623 in the Upper Market NCT. This would serve to further clarify the zoning in the area, and would result in fewer duplicative Zoning Districts defined in the Planning Code. This modification would require that Zoning Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 be modified, as well as all references in the Code to the Upper Market NCD.
2. That the following technical amendments be made to Planning Code Section 733.1 be made in order to correct errors in the existing Planning Code:
 - a. **Amend the Upper Market NCT Zoning Control Table Section 733.10, "Height and Bulk Limit," to refer to Section 263.20, rather than 263.18.** This appears to be an error, as Section 263.18 establishes a special height and bulk district for the Transbay Downtown Residential District. The correct reference is to 263.20, which provides a 5' height bonus for active ground floor uses in certain districts, including both the Upper Market NCD and the NCT.
 - b. **Amend the Upper Market NCT Zoning Control Table Section 733.17, "Street Trees," to refer to Section 138.1, rather than to Section 143.** This appears to be an error, as Section 143 is a reserved section of the Planning Code. The applicable Code section is Section 138.1, the "Streetscape and Pedestrian Improvements" section which is based on the policies of the City's Better Street's Policy.
 - c. **Amend the Upper Market NCT Zoning Control Table Section 733.48, "Other Entertainment," to remove the "#" reference to the provision to allow bars within the Upper Market NCT to apply for and receive an entertainment permit without obtaining conditional use authorization.** This appears to be an error, as the legislation that permitted this "amnesty" program included a sunset provision which has expired.
 - d. **Amend the Upper Market NCT Zoning Control Table to include Section 733.69 to include restrictions on Tobacco Paraphernalia Establishments.** It appears that this section of the Zoning Table was inadvertently deleted from the Upper Market NCT.
3. The Commission directs Staff to prepare an Ordinance for initiation to make additional amendments for the two remaining corner parcels at Noe and Market Streets that are not historic resources (Block 3561, Lot 015 and Block 3564, Lot 091) to reclassify them from 50-X to 65-B

Height and Bulk designations in order to apply a consistent design principal for all of the Market Street parcels from Castro Street to Van Ness Avenue.

4. The Commission also directs Staff to initiate additional amendments as separate legislation to correct erroneous Height and Bulk designations parcels that were re-designated during the Historic Resource Survey Integration. The following parcels are currently zoned "60/65X" and should be zoned "65B":

- Corner of Market, Sanchez, and 15th Streets: Block 3542, Lot 039; Block 3558, Lots 137-152; Block 3559, 001; Block 3560, Lot 001;
- Corner of Market, Church, and 14th Streets: Block 3542, Lot 041; Block 3544, Lots 105-119.
- Northeast corner of Duboce Avenue and Guerrero Street, Block 3501, Lot 003.

The following parcels are currently zoned "50/55X," but should be zoned as "50X" Height and Bulk (allowing up to 5' in additional height as a bonus for active ground floor uses under Section 263.20):

- Corner of Market, Church, and 14th Streets: Block 3544, Lot 067 and 3543, Lot 001.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District was established as part of the Market-Octavia Area Plan (the "Plan") of the General Plan, adopted in April, 2008. At the time of the Plan adoption, the stretch of Market Street west of Church Street that extends to Castro Street was not included in the new NCT district.
2. The controls for the two existing districts are nearly identical, except that residential density is controlled within the Upper Market NCD based on lot size, whereas residential density is controlled within the NCT by physical envelope controls of height, bulk, setbacks, open space, exposure, etc.
3. There is no land use or planning rationale to maintain two, nearly identical zoning districts adjacent to one another.
4. Heights within the Upper Market NCT were defined in two phases: first, at the time of the Plan adoption in 2008, and then, for parcels west of Church Street, at the time of the Market and Octavia Historic Resource Survey Integration ("Survey Integration"), in 2010.
5. The result is that within the Upper Market NCT, corner parcels that are not historic resources have a higher height designation than do mid-block parcels. The policy rationale balances three goals: to maintain the integrity of potential historic districts, to promote development along transit corridors, and to encourage new development in a manner that enhances existing neighborhood character.

6. The proposed Ordinance would also amend the Height and Bulk Classification of Block Number 3563, Lot 034 from 50-X to 65-B, which is consistent with the policy rationale considered at the time of the Survey Integration.
7. The proposed Ordinance would also amend Planning Code Section 703.2(b) to allow a food processing use (as defined in Planning Code Section 790.54(a)(1)) to legally operate as an accessory use to a non-residential establishment located within 300 feet of the food processing use. This use would be subject to the noticing requirements set forth in Planning Code Section 312(d) and (e).
8. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance and the Commission's recommended modifications are consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

I. URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING

POLICY 2.4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

Allowing a height increase for the parcel located on Block 3563, Lot 034, is consistent with the principles outlined during the Survey Integration proceedings, which call for increased heights on corner parcels that do not contain historic resources. This will allow for increased development without threatening historic resources.

OBJECTIVE 3

MODERATION OF MAJOR NEW DEVELOPMENT TO COMPLEMENT THE CITY PATTERN, THE RESOURCES TO BE CONSERVED, AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 3.5

Relate the height of buildings to important attributes of the city pattern and to the height and character of existing development.

Both the proposed height increase as well as the proposed conversion of the NCD to the NCT complement the existing pattern and neighborhood environment, particularly as defined through the Market and Octavia planning effort.

8. **Planning Code Section 101 Findings.** The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed amendments will not have a negative impact on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not impact opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed amendments, including the proposed change to the height and bulk designation of one parcel, are consistent with the goals and policies of the Market-Octavia plan and will help preserve existing neighborhood character by allowing a height increase only at a corner location on a parcel that is not an historic resource.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed amendments will have no adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed amendments will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed amendments would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed ordinance may facilitate new development, which would be constructed using all current building and safety codes, therefore improving the City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

Landmarks and historic buildings would not be negatively impacted by the proposed amendments.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas would be unaffected by the proposed amendments. Any specific new construction projects would be reviewed at the time of their

project applications in order to assess potential impacts on sunlight access, to public or private property, would be reviewed.

8. **Planning Code Section 302 Findings.** The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby recommends that the Board ADOPT the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution and in the proposed Ordinance with the modifications outlined above.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on February 21, 2013.

Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

AYES: Commissioners Antonini, Borden, Fong, Hillis, Moore, and Sugaya

NOES: Commissioner Wu

ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: February 21, 2013



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Executive Summary Planning Code and Zoning Map Amendments HEARING DATE: FEBRUARY 21, 2013

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Project Name: Amendments generally rezoning the Upper Market NCD to Upper Market NCT; permitting food processing as an accessory use on one parcel; and amending the Height and Bulk district for one parcel

Case Number: 2012.1306TZ [Board File Nos. 12-0901 and 12-0902]

Initiated by: Supervisor Wiener / Introduced September 19, 2012

Staff Contact: Sophie Hayward, Legislative Affairs
sophie.hayward@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257

Reviewed by: AnMarie Rodgers, Manager Legislative Affairs
anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org, 415-558-6395

Recommendation: Recommend Approval with Modifications


PLANNING CODE AMENDMENT

The proposed Ordinance would amend the Planning Code by: (1) amending Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to modify the explanation of the boundaries of the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District and the Upper Market Street Commercial Transit District; (2) amending Section 703.2(b) to permit in a limited area food processing as an accessory use to a nearby off-site non-residential use; and (3) amending Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Blocks 3561 through 3565 (much of the Upper Market NCD to the Upper Market NCT) and to change the Height and Bulk classification of Block 3563, Lot 034 from 50-X to 65-B.

The Way It Is Now:

The proposed Ordinance would amend several components of both the existing Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District (UM NCD) and the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District (UM NCT). The following aspects of the UM NCD and the UM NCT may be amended with the proposed Ordinance.

The Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District (UM NCD), described in Planning Code Section 721.1, as originally created in 1987, was located on Market Street from Church Street to Castro Street. In 2008, the Market & Octavia Plan rezoned the portions of the UM NCD within the plan area to a transit-oriented district. The Market & Octavia Plan generally replaced the UM NCD within the plan boundaries to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit (UM NCT), described in Planning Code Section 733.1. This rezoning created a UM NCT from Church Street to Noe Street but left just over one residual block of UM NCD beyond the Market & Octavia Plan along Market Street generally from Noe Street to Castro Street, as shown in the map below.



This zoning map shows the existing zoning along Market Street. The red line indicates the boundaries of the Market & Octavia Plan.

Residential density within the Upper Market NCD is limited to one unit per 400 square feet of lot area for dwelling units, and one bedroom for every 140 square feet of lot area for Group Housing. Residential Demolition and Residential Conversions at the ground story within the Upper Market NCD are regulated by Planning Code Section 317, which requires a mandatory Discretionary Review for demolition or conversion of two units or less, and Conditional Use Authorization for three units or more.

The Height and Bulk Classification for Block 3563, Lot 034 is 50-X.

The manufacturing or processing of food if the retail sale of the food is not conducted on the premise may not be considered an accessory use, as detailed in Planning Code Section 703.2(b).

The Way It Would Be:

The proposed Ordinance would make three changes:

1. **Conversion from NCD to NCT:** The proposed Ordinance would convert much of the existing Upper Market NCD to the Upper Market NCT district. In the Upper Market NCT, residential density is not limited by lot area, but rather is restricted height, bulk, setbacks, open space, exposure and other applicable controls and Design Guidelines. Pursuant to Section 733.38, Residential Conversions at the ground story *of any number of units* require Conditional Use Authorization within the Upper Market NCT¹. Similarly, Residential Demolition requires Conditional Use Authorization at the ground level in the Upper Market NCT.
2. **Height Change:** The proposed Ordinance would amend the Height and Bulk Classification of Block Number 3563, Lot 034 from 50-X to 65-B.
3. **Food Processing:** The proposed Ordinance would also amend Planning Code Section 703.2(b) to allow a food processing use (as defined in Planning Code Section 790.54(a)(1) currently located on the west side of Noe Street between 16th Street and Beaver Street on the ground floor to legally operate as an accessory use to a non-residential establishment located within 300 feet of the food processing use. This would only be allowed if the food processing use is set back a minimum of 15' from the front property line. This use would be subject to the noticing requirements set forth in Planning Code Section 312(d) and (e). This provision would be repealed after one year.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may recommend adoption, rejection, or adoption with modifications to the Board of Supervisors.

RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The Department recommends that the Commission recommend *approval with modifications* of the proposed Ordinance to the Board of Supervisors and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect. Specifically, the recommended modifications include:

1. Convert all of the Upper Market NCD to Upper Market NCT;
2. Expand the limited use of off-site food prep for Café Flore to allow this type of use more broadly; and
3. Incorporate minor, technical modifications.

RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE COMMISSION CONSIDERATION

The Department recommends that the Commission consider review, separately and in the future, of the following additional modifications to the UM NCT:

1. Consider initiating other height changes consistent with the lessons learned from the Market & Octavia Plan and the related Historic Survey Integration; and
2. Fix existing height limit errors on Market Street.

If the Commission agrees with the above recommendations, the attached draft resolution would direct Staff to prepare an ordinance for initiation that would make these two height changes in a subsequent ordinance.

¹ This is as opposed to the general residential demolition, conversion, and merger controls of Section 317 which only require CU for the loss of three or more dwelling units and otherwise require DR for the loss of one or two units.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The following discussion reviews important issues and describes the basis for the Department's position.

1. Convert all of the Upper Market NCD to the Upper Market NCT.

The Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District was established as part of the Market & Octavia Area Plan (the "Plan") of the General Plan, adopted in April 2008. At the time of the Plan adoption, the stretch of Market Street west of Noe Street was outside of the Plan area and therefore not included in the new NCT district. The controls for the two existing districts are nearly identical², except for density controls. Residential density is controlled within the Upper Market NCD based on lot size (one unit for every 400 square feet of lot area for dwellings, and one bedroom for every 140 square feet of lot area for Group Housing), whereas residential density is controlled within the NCT by physical envelope controls of height, bulk, setbacks, open space, exposure, etc.³ There is no land use or planning rationale to maintain two, nearly identical zoning districts adjacent to one another. Therefore, the Department recommends that the Commission recommend that the Upper Market NCD be rezoned, in its entirety, to the Upper Market NCT by including the last remaining parcels: Assessor's Block 2623, Lots 006 and 091 on the northeast corner of Castro and 17th Streets.

2. Expand the limited use of off-site food prep for Café Flore to allow this type of use more broadly.

The proposed Ordinance would create a path to legalize what appears to be an illegal accessory kitchen located at 260½ Noe Street, which supports the small kitchen at Café Flore. The Department supports for this component of the proposed Ordinance, while acknowledging that there is opposition to the proposal. The proposed Ordinance would allow food processing as an accessory use for a nearby, but off-site, primary use for one year, subject to the neighborhood notification procedures outlined in Planning Code Section 312. As drafted, the proposed Ordinance would sunset after one year. In practice, this would create a path by which Café Flore's accessory kitchen could become legal through proper permitting during the year in which the Ordinance, if adopted, is in effect. When the provision sunsets after one year, the use would become a "legal, non-conforming" use as described in Planning Code Section 180.

The Department recommends that the Commission recommend to the Board to allow food processing as an accessory use to a near-by, off-site non-residential use more broadly. The Department recommends that the Commission recommend a modification that would: 1) remove the sunset provision; 2) allow off-site food processing as an accessory use within 300 feet of existing Restaurants or Limited Restaurants with neighborhood notification pursuant to the notice requirement of Planning Code Section 312(d) and (e); 3) require that the food processing use is either visible to the public by satisfying the transparency and fenestration requirements of Section 145.1(c)(6) or is completely screened from view behind an active, ground floor use as defined by Section 145.1(b)(2); and 4) prohibit serving the public within the accessory food preparation area so that any service to the public within the accessory use would be considered a new Restaurant or Limited Restaurant, as defined in Planning Code Sections 790.91 or 790.91. If these conditions are met, the Department recommends that this provision apply in all NC districts, rather than

² While the Upper Market NCT and NCD were more distinct at the time of the initial adoption of the Market & Octavia Plan, over time, the Upper Market NCD has been incrementally amended so that very few differences remain today.

³ Planning Code Section 733 includes the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District Zoning Control Table, available online at:
[http://www.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/planning/planningcode?f=templates\\$fn=default.htm\\$3.0\\$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco_ca\\$sync=1](http://www.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/planning/planningcode?f=templates$fn=default.htm$3.0$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco_ca$sync=1) (February 7, 2013).

limited to the geographic area outlined in the proposed Ordinance and that the proposed sunset provision be removed.

3. Incorporate Minor, Technical Modifications.

The Department also recommends a number of small modifications intended to correct errors in the existing Planning Code Section 733.1, which details the permitted uses within the Upper Market NCT. These technical modifications include:

1. Amend the Upper Market NCT Zoning Control Table Section 733.10, "Height and Bulk Limit," to refer to Section 263.20, rather than 263.18. This appears to be an error, as Section 263.18 establishes a special height and bulk district for the Transbay Downtown Residential District. The correct reference is to 263.20, which provides a 5' height bonus for active ground floor uses in certain districts, including both the Upper Market NCD and the NCT.
2. Amend the Upper Market NCT Zoning Control Table Section 733.17, "Street Trees," to refer to Section 138.1, rather than to Section 143. This appears to be an error, as Section 143 is a reserved section of the Planning Code. The applicable Code section is Section 138.1, the "Streetscape and Pedestrian Improvements" section which is based on the policies of the City's Better Street's Policy.
3. Amend the Upper Market NCT Zoning Control Table Section 733.48, "Other Entertainment," to remove the "#" reference to the provision to allow bars within the Upper Market NCT to apply for and receive an entertainment permit without obtaining conditional use authorization. This appears to be an error, as the legislation that permitted this "amnesty" program included a sunset provision which has expired.
4. Amend the Upper Market NCT Zoning Control Table to include Section 733.69 to include restrictions on Tobacco Paraphernalia Establishments. It appears that this section of the Zoning Table was inadvertently deleted from the Upper Market NCT.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE COMMISSION CONSIDERATION


1. Zoning Height Limits: Principals from the Market & Octavia Plan & Historic Survey Integration

The Department recommends that the Commission consider additional zoning map height amendments in light of the lessons learned from the Market & Octavia Plan and Historic Survey Integration. Heights within the Upper Market NCT were defined in two phases: first, at the time of the Plan adoption in 2008. And then again, for parcels west of Church Street, heights were adjusted with the Market and Octavia Historic Resource Survey Integration ("Survey Integration"), in 2010.

The Market & Octavia Plan originally called for Market Street to be zoned 85' in height beginning at the Church intersection and to the east, while west of Church Street was to be zoned for 65' height. Due to concerns about potential historic resources, the Commission adopted a plan that called for the heights to remain at 50' along Market Street (with a potential 5' bonus for active frontage) until the historic survey was complete. The historic Survey Integration balances three goals: to maintain the integrity of potential historic districts, to promote development along transit corridors, and to encourage new development in a manner that enhances existing neighborhood character.⁴ The Survey Integration resulted in allowing

⁴ Information about the Market and Octavia Historic Resource Survey Integration is available online here: <http://www.sfplanning.org/index.aspx?page=1713> (February 7, 2013). These three goals, while not in direct competition with one another, did require careful consideration. The Department recommended to the Historic Preservation Commission, the Planning

heights to be raised for non-historic corner parcels to 65' while other parcels would remain 50' with a potential 5' bonus for active ground floor uses.



This map shows the single parcel proposed for re-classification from 50-X Height and Bulk District to a 65-B Height and Bulk District, as well as the two additional parcel that the Department recommends be included for reclassification to 65-B. The red line indicates the boundaries of the Market & Octavia Plan.


The Department believes that the same rationale should be applied to all of Market Street that has been surveyed. The Department recommends that the Commission support the proposed Height and Bulk reclassification of Block 3563, Lot 034 from 50-X to 65-B Height and Bulk District proposed in this draft Ordinance and that the Commission consider initiating separate legislation to rezone the two parcels at the corner of Market, Noe, and 16th Streets. These are the only two remaining corner parcels east of Castro Street that are not historic resources and that are not proposed for height reclassification in the proposed Ordinance. Rezoning these two additional parcels would apply a consistent design principal for all of the Market Street parcels from Castro Street to Van Ness Avenue.

Commission, and the Board of Supervisors that higher height limits at corner parcels would promote compatible development on non-contributing sites within historic districts. For a more in-depth discussion of this particular issue, please see the materials associated with Case No. 2009.0707MZ for the Historic Resource Survey Integration.

2. Zoning Height Limits: Fix Existing Map Errors.

While the intent of the Survey Integration was to follow consistent nomenclature for the rezoning, some parcels were incorrectly designated. Typically, a parcel is given one height limit (such as 50') and if a height bonus is allowed, it is indicated via Planning Code Section 263.20. During the Survey Integration process, some parcels were given a height district with two numbers (such as 50/55) which is not correct and which is not seen anywhere else in the City. Specifically, the following parcels appear to have been incorrectly zoned with split height districts and should just have one height district:

1. Currently zoned "60/65X", should be zoned "65B" Height and Bulk:
 - o Corner of Market, Sanchez, and 15th Streets: Block 3542, Lot 039; Block 3558, Lots 137-152; Block 3559, 001; Block 3560, Lot 001;
 - o Corner of Market, Church, and 14th Streets: Block 3542, Lot 041; Block 3544, Lots 105-119.
 - o Northeast corner of Duboce Avenue and Guerrero Street, Block 3501, Lot 003.
2. Currently zoned "50/55X" but should be zoned as "50X" Height and Bulk, allowing up to 5' bonus for active ground floor uses under Section 263.20.
 - o Corner of Market, Church, and 14th Streets: Block 3544, Lot 067 and 3543, Lot 001.



This zoning map shows the two Height and Bulk Districts that the Department recommends be corrected. The red line indicates the boundaries of the Market & Octavia Plan.

These parcels were mistakenly designated as "60/65X" and "50/55X," which are not districts that are defined in the Planning Code and have no meaning. Rather, these designations were meant to reflect the

so-called "5' height bonus" available to parcels in within 30X, 40X, or 50X Height and Bulk districts within the NCT Zoning District; pursuant to Planning Code Section 263.20(b)⁵. The convention is to zone the parcel for a base 10 (i.e., 30', 40', 50') and then to offer the 5' height bonus to developments that qualify via the requirements of Section 263.20.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposal to amend Planning Code Sections 721.1 (Upper Market NCD), 733.1 (Upper Market NCT), and Section 703.2(b) (Uses Permitted in an NC District), and amending Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 would result in no significant physical impact on the environment. The proposed amendment is subject to a General Rule Exclusion under Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines.

PUBLIC COMMENT

As of the date of this report, the Planning Department has received numerous letters and emails in response to the proposed legislation. The Eureka Valley Neighborhood Association (EVNA) expressed support for the re-zoning of the Upper Market NCD to the Upper Market NCT, and opposition to the proposed changes to the height limit at Market and Noe Streets as well as for the proposal to permit food processing as an accessory for a limited time in a specific geographic location. The Duboce Triangle Neighborhood Association (DTNA) and EVNA submitted a joint letter expressing opposition to the component of the legislation that would allow food processing as an accessory use. Staff has also received a letter of support for the proposed project from the Merchants of Upper Market and Castro (MUMC). At this time, Staff has also received 60 letters and emails in support of the legislation as it relates to Café Flore.

RECOMMENDATION:	Recommendation of Approval with Modifications
------------------------	--

Attachments:

- Exhibit A: Draft Planning Commission Resolution
- Exhibit B: Board of Supervisors File Nos. 12-0901 and 12-0902
- Exhibit C: Letters in Support and Opposition to the Proposed Ordinance (64 Letters)
- Exhibit D: General Rule Exclusion (GRE), dated February 13, 2013

⁵ Specifically, the height exception allows up to an additional 5' in height above the base height restriction of 30, 40, or 50' "in order to encourage generous ground floor ceiling heights for commercial and other active uses, encourage additional light and air into ground floor spaces, allow for walk-up ground floor residential uses to be raised slightly from sidewalk level for privacy and usability of front stoops, and create better building frontage on the public street[...]" The additional 5' in height is not available in height districts greater than 50X.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO LAND USE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Economic Development Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date: Monday, April 15, 2013

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Location: Committee Room 263, located at City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

Subject: **File No. 120902.** Ordinance amending Planning Code, Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07, to change the use classification of specified lots on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; change the height and bulk classification of Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034, from 50-X to 65-B; adopting findings, including environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

In accordance with Section 67.7-1 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made a part of the official public record in this matter, and shall be brought to the attention of the Members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, Room 244, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board and agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, April 12, 2013.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Angela Calvillo".

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DATED: April 2, 2013
PUBLISHED: April 5, 2013

New Order[Home](#)

Your Order is sent.

[New Order](#)[Copy Order](#)[Order Lookup](#)[Order Tracking](#)

Open [0]

Ready [0]

Sent [1]

[Newspapers](#)[Accounting](#)[Reports](#)[Help](#)**Customer Information**

Customer Name	S.F. BD OF SUPERVISORS (NON-CONSECUTIVE)	Master Id	52704
Address	1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244	Phone	4155547704
City	SAN FRANCISCO	Fax	4155547714
State - Zip	CA - 94102		

Product Information

Legal GOVERNMENT - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Order Information

Attention Name Alisa Miller

Billing
Reference
No.

Save

Ad Description AM - 04.15.13 Land Use - File 120902

Sale/Hrg/Bid
Date**Special
Instructions****Orders Created**

Order No.	Newspaper Name	Publishing Dates	Ad	Price Description	Price ^A	d Status
2468198	SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE-CITY&CO. 10%, CA Billed To: S.F. BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES) Created For: S.F. BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)	04/05/2013	Depth : 4.20" Lines : 52	\$ No Pricing Formula for 2175	Pricing will be done by DJC	Sent

Order No.	Newspaper	View
2468198	SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE-CITY&CO. 10%	View Ad In PDF

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
LAND USE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
MONDAY, APRIL 15, 2013 - 1:30 PM
COMMITTEE ROOM 263, CITY HALL
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Economic Development Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard. **File No. 120902.** Ordinance amending Planning Code, Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07, to change the use classification of specified lots on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; change the height and bulk classification of Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034, from 50-X to 65-B; adopting findings, including environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. In accordance with Section 67.7-1 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written

comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made a part of the official public record in this matter, and shall be brought to the attention of the Members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, Room 244, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board and agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, April 12, 2013.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Miller, Alisa

From: glenda_sobrique@dailyjournal.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 10:58 AM
To: Miller, Alisa
Subject: Confirmation of Order 2468198 for AM - 04.15.13 Land Use - File 120902

Dear Customer:

The order listed below has been received and processed. If you have any questions regarding this order, please contact your ad coordinator or the phone number listed below.

Customer Account Number: 120503
Type of Notice : GPN - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE
Ad Description : AM - 04.15.13 Land Use - File 120902
Our Order Number : 2468198
Newspaper : SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE-CITY&CO. 10%
Publication Date(s) : 04/05/2013

Thank you for using the Daily Journal Corporation:

GLENDASOBRIQUE
DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION
CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU
915 E. FIRST ST., LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
Phone: (800) 788 7840 / (213)229-5300
Fax: (800) 540 4089 / (213)229-5481

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

Telephone (213) 229-5300 / Fax (213) 229-5481

Visit us @ WWW.LEGALADSTORE.COM

Alisa Miller

S.F. BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)

1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description AM - 04.15.13 Land Use - File 120902

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE. Please read this notice carefully and call us with any corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the Clerk of the Board. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

04/05/2013

Daily Journal Corporation

Serving your legal advertising needs throughout California. Call your local

BUSINESS JOURNAL, RIVERSIDE	(951) 784-0111
DAILY COMMERCE, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
LOS ANGELES DAILY JOURNAL, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
ORANGE COUNTY REPORTER, SANTA ANA	(714) 543-2027
SAN DIEGO COMMERCE, SAN DIEGO	(619) 232-3486
SAN FRANCISCO DAILY JOURNAL, SAN FRANCISCO	(800) 640-4829
SAN JOSE POST-RECORD, SAN JOSE	(408) 287-4866
THE DAILY RECORDER, SACRAMENTO	(916) 444-2355
THE INTER-CITY EXPRESS, OAKLAND	(510) 272-4747

CNS 2468198

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRAN-
CISCO LAND USE & ECONOMIC DE-
VELOPMENT COMMITTEE MONDAY,
APRIL 15, 2013 - 1:30 PM COMMIT-
TEE ROOM 263, CITY HALL 1 DR.
CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE,
SAN FRANCISCO, CA

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the
Land Use and Economic Development
Committee will hold a public hearing to
consider the following proposal and said
public hearing will be held as follows, at
which time all interested parties may at-
tend and be heard. File No. 120902.
Ordinance amending Planning Code,
Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07,
to change the use classification of spe-
cified lots on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561
through 3565, now in the Upper Market
Neighborhood Commercial District to
the Upper Market Neighborhood Com-
mercial Transit District; change the
height and bulk classification of Asses-
sor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034, from
50-X to 65-B; adopting findings, includ-
ing environmental findings; and findings
of consistency with the General Plan
and the Priority Policies of Planning
Code, Section 101.1. In accordance with
Section 67.7-1 of the San Francisco
Administrative Code, persons who are
unable to attend the hearing on this mat-
ter may submit written comments to the
City prior to the time the hearing begins.
These comments will be made a part of
the official public record in this matter,
and shall be brought to the attention of
the Members of the Committee. Written
comments should be addressed to An-
gela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, Room
244, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett
Place, San Francisco, CA 94102. Infor-
mation relating to this matter is available
in the Office of the Clerk of the Board
and agenda information relating to this
matter will be available for public review
on Friday, April 12, 2013. Angela Cal-
villo, Clerk of the Board



* A 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3 1 1 4 4 *

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

October 22, 2012

File Nos. 120901 & 120902 (Version 2)

Bill Wycko
Environmental Review Officer
Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Wycko:

On October 16, 2012, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following substitute legislation:

File No. 120901-2

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by: 1) amending Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to modify the explanation of the boundaries of the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District and the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; 2) amending Section 703.2(b) to permit in a limited area food processing as an accessory use to a nearby off-site non-residential use; and 3) making environmental findings, Planning Code Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

File No. 120902-2

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and to change the height and bulk classification of Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034 from 50-X to 65-B; and adopting findings, including environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review, pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.7(c).

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Alisa Miller".

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk
Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

September 19, 2012

Planning Commission
Attn: Linda Avery
1660 Mission Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On October 16, 2012, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following substitute legislation:

File No. 120901-2

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by: 1) amending Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to modify the explanation of the boundaries of the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District and the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; 2) amending Section 703.2(b) to permit in a limited area food processing as an accessory use to a nearby off-site non-residential use; and 3) making environmental findings, Planning Code Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

File No. 120902-2

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and to change the height and bulk classification of Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034 from 50-X to 65-B; and adopting findings, including environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code Section 302(b) for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use & Economic Development Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Alisa Miller".

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk
Land Use & Economic Development Committee

- c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
Bill Wycko, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs
Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Regina Dick-Endrizzi, Director
Chris Schulman, Commission Secretary
Small Business Commission, City Hall, Room 448

FROM: Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee
Board of Supervisors

DATE: October 22, 2012

SUBJECT: REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Land Use & Economic Development Committee

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following, which is being referred to the Small Business Commission for comment and recommendation. The Commission may provide any response it deems appropriate within 12 days from the date of this referral.

File No. 120901-2

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by: 1) amending Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to modify the explanation of the boundaries of the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District and the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; 2) amending Section 703.2(b) to permit in a limited area food processing as an accessory use to a nearby off-site non-residential use; and 3) making environmental findings, Planning Code Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

File No. 120902-2

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and to change the height and bulk classification of Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034 from 50-X to 65-B; and adopting findings, including environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Please return this cover sheet with the Commission's response to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

RESPONSE FROM SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION - Date: _____

____ No Comment
____ Recommendation Attached

Chairperson, Small Business Commission

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Ed Reiskin, Director, Municipal Transportation Agency

FROM: Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee
Board of Supervisors

DATE: October 22, 2012

SUBJECT: SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following substitute legislation, introduced by Supervisor Wiener on October 16, 2012, which is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes.

File No. 120901-2

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by: 1) amending Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to modify the explanation of the boundaries of the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District and the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; 2) amending Section 703.2(b) to permit in a limited area food processing as an accessory use to a nearby off-site non-residential use; and 3) making environmental findings, Planning Code Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

File No. 120902-2

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Assessor's Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and to change the height and bulk classification of Assessor's Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034 from 50-X to 65-B; and adopting findings, including environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

If you have any additional reports or comments to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Kate Breen, Government Affairs Manager, Municipal Transportation Agency
Janet Martinsen, Government Affairs Liaison, Municipal Transportation Agency

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

September 19, 2012

File Nos. 120901 & 120902

Bill Wycko
Environmental Review Officer
Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Wycko:

On September 11, 2012, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 120901

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by amending Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to modify the explanation of the boundaries of the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District and the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and making environmental findings, Planning Code Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

File No. 120902

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Block No. 2623 and Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and to change the height and bulk classification of Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034 from 50-X to 65-B; and adopting findings, including environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review, pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.7(c).

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Alisa Miller".

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk
Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

September 19, 2012

Planning Commission
Attn: Linda Avery
1660 Mission Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On September 11, 2012, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 120901

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by amending Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to modify the explanation of the boundaries of the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District and the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and making environmental findings, Planning Code Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

File No. 120902

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Block No. 2623 and Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and to change the height and bulk classification of Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034 from 50-X to 65-B; and adopting findings, including environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code Section 302(b) for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use & Economic Development Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Alisa Miller".

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk
Land Use & Economic Development Committee

- c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
Bill Wycko, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs
Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Regina Dick-Endrizzi, Director
Chris Schulman, Commission Secretary
Small Business Commission, City Hall, Room 448

FROM: Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee
Board of Supervisors

DATE: September 19, 2012

SUBJECT: REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Land Use & Economic Development Committee

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following, which is being referred to the Small Business Commission for comment and recommendation. The Commission may provide any response it deems appropriate within 12 days from the date of this referral.

File No. 120901

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by amending Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to modify the explanation of the boundaries of the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District and the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and making environmental findings, Planning Code Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

File No. 120902

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Block No. 2623 and Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and to change the height and bulk classification of Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034 from 50-X to 65-B; and adopting findings, including environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Please return this cover sheet with the Commission's response to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

RESPONSE FROM SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION - Date: _____

_____ No Comment

_____ Recommendation Attached

Chairperson, Small Business Commission

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Ed Reiskin, Director, Municipal Transportation Agency

FROM: Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee
Board of Supervisors

DATE: September 19, 2012

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Wiener on September 11, 2012, which is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes.

File No. 120901

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by amending Sections 721.1 and 733.1 to modify the explanation of the boundaries of the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial District and the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and making environmental findings, Planning Code Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

File No. 120902

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Block No. 2623 and Block Nos. 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District to the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, and to change the height and bulk classification of Block No. 3563, Lot No. 034 from 50-X to 65-B; and adopting findings, including environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

If you have any additional reports or comments to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Kate Breen, Government Affairs Manager, Municipal Transportation Agency
Janet Martinsen, Government Affairs Liaison, Municipal Transportation Agency

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

Time stamp
or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- ☐ 1. For reference to Committee:
- An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.
- ☐ 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.
- ☐ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee:
- ☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor inquires"
- ☐ 5. City Attorney request.
- ☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- ☐ 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).
- ☒ 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- ☐ 9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).
- ☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.
- ☐ 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- ☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Ethics Commission
- ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission

Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different form.

Sponsor(s):

Supervisor Wiener


Subject:

Planning Code Upper Market Zoning Map Amendment

The text is listed below or attached:

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by amending Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of specified lots on Blocks 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market NCD (Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District) to the Upper Market NCT (Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District) and to change the height and bulk classification of Block 3563, Lot 034 from 50-X to 65-B; adopting findings, including environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Signature . Sponsoring Supervisor:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'JWA', written over a horizontal line.

For Clerk's Use Only:

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO2012 SEP 12 AM 11:45
Time stamp
or meeting date
045

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- ☒
1. For reference to Committee: Land Use & Economic Development

An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.

- ☐
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.

- ☐
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee:

- ☐
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor" inquires"

- ☐
5. City Attorney request.

- ☐
6. Call File No. from Committee.

- ☐
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).

- ☐
8. Substitute Legislation File No.

- ☐
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).

- ☐
10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.

- ☐
11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- ☐
- Small Business Commission
- ☐
- Youth Commission
- ☐
- Ethics Commission
-
- ☐
- Planning Commission
- ☐
- Building Inspection Commission

Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different form.**Sponsor(s):**

Supervisor Wiener

Subject:


Planning Code - Upper Market Zoning Map Amendment

The text is listed below or attached:

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by amending Sectional Map Sheets ZN07 and HT07 to change the use classification of Blocks 3561 through 3565, now in the Upper Market NCD (Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District) to the Upper Market NCT (Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit District) and to change the height and bulk classification of Block 3563, Lot 034 from 50-X to 65-B; adopting findings, including environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1

120902

Signature . Sponsoring Supervisor: _____

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several overlapping, stylized strokes, positioned above the signature line.

For Clerk's Use Only: