File No.	120669	Committee Item No	6
		Board Item No.	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

		evelopment Date April 15, 2013
board of S	upervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Boa	ard	
X	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative A Youth Commission Repo Introduction Form Department/Agency Cove MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Comm Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	t r Letter and/or Report
OTHER	(Use back side if addition	al space is needed)
	Environmental Review Determine City Controller's Fee Report Economic Analysis Impact Youth Commission Memora Fee Ad	t, dtd 3/9/12 Report, dtd 4/2/13
Completed	by: Alisa Miller	Date April 12, 2013

[Subdivision Code - Condominium Conversion Impact Fee]

purchasing tenants; and adopting environmental findings.

1. 2

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

Ordinance amending the Subdivision Code, by adding Section 1396.4, to adopt a condominium conversion impact fee applicable to buildings qualifying for participating but not being selected or participating in the 2012 or 2013 condominium conversion lotteries only, subject to specified requirements, including lifetime leases for non-

NOTE:

Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u>; deletions are <u>strike-through italics Times New Roman</u>. Board amendment additions are <u>double-underlined</u>; Board amendment deletions are <u>strikethrough normal</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings. (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this Ordinance are in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120669 and is incorporated herein by reference.

(b) A copy of the report on the fees identified herein is in Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 120669 and is incorporated herein by reference. The City Controller's Office has independently confirmed that the fee amounts identified in said report remain valid. This determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 120669 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. The San Francisco Subdivision Code is hereby amended by adding Section 1396.4, to read as follows:

SEC. 1396.4. CONDOMINIUM CONVERSION IMPACT FEE.

Page 2 1/28/2013

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1/28/2013

the time of Final Map or Parcel Map approval. Any extended leases or rental agreements made pursuant hereto shall expire only upon the death or demise of such tenant or the last surviving member of the tenant's household, provided such surviving member is related to the tenant by blood, marriage, or domestic partnership, and is aged 62 or older at the time of death or demise of such tenant, or at such time as the tenant voluntarily vacates the unit after giving due notice of such intent to vacate. Each lease shall contain a provision allowing the tenant to terminate the lease and vacate the unit upon 30 days' notice. Rent charged during the term of any extended lease or rental agreement pursuant to the provisions of this Section shall not exceed the rent charged at the time of filing of the application for conversion, plus any increases proportionate to the increases in the residential rent component of the "Bay Area Cost of Living Index, U.S. Dept. of Labor," provided that the rental increase provisions of this Section shall be operative only in the absence of other applicable rent increase or arbitration laws. This Section shall not alter or abridge the rights or obligations of the parties in performance of their covenants, including but not limited to the provision of services, payment of rent or the obligations imposed by Sections 1941, 1941.1 and 1941.2 of the California Civil Code. There shall be no decrease in dwelling unit maintenance or other services historically provided to such units and such tenants. A binding and recorded agreement between the City and property owner(s) concerning this requirement shall be a tentative map condition imposed on each parcel or subdivision map subject to this Subsection 1396.4(q). For purposes of this Subsection, the Board of Supervisors delegates authority to the DPW Director, in consultation with the Mayor's Office of Housing, to enter in said agreement on behalf of the City and County of San (h) In recognition of the rental requirements of Section (g), the fee for each unit in which a non-purchasing tenant resides at the time specified in Section (g) shall be refunded to the subdivider

(1) One unit, 10% fee reduction for such unit:

25

(2) Two units, 20% fee reduction for each unit;
(3) Three units, 30% fee reduction for each unit.
(i) Upon confirmation of compliance with the rental requirement, DPW or the City
department in possession of the fee revenue shall refund the amount specified in Section (h) to the
subdivider and have all remaining fee revenues transferred to the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund
Mayor's Office Home Ownership Assistance Loan Fund.
(j) Waiver or reduction of fee based on absence of reasonable relationship.
(1) A project applicant of any project subject to the requirements in this Section
may appeal to the Board of Supervisors for a reduction, adjustment, or waiver of the requirements
based upon the absence of any reasonable relationship or nexus between the impact of development
and the amount of the fee charged or for the reasons set forth in Subsection (2) below, a project
applicant may request a waiver from the Board of Supervisors.
(2) Any appeal of waiver requests under this clause shall be made in writing and filed
with the Clerk of the Board no later than 15 days after the date the sponsor is required to pay and has
paid to the Treasurer the fee as required in this Section. The appeal shall set forth in detail the factual
and legal basis for the claim of waiver, reduction, or adjustment. The Board of Supervisors shall
consider the appeal at the hearing within 60 days after the filing of the appeal. The appellant shall
bear the burden of presenting substantial evidence to support the appeal, including comparable
technical information to support appellant's position. If a reduction, adjustment, or waiver is granted,
any change of use or scope of the project shall invalidate the waiver, adjustment or reduction of the fee
If the Board grants a reduction, adjustment or waiver, the Clerk of the Board shall promptly transmit
the nature and extent of the reduction, adjustment or waiver to the Treasurer and Department of Public
Works.
(k) Any building that participates in the fee program set forth herein shall automatically be
ineligible to participate in the 2014 condominium conversion lottery. DPW The City shall refund to
Supervisors Farrell, Wiener BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 8

Page 5 1/28/2013 1

2

the applicant any fees paid to participate in the 2014 lottery and shall remove any lottery tickets associated with the subject building from the lottery drawing.

(1) Buildings that convert pursuant to this Section shall have no effect on the terms and conditions of Section 1341A, 1385A, or 1396 of this Code.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the date of passage.

Section 4. This section is uncodified. In enacting this Ordinance, the Board intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent part of the Subdivision Code that are explicitly shown in this legislation as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the legislation.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

Deputy City Attorney

24

25

REVISED LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

(1/28/2013, Amended in Committee)

[Subdivision Code - Condominium Conversion Impact Fee]

Ordinance amending the Subdivision Code, by adding Section 1396.4, to adopt a condominium conversion impact fee applicable to buildings participating but not being selected in the 2012 or 2013 condominium conversion lotteries only, subject to specified requirements, including lifetime leases for non-purchasing tenants; and adopting environmental findings.

Existing Law

The San Francisco Subdivision Code regulates the conversion of apartments and tenancy-in-common buildings to condominium subdivisions and prohibits the conversion of buildings in excess of 6 units. Subdivision Code Section 1396 limits the number of conversions to 200 units annually which are selected in a condominium lottery. In order to participate in the lottery, a specified number of building owners must continuously occupy a unit(s) in the building for at least three years in advance of the lottery. Section 1396.3 sets forth the selection process for the annual 200-unit condominium lottery and bases the selection process, in part, on seniority of participation in past lotteries.

Amendments to Current Law

This Ordinance would impose a one-time fee on condominium conversions that would allow those buildings which participated but lost in the 2012 or 2013 condominium lottery to by-pass the 2014 lottery by paying the specified \$20,000 per unit fee. The fee would be reduced 20% for every year before 2013 that the building participated in the lottery, and the fee revenue collected would be placed into the Mayor's Office Home Ownership Assistance Loan Fund. The Ordinance also would require that all non-purchasing tenants at the time of final or parcel map approval of the condominium subdivision receive a lifetime lease with certain specified terms and subject to a binding agreement with the City concerning the lease. In recognition of the lifetime lease requirements, buildings would receive a refund on the condominium conversion fee tied to the number of units associated with a lifetime lease. The legislation would establish various time periods to pay the fee and complete steps of the conversion process. The Ordinance also would adopt environmental findings.

Ben Rosenfield Controller

Monique Zmuda Deputy Controller

April 02, 2013

The Honorable Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco Room 244, City Hall

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Room 244, City Hall

Re: Office of Economic Analysis Impact Report for File Number 120669

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
1813 APR -2 PM 1: 29

Dear Madam Clerk and Members of the Board:

The Office of Economic Analysis is pleased to present you with its economic impact report on file number 120669, "Condominium Conversion Impact Fee: Economic Impact Report." If you have any questions about this report, please contact me at (415) 554-5268.

Best Regards,

Ted Egan

Chief Economist

Condominium Conversion Fee: Economic Impact Report

File #120669



April 2 2013



City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller - Office of Economic Analysis

Condominium Conversion Fee: Economic Impact Report

April 2, 2013

Main Conclusions

This report analyzes the economic impact of proposed legislation that would modify the way tenancies-in-common may be converted to condominiums in San Francisco. Currently, 200 condominium conversions per year are permitted, and are selected by lottery. Approximately 700 TIC buildings, containing 2,269 housing units, have registered for the 2013 lottery. The proposed legislation would allow property owners of housing units that were registered for the 2012 or 2013 lotteries to bypass the lottery, and convert their buildings to condominiums by paying a fee. The fee was designed after a nexus analysis to offset expected increases in the demand for affordable housing in the city associated with condominium conversion.

Condominium conversion creates clear financial advantages for owners of tenancies-in-common (TIC) buildings. Property owners gain from the fact that financing costs are significantly lower for condominiums than for TIC units (with rates currently at 4.75% for TIC loans vs. 2.25% for comparable condominium mortgages). Under the State Costa-Hawkins Act, condominiums cannot be subject to rent limitations under most circumstances, so owners of condominiums also have the opportunity for greater rental income than owners of TIC units, the vast majority of which are subject to rent control.

The OEA projects that approximately 1,730 participants in the 2013 lottery would elect to utilize the fee option if the legislation were adopted, generating \$25 million in one-time fee revenue for the City. The City and other agencies that receive local property tax revenue also stand to receive an additional \$1.0 - \$1.7 as converted condominiums are sold and reassessed at a higher level. Tenants of these converted properties would likely spend between \$0.8 and \$1.1 million annually in higher rent.

The City may wish to explore the legalities of strengthening the tenant protections in the legislation. The financial analysis in this report suggests that the bulk of the benefit to property owners is associated with reduced financing costs, and the condominium conversion fee would still be attractive to TIC owners, even if any future rent increase in converted condominiums were limited in exactly the same way, and to the same extent, as rent-controlled apartments are.

INTRODUCTION

Background

Many multi-family residences in San Francisco are legally owned as entire buildings, in which the individual apartment units cannot be bought and sold separately. Condominiums, on the other hand, while often physically part of a larger multi-family residence, may be legally owned by an individual owner, and may be bought and sold separately from the remainder of the building.

For the most part, apartments are occupied by renters, although owners of apartment buildings may occupy units within their buildings. When units in a multi-family residence are occupied by more than one owner, it is referred to as a tenancy-in-common (TIC). Such buildings are often owned by a legal partnership.

TIC owners may buy and sell shares that are equivalent to the ownership of a single unit in the building—for example, a 20% share in a 5-unit building—but this does not make TIC ownership as straightforward as a condominium, as the TIC owner does not actually own his or her unit. Buying, selling, and making investments in a TIC can be significantly more complex, and risky, than it is with a condominium.

Because of this, financing and transaction costs associated with purchasing a TIC share are significantly higher than they are with a condominium, and most investors place a value on the condominium form of ownership. This value appears in the market as a price premium for condominiums over TIC shares.

TIC owners therefore have a clear financial incentive to convert their jointly-owned multi-family property into individually-owned condominiums. The City has a process to allow this conversion. 200 TIC units may be converted to condominiums each year, chosen by lottery.

The proposed legislation would create a one-time opportunity for TIC owners to bypass the lottery, and convert their TICs to condominiums by paying a fee to the

The legislation would only apply to TICs that were enrolled in the 2012 or the 2013 lottery.

In addition, the legislation would require any tenant remaining in a TIC unit at the time of conversion (a "non-purchasing tenant") to be granted a lifetime lease, with rent increases that are controlled by the Bay Area average rate of inflation in residential rent. The lease could not be modified by any future owner of the condominium.

The legislation establishes a conversion fee of \$20,000

The Proposed Legislation and Nexus Study

per unit, which decreases the longer the TIC has participated in the lottery, according to the schedule in Table 1.

	um Conversion Fee Discount, by ime in the Lottery
0 – 1 years	\$20,000
2 Years	\$16,000
3 Years	\$12,000
4 Years	\$8,000
5+ Years	\$4,000

The fee is based on a nexus study conducted in 2011 by Keyser Marston Associates (KMA)¹. The nexus study determined that the conversion of a TIC unit into a condominium would result in a net increase in personal income in San Francisco, through the net replacement of a household able to afford a TIC unit with a household able to afford a condominium. The resulting increase in personal income will lead to higher consumer spending, which is presumed to create employment and population growth. The maximum fee level identified in the nexus study is equal to the amount necessary to offset the housing affordability gap for the new households having income under 120% of the area median.

The nexus study did not consider any potential impacts related to to rent control, or to the effect of conversion on housing construction levels and market rents. It also did not consider the effect of condominium conversion on the assessed value of property in San Francisco, and on property tax revenue.

Based on discussions with brokers, KMA estimated the condominium premium to be 15%, equivalent to a \$45,000 to \$75,000 gain from conversion (less City conversion fees). The proposed maximum fees identified in the nexus study range from \$21,600 to \$34,900.

Each year the City allows qualified TIC buildings with two to six units to convert to condominiums through a lottery system. Two-unit buildings in which separate owners of each unit have occupied the building for at least one year are allowed to by-pass the lottery. Buildings with seven or more units are not permitted to convert to condominiums.

TIC buildings must meet certain owner occupancy requirements in order to enter the lottery and qualify for conversion. Each owner of the TIC must have at least 10% ownership interest. At least one owner must be an occupant of his or her unit for at least three consecutive

Condominium Conversion Qualification

¹ Condominium Conversion Nexus Analysis San Francisco, Keyser Marston Associates, January 2011

years for buildings with 2-4 units. At least three separate owners must be occupants of their separate units for at least three consecutive years for buildings with 5-6 units.

TIC owners can initially occupy units in the building they own through a variety of ways. Tenants may voluntarily leave, or they may be induced to leave through payments. They can also be evicted through an owner-occupancy eviction or an Ellis Act eviction. An owner-occupancy eviction can occur if the owner owns at least 25% of the property (10% if ownership began before February 21, 1991) and no other unit in the building has been subject to an owner-occupancy eviction. An Ellis Act eviction occurs when the owner withdraws all units in a building from the rental market. However, the City prohibits buildings that have had two or more evictions occurring in separate units after May 1, 2005 from qualifying for conversion for ten years.

TICs that do not win the lottery may remain in it in subsequent years with a higher probability of winning, provided they remain qualified. Based on lottery results from the past several years, conversion has generally been assured by the 7th or 8th year. However, this is not guaranteed by the lottery process, and the actual timing depends on the number of units in the lottery.

Dwelling units constructed before 1980 and offered for rent are subject to rent control under San Francisco's Rent Ordinance. This ordinance allows landlords to establish any initial rent, but limits future increases in rent to 60% of the rate of inflation in the San Francisco Bay Area.

However, the State's Costa-Hawkins Act (1995) prevents local rent control from applying to condominiums in California, in most circumstances. Because of Costa-Hawkins, a conversion of a pre-1980 rental unit to a condominium results in the loss of a rent-controlled unit. Even if the condominium is not owner-occupied, and is instead subsequently rented to a new tenant, that tenancy is not subject by rent control.

The Act does provide for an exception, when a condominium agrees to accept limitations on future rent increases as part of a contract with a public agency, and in exchange for a financial consideration. The proposed legislation utilizes this provision in Costa-Hawkins to require a lifetime lease for non-purchasing tenants; in exchange for this provision, the legislation provides for a fee reduction for affected TIC owners.

Condominium
Conversion and Rent
Control

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACTS

Introduction

By changing the process through which apartment units may be converted to condominiums, the proposed legislation will have some near-term, and potentially long-term, impacts on the city's housing market, economy, and tax revenues.

The proposed legislation would not affect the number of units that may be converted under the lottery. The conversion fee, therefore, would result in a net increase in the number of condominiums in the city: from 200 per year under the lottery, to 200 per year under the lottery, plus any that converted in 2013 utilizing the fee option. Assessing the impacts of the fee option therefore involves a comparison a condominium with an equivalent TIC unit.

As stated earlier, condominiums and TIC units differ in two primary respects:

- The financing cost for condominiums is lower than it is for TIC units, because of the greater ease of buying and selling the unit.
- Only TIC units may be subject to rent control.

Consequently, when owners convert a TIC building to condominiums, they stand to benefit from lower financing costs, as well as higher rental income, if the condominiums are rented to tenants. While many condominiums are intended to be owner-occupied after conversion, some are rented,² and the comparison between TIC units and condominiums is clearest if differences in financing costs and rental income are considered. The lower financing costs and higher potential income of condominiums also raises the value of the property, and ultimately its assessed value and the City's property tax revenue.

Once per-unit estimates of these impacts are made, an estimate of the likely utilization of the fee, and an aggregate economic impact estimate, can be made.

Impact on Unit Financing Costs

A comparison of condominium mortgage and TIC loan offerings that are similar in their payment terms suggests that there is currently about a 2.5% gap in interest rates paid between the two types of products. For a 30 adjustable rate loan, fixed for the first seven years, paying 1.25 points with excellent borrower credit, current TIC loan rates are 4.75%, while current mortgage rates are 2.25%.

4

² According to data from the U.S. Census, the percentage of San Francisco housing units that are renter-occupied increased after the housing market downturn. In 2011, 63.9% of housing units were renter-occupied; in 2006, 60.7% were.

Every property will be different, but the impact of less expensive financing on owner income can be illustrated by reference to two of the "prototype" TIC units referred to in the KMA nexus study. In this illustration, a TIC share costing \$300,000, needing to finance 70% of the original TIC purchase price, can potentially save \$3,572 in financing costs through conversion, over a thirty-year financing period. Financing costs could potentially be reduced by \$5,954 per year for a similar \$500,000 TIC unit.

Potential Annual Finance Savings from Condominium Conversion: Two Sample TIC units

TIC Sales Price	Assumed Loan-to-Value	Amount to Finance	TIC rate	Condo Rate	Annual Finance Cost-TIC	Annual Finance Cost-Condo	Annual Finance Savings from Conversion
\$300,000	70%	\$210,000	4.75%	2.25%	\$13,274	\$9,702	\$3,572
\$500,000	70%	\$350,000	4.75%	2.25%	\$22,123	\$16,170	\$5,954

Sources: for TIC rates, GordonFriedman.com (retrieved 3/18/13). For condominium mortgage rates, AmericanInterbanc.com (retrieved 3/18/13).

Impact on Future Rental Income

The fact that condominiums cannot be subject to rent control, but most TIC units are, creates the potential for future rent payments to increase in converted condominiums. This increase can be estimated by comparing increases in market-rate rent payments in the past, with allowable rent increases for rent-controlled units over the same time period.

As stated earlier, existing tenants in units converted using the fee may remain in their units, with future rent increases limited by the legislation. However, the index by which rent may increase under the legislation is different than the one used for rent-controlled units. Under the Rent Ordinance, annual increases in rent are limited to 60% of the overall rate of inflation in the Bay Area. For converted condominiums, rent increases are limited by the Bay Area rate of inflation in residential rents, one component of the overall rate of inflation.

This latter index captures the trend in actual rent paid across the Bay Area, and is in fact the best available estimate of future price increases in non-rent-controlled units. This suggests that there will only be a small difference in the increases in rent that current tenants utilizing the lifetime lease provision will face, from those faced by later tenants whose rent increases would be unregulated.

Over the 1980-2012 period, the average annual increase in this residential rent index was 4.9% per year. The

average allowable rent increase over the same period was 2.3%. If this difference extends in the future, then, on average, rental income associated with the property will increase by an average of 2.6% per year (4.9% - 2.3%). As Table 3 below indicates, this would translate into an annual increase in rent of \$437 per year for the \$300,000 TIC example from the nexus study which rents at \$1,400 per month, and \$624 for the \$500,000 example which rents at \$2,000 per month.

Potential Annual Rent Increases from Condominium Conversion: Two Sample TIC Units

TIC Sales Price	Current Rent	Rent increase - TIC	Rent Increase - Condo	Annual Rent Increase
\$300,000	\$1,400	2.3%	4.9%	\$437
\$500,000	\$2,000	2.3%	4.9%	\$624

Source: For current rent, KMA nexus study. TIC and Condo rent increases based on 60% of annual change in the CPI-U inflation index for the San Francisco Bay Area, and annual change in the residential rent component of the Bay Area CPI-U, respectively.

Together, the reduction in financing costs and the increase in rent combine to increase annual property income by about \$4,000-\$6,500 per unit. Table 4 suggests that, given a typical capitalization rate of 7%, this increase in property income would translate into an increase in property value of \$57,270 for the \$300,000 TIC, and \$93,965 for the \$500,000 TIC unit. When the condominium is sold, its 1% base annual property tax payment will increase by \$573 and \$940 respectively.

Although actual financing savings and rent increases will differ from these examples, it appears likely that property owners will benefit far more from the financing savings than from the rent increases. In both examples, finance savings make up 90% of the gain in property income and value.

Potential Annual Rent Increases from Condominium Conversion: Two Sample TIC Units

TIC Sales Price	Annual Finance Savings from Conversion	Annual Rent Increase	Annual Increase in Property Income	Capitalization Rate	Increase in Property Value	1% Annual Property Tax Payment
\$300,000	\$3,572	\$437	\$4,009	7%	\$57,270	\$573
\$500,000	\$5,954	\$624	\$6,578	7%	\$93,965	\$940

Fee Utilization and Revenue

As Table 1 indicated, the fee for TIC buildings in their first or second year in the lottery is \$20,000, with the fee declining with

According to the Department of Public Works, 2,269 eligible housing units are in the 2013 lottery. It is unlikely that all of them will elect to use the fee, because properties which have been in the lottery for six, seven, or eight years have a high probability of winning without needing to pay a fee.

Based on past winning probabilities for properties at different stages of the lottery, the OEA estimates that approximately 1,730 housing units would elect to convert using the fee. As it would mainly be more recent lottery entrants that would elect to pay the fee, the per-unit fee paid would be relatively high. The OEA further estimates that fee revenue would approximate \$25 million.

Aggregate Economic and Revenue Impacts

Given an estimate of the number of units that might be converted under the fee option, and the per-unit impacts discussed in earlier sections, a range of estimates of the aggregate impact of the proposed legislation on the City's economy and property tax revenue can be developed. Using the estimate of the number of housing units utilizing the fee, and the range of per-unit impacts discussed above

- An aggregate annual reduction of housing finance expenditure of between \$6.2 and \$11.4 million annually, benefitting the owners of the converted properties.
- An annual increase in rent payments of between \$0.8 million and \$1.1 million annually, due to the loss of rent-controlled housing units and the expected difference, based on past trends, between annual increases in market rents and allowable increases under the Rent Ordinance.
- A one-time increase in local government revenue of \$25 million, from the fee.
- An annual increase in property tax revenue of between \$1.0 million and \$1.6 million.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analysis in the preceding section suggests that the proposed legislation would create clear advantages for owners of tenancies-in-common. Their costs of financing their units would decline, and they would likely earn higher rental income from them, if they wish to put them up for rent, as many condominium owners do. This is both because condominiums are not subject to rent control, and because the rent index used by the lifetime lease provision of the legislation is equivalent to market-rate rent in the Bay Area.

The City stands to benefit from approximately \$25 million in one-time fee revenue, and, over time, approximately \$1.0 - \$1.7 million in higher property tax revenue, because the condominiums will, upon sale, have a higher assessed value.

At the same time, utilization of the fee option would reduce the number of rent-controlled housing units in the city, leading to higher rent payments from current and future tenants.

Despite the fact that property owners stand to increase their property income and value, while some renters face higher rents, condominium conversion is not a zero-sum game for the city.

Financial analysis of some typical TIC cases suggests that the benefits to property owners do not come primarily from higher rents, and that higher rents account for only about 10% of the gain to property owners. The reduction in financing costs is likely to be a much greater source of property income than higher rents. Fundamentally the financing savings is due to the greater efficiency of condominium ownership, compared with TICs, and those particular savings do not come at the expense of other stakeholders in the city.

This suggests that the legislation could be changed to eliminate the costs to future tenants without substantially reducing the incentive for property owners. Specifically, the City may consider if it is legally acceptable to modify the legislation in two ways:

- 1. Applying the same allowable rent increases to lifetime leases that apply to rent-controlled units;
- 2. Applying this level of rent limitation to every post-conversion tenancy, in perpetuity, and not only to tenancies of current non-purchasing tenants. As TIC owners would only be voluntarily accepting this control, in exchange for realizing the other benefits of conversion, it may be deemed to fit under the Costa-Hawkins exception that rent control may

only be applied to condominiums when the owner signs a contract with a public agency. As mentioned earlier, the lifetime lease requirement that is currently in the legislation already utilizes this exception.

STAFF CONTACTS

Ted Egan, Chief Economist (415) 554-5268 ted.egan@sfgov.org

Jay Liao, Economist, (415) 554-5159 jay.liao@sfgov.org



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

June 20, 2012

File No. 120669

Bill Wycko Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Wycko:

On June 12, 2012, Supervisor Farrell introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 120669

Ordinance: 1) amending the Subdivision Code by adding Section 1396.4 to adopt a condominium conversion impact fee applicable to buildings qualifying for but not being selected or participating in the 2012 condominium conversion lottery only, subject to specified requirements, including lifetime leases for non-purchasing tenants; and 2) adopting environmental findings.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review, pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.7(c).

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk

Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Statutory Exemptions CEON Section 15273

Rotes, Tolls, Fores & Changes

JOY HAVAPPERTE

From:

Michelle Allersma/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV

To:

Mark Farrell/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV,

Cc:

Catherine Stefani/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Ben Rosenfield/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, John Malamut/CTYATT@CTYATT, Kurt

Fuchs/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV

03/02/2012 11:19 AM

Subject: condo conversion fee update

Hello Supervisor Farrell--

We have reviewed the January 2011 Condominium Conversion Nexus Analysis prepared by Keyser Marsten Associates. We believe the data in the report are recent enough to provide a reliable estimate of the nexus amount attributable to condominium conversion, and that an updated report is not necessary for fee discussions at this time.

Attached is an updated estimate of potential fee revenue, which depends heavily on 1) the assumed current value of TICs and 2) the fee level. We've chosen an average value of \$500K, based on the nexus study, which estimates that the low end is \$300K-\$500K, and average recent sales prices (approximately \$600K in the past two years).

Table IV-5 of the nexus study lists the maximum supported fees per unit to be: \$21,787 for a \$300K unit \$30,117 for a \$400K unit

\$34,603 for a \$500K unit.

Estimates of increased property and property transfer tax revenue that could result from condominium conversions also depend heavily on TIC values and the number of TIC owners that would elect to convert. Kurt Fuchs will look into this more next week and get back to you.

Please let us know if you have questions, Michelle

Michelle Allersma Budget and Analysis Division Controller's Office City & County of San Francisco 415.554.4792



Ben Rosenfield Controller

Monique Zmuda Deputy Controller

MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Honorable Supervisor Farrell

FROM:

Ben Rosenfield, Controller

DATE:

March 9, 2012

SUBJECT:

Estimated Condominium Conversion Fee and Associated Property Tax and

Property Transfer Tax

Per your request, the Controller's Office has estimated the range of potential revenues that may be generated by the proposed Condominium Conversion Impact Fee Ordinance as currently drafted. As shown in Table 1, the estimated revenues range from \$7.4 million to \$24.6 million in fee revenues plus approximately \$0.1 million in additional property tax and real property transfer tax revenues. These estimates are highly sensitive to several key assumptions outlined below.

Table 1 Projected Single Year Fee Revenue, Property Tax, and Property Transfer Tax at Different Participation Rates

*	1,857 Units			% take up rate 929 Units	50% take up rate 557 Units		
Fee Revenue (one-time)	\$	24,644,000	\$	12,322,000	\$	7,393,200	
Property Tax	\$	40,000	\$	20,000	\$	10,000	
Transfer Tax	\$	50,000	\$	30,000	\$	20,000	
Total	\$	24,734,000	\$	12,372,000	\$	7,423,200	

Estimated Condominium Conversion Impact Fee Revenue

Our projections are based on Keyser Marsten Associates' (KMA) January 2011 Condominium Conversion Nexus Analysis. We have reviewed this report and believe the market data and other assumptions are sufficiently current to provide a reliable estimate of the nexus amount attributable to condominium conversion, and that an updated report is not necessary for fee discussions at this time.

The Condominium Conversion Fee contemplated by the ordinance ranges from \$20,000 to \$4,000 per unit, with the fee reduced the longer the property has been in the condo conversion lottery. The proposed fees are less than the maximum per unit fee to convert a tenancy-in-common (TIC) to a condominium supported by the KMA nexus study, summarized below:

- 1. \$300,000 TIC value; \$21,787 maximum conversion fee,
- 2. \$400,000 TIC value; \$30,117 maximum conversion fee, and
- 3. \$500,000 TIC value; \$34,603 maximum conversion fee.

The first step in our analysis was to estimate the participation rate of TIC owners willing to pay the conversion fee rather than taking a chance on winning in subsequent rounds of the condo lottery. Our assumption is that the alternative to paying the fee is that the TIC owner borrows an amount equal to the net increase in value from converting from a TIC to a condo for the projected number of years to win the lottery without paying a fee (based on the increased probability of winning the lottery each subsequent year). If the net benefit from paying the fee is greater than the alternative described above, it is assumed that the TIC owner would opt to pay the fee.

For purposes of the analysis, we have assumed an average TIC value of \$500,000, based on the range of values in the KMA nexus study, and average recent TIC sales prices of approximately \$600,000 in the past two years.

The potential revenue generated by the proposed fee is dependent on several key variables summarized below, which also include the assumptions used in the analysis:

- 1. TIC Value (\$500,000 per unit assumed in this analysis)
- 2. Value Premium from converting TIC to Condo (15%, per the KMA study)
- 3. Conversion Impact Fee level (based on proposed ordinance, initially \$20,000)
- 4. Percent of owners willing to pay the fee, or the "take up rate" (to account for uncertainty, a range is presented, assuming 100%, 50%, and 30% of owners opt to pay the fee)
- 5. Cost to convert from TIC to Condo (\$10,900 per unit for permits and code compliance corrections, per the KMA study)

Exhibit A presents a summary of the potential revenue generated by the proposed Condominium Conversion Impact Fee, based on the above key assumptions. As indicated, the fee is estimated to generate from \$7.4 million to \$24.6 million, depending on the participation rate. The bottom of Exhibit A includes an estimate of the fee revenue for a range of TIC values, as well as the revenue generated assuming fees were set at a rate to maximize participation.

Estimated Property Tax and Property Transfer Tax

The incremental value from converting a TIC to a condominium is not realized until the property is sold. In other words, the conversion process itself is not an "assessable event" and will not generate any increased property taxes or property transfer taxes. Only when the property is transferred will tax revenue be generated, based on the value enhancement from converting a TIC to a condominium (again, assumed to be 15% for purposes of this analysis).

Exhibit B presents an estimate of potential tax revenue generated from conversion. The analysis makes the simplifying assumption that the market value of the TIC is equal to the current assessed value. The key assumption in this analysis is the percent of units sold after conversion (which triggers re-assessment and transfer taxes). The turnover rate of residential properties in San Francisco averaged about 5% per year, based on the average annual units sold from 1994 to 2011 divided by the owner-occupied housing inventory.

Applying this turnover rate to the incremental value added through conversion and the assumed "take up" rate provides an estimate of the total incremental value of condos sold each year. Applying the tax rates to this incremental value results in about \$40,000 in property taxes and

Memo – Condominium Conversion Fee Page 3

\$50,000 in transfer taxes, assuming 100% take up rate and a \$500,000 base value, as indicated in Exhibit B.

If you have any questions, please contact me or you may call Kurt Fuchs on my staff, at 415-554-5369, or <u>Kurt.Fuchs@sfgov.org</u>.

Attachments

Exhibit A

1. Estimated Condominium Conversion Fee Revenue at \$500,000 Average TIC Value

			100% tak	100% take up rate	50% tak	50% take up rate	30% tak	30% take up rate
Lottery	Proposed			Potential Fee	# Units	Potential Fee	# Units	Potential Fee
Group	Fee	from BZ Fee	Participa	Kevenue	Participating	Revenue	Participating	Revenue
A6	4,000	%08	253	1,012,000	127	506,000	92	303,600
A 5	8,000	%09	324	2,592,000	162	1,296,000	976	777,600
A4	12,000	40%	350	4,200,000	175	2,100,000	105	1,260,000
B 3	16,000	20%	440	7,040,000	220	3,520,000	132	2,112,000
B2	20,000	:	490	9,800,000	245	4,900,000	147	2,940,000
Total			1,857	24,644,000	929	12,322,000	557	7,393,200

Key Assumptions

\$500,000 Average TIC value

Value premium from converting TIC to condo 15% Average cost of condo conversion per unit (code compliance, permitting) \$10,900

Annual interest rate 7.99%

Note: 100% of units in each lottery group would participate if the net benefit from paying the fee is larger than net benefit of the alternative. The the fee is to borrow an amount equal to the increase in value that converting to a condo would provide for the number of years it would take to net benefit of paying the fee is a 15% increase in the value, minus the fee and average costs of condo conversion. The alternative to paying win the lottery without paying a fee (i.e. number of years until unit has been in lottery for seven years).

2. Estimated Condominium Conversion Fee Revenue and Participation at Range of Average TIC Values

		Note	0 7	proposed 62 ree	level	revenue-	maximizing B2	fee level
rates of		30%		1,081,200	7,393,200	4,509,852	6,542,982	8,576,112
Revenue assuming take up rates of		20%	i	1,802,000	12,322,000	7,516,420	10,904,970	14,293,520
Revenue as		100%	1	3,604,000	24,644,000	15,032,840	21,809,940	28,587,040
'	# Units	Participating	0	277	1857	1857	1857	1857
	•	B2 Fee	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$12,200	\$17,700	\$23,200
	Current	TIC Value	\$300,000	\$400,000	\$500,000	\$300,000	\$400,000	\$500,000

Key Observations
Revenue are highly dependent on assumptions, particularly the assumed current value of TICs, the fee level, and participation rate.

Exhibit B

Property Tax and Transfer Tax Estimates to General Fund Based on Incremental Value of Converting TIC to Condo

		Estimated	Transfer Tax	0.75%	\$50,000	\$30,000	\$20,000		\$10,000	\$6,000	\$4,000
		Estimated	Property Tax	0.57%	\$40,000	\$20,000	\$10,000		\$10,000	\$5,000	\$3,000
Total	Incremental	Value of Condos	Sold		\$6,963,750	\$3,481,875	\$2,089,125		\$1,731,000	\$865,500	\$519,300
	% to Sell	After	Conversion		2%	2%	2%		2%	2%	2%
	Take Up	Rate (%	paying fee)		100%	20%	30%		100%	20%	30%
		Incremental	AV/ unit		\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000		\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000
New	Assessed	Value -	Condo		\$575,000	\$575,000	\$575,000		\$460,000	\$460,000	\$460,000
	Market/	Assessed	Value - TIC		\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000		\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000
	Number of	Units	Participating	\$500k TIC Value	1,857	1,857	1,857	\$400k TIC Value	277	277	277

Key Assumptions

15% Value premium from converting TIC to condo; taaxes estimated on this incremental value only.

Annual Residential Turnover rate (based on annual average residential units sold from 1994 to 2011 (6,000) divided by the City's owner-occupied housing inventory (125,000 units)). Source: Paragon Real Estate Group (based on MLS data); Dataquick; US Census, 2010. 2%

Key Observations

Tax revenues will only be realized when converted units are sold, which are based on historic turnover for all property types. To the extent that newly converted condos turnover faster, the potential tax revenue will be realized sooner. Youth Commission City Hall ~ Room 345 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4532



(415) 554-6446 (415) 554-6140 FAX www.sfgov.org/youth commission

YOUTH COMMISSION

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Honorable Mayor Edwin M. Lee

Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

CC:

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Honorable Members, Board of Education

Richard Carranza, Superintendent, San Francisco Unified School District

Greg Suhr, Chief of Police

William P. Siffermann, Chief, Juvenile Probation Department

Maria Su, Director, Department of Children, Youth and their Families Jason Elliott, Director of Legislative & Government Affairs, Mayor's Office

Nicole Wheaton, Commissions & Appointments, Mayor's Office

FROM:

Youth Commission

DATE:

Wednesday, February 27, 2013

RE:

Four Youth Commission actions: Questions regarding BOS file no. 120669 [Subdivision Code - Condominium Conversion Impact Fee]; resolutions urging the City not to equip juvenile probation officers with firearms and police officers with Tasers; and resolution regarding City/school district partnership on federal

Deferred Action program for undocumented youth

At our regular meeting Tuesday, February 19, 2013, the Youth Commission voted to take no position on BOS file no. 120669 [Subdivision Code - Condominium Conversion Impact Fee]. The Youth Commission urges the Board of Supervisors to consider the following three issues in the ongoing negotiations regarding this proposed legislation:

- The average household income of the owners of Tenancies in Common (TIC) who would be eligible for the condo conversion bypass and fee proposed in this ordinance;
- How the most vulnerable San Franciscans—especially young people, people of color, seniors, queers, single mothers, dependent children and low-income people in general—living in eligible TIC's could be impacted by this legislation (we wonder if the City could undertake a study of these issues, which could be called an "equity impact analysis"); and
- What the long term impact of this legislation will be on affordability of housing.

At this same meeting, moreover, the Youth Commission adopted resolution 1213—AL10 Urging the SFUSD to create a centralized process and facilitating the application process for students that are eligible for the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program and urging the Board of Supervisors and Mayor to work together with the SFUSD to support our undocumented students and transitionally aged youth.

This resolution (attached) calls on the San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD) to join with the City's Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) in publicizing the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals program, an Obama administration policy that provides the federal government with the discretion to defer deportation proceedings for undocumented young immigrants who meet certain qualifications. The resolution also asks the Mayor and the Board to do whatever possible to support our undocumented students and transitionally aged youth.

Please note that this resolution has already born fruit: many thanks to the SFUSD for already creating this centralized web resource for public school students who are eligible for Deferred Action!

In addition to this immigration-related resolution, the Youth Commission adopted two resolutions regarding criminal justice and law enforcement.

Resolution 1213—AL11 Urging the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to urge the San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department not to equip probation officers in the Serious Offender Program unit with firearms is meant as a contribution to a policy discussion that is currently underway in the City. Last December, San Francisco Chief Juvenile Probation Officer William P. Siffermann announced he was reviewing his department's safety protocols for juvenile probation officers and was considering revising these protocols to include the provision of firearms for certain juvenile probation officers. Chief Siffermann said at the January 9 meeting of the Juvenile Probation Commission that he plans to present revised protocols in April of 2013.

This resolution acknowledges the Chief's need to revise safety protocols given the new public safety climate. At the same time, the resolution expresses the Youth Commission's steadfast opposition to any potential protocols that include providing firearms for juvenile probation officers.

In turn, resolution 1213—AL12 Urging the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to urge the San Francisco Police Department not to acquire stun weapons (Tasers) for police officers draws on studies from Amnesty International and researchers at UCSF, as well as literature from the American Civil Liberties Union and the Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights, in urging the City Family not to move forward with the Police Chief's proposal to arm police officers with Tasers.

If you have any questions about these items or anything related to the Youth Commission, please don't hesitate to contact our office at (415) 554-6446 or your Youth Commissioner.

From:

Board of Supervisors

Sent: To: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 12:15 PM BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa File 120669: Condo Conversion

Subject:

From: Cat Bell [mailto:bellacatus@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sunday, April 07, 2013 10:24 PM

To: Board of Supervisors **Cc:** Breed, London

Subject: Condo Conversion

I oppose sweeping changes to Land Use ordinances to benefit a few without considerable public hearings, input, and discussion.

Sincerely, Cathy Bellin 516 Clayton Street San Francisco, CA

From:

Board of Supervisors

Sent:

Friday, February 01, 2013 10:30 AM

To:

Miller, Alisa

Subject:

File 120669: TIC-Condo Conversions

From: Lee Goodin [mailto:lgoodin1@mindspring.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2013 2:45 PM

To: Board of Supervisors; Chiu, David; Campos, David; Cohen, Malia; Farrell, Mark; Wiener, Scott; Kim, Jane; Breed,

London; Mar, Eric (BOS); Avalos, John; Chu, Carmen; Yee, Norman (BOS); letters

Cc: CW Nevius; matierandross **Subject:** TIC-Condo Conversions

Supervisors and Editor,

When we decided to move back to the city ten years ago, we looked at a number of TICs (tenants-in-common) while house-hunting. All were owner-occupied by young couples with young children. They were stuck with joint mortgages with the other owner(s)/occupier(s) – loans generally with higher interest rates than for condos. These are the young folks the city wants to keep in SF – but will not let them fully pursue the American dream of homeownership. Can someone please tell me just why the tenants' union has an iron in this fire? By the way, a \$20,000 conversion fee is awfully steep for young families with kids. And, oh yeah, we bought a condo in North Beach.

Lee Goodin

600 Chestnut Street #408

SF CA 94133

415 346-4335

lgoodin1@mindspring.com

From:

joseph chmielewski [jcin506@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Monday, January 28, 2013 12:11 PM

To: Cc: Chiu, David Miller, Alisa

Subject:

No on Condo Bypass Legislation

Jan 28, 2013

Dear Supervisor Kim and Chiu,

Please vote to table or otherwise kill the Ofarrell/Wiener Condo Bypass Legislation at today's Land Use Committee meeting.

As a district 6 voter and San Francisco tenant for 31 years I have seen how the whole TIC/Condo conversion dynamic has permantly removed rent-controlled housing from the finite stock that exists. This housing is crucial for people like me and thousdands of other low -- moderate income earners making \$35k a year or more. Income earners like me can't qualify for the low-income housing this legislation will create money for. For moderate income earners like me it is crucial that the finite stock of rent-controlled housing remain intact.

Lifetime leases are a poor substitue for rent controled buildings. Are lifetime leases legal?

Please refer to emails I sent both of you over the weekend, and again please vote to table or otherwise kill this legislation.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Chmielewski 50 Golden Gate Ave. #506 SF, 94102 415.440-3152 jcin506@yahoo.com Supervisor Scott Wiener
Supervisor Jane Kim
President David Chiu
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the BOS
Alisa Miller, Clear of Land Use and Economic Development Committee

Re: File #120669 Condominium Conversion Impact Fee Public Testimony

Dear Supervisors Wiener and Kim, President Chiu and Ms. Cavillo,

I was born and raised in San Francisco and have owned a home in this City for many years. I am in favor of the Condominium Conversion Impact Fee and ask that you support this proposal. I ask this for the following reasons:

- The Proposal will offer a solution to the lottery backlog. I was very much surprised to learn that many Tenancy-In-Common Owners have participated in the condo conversion lottery for more than ten years and have had no success.
- The Proposal will allow Tenancy-In-Common owners the opportunity to refinance into fixed 30 year mortgages with predictable payments. I understand that financing or refinancing for TIC's is extremely difficult and that the interest rates are high on such loans. However, the rate for condos is much lower. This will undoubtedly prevent foreclosures and preserve our neighborhoods.

I ask that you support this legislation.

Respectfully:

Arlene Filippi 42 Wood Street

San Francisco, CA 94118

January 23, 2013

Supervisor Scott Wiener
Supervisor Jane Kim
President David Chiu
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the BOS
Alisa Miller, Clear of Land Use and Economic Development Committee

RE: File #120669 Condominium Conversion Impact Fee Public Testimony

Dear Supervisors Wiener and Kim, President Chiu and Ms Cavillo,

As a member of an owner-occupied TIC group, I urge you to vote in support of the Condominium Conversion Impact Fee. This legislation will allow TIC owners, who are often entry level buyers in San Francisco, the chance to refinance into fixed 30 year mortgages with stable predictable payments instead of short-term adjustable mortgages that are the only option for financing TICs. This will allow us to keep our properties, prevent foreclosures and stabilize our neighborhoods.

The proposed fees will help to finance low income housing and tenents will be protected. This is a win-win for everyone in San Francisco. Please support this important piece of legislation.

Thank you,

Maria V. Rivero

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2013 JAN 24 - AM 8: 54

Supervisor Scott Wiener
Supervisor Jane Kim
President David Chiu
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the BOS
Alisa Miller, Clear of Land Use and Economic Development Committee

RE: File #120669 Condominium Conversion Impact Fee Public Testimony

Dear Supervisors Wiener and Kim, President Chiu and Ms Cavillo,

As a member of an owner-occupied TIC group, I urge you to vote in support of the Condominium Conversion Impact Fee. This legislation will allow TIC owners, who are often entry level buyers in San Francisco, the chance to refinance into fixed 30 year mortgages with stable predictable payments instead of short-term adjustable mortgages that are the only option for financing TICs. This will allow us to keep our properties, prevent foreclosures and stabilize our neighborhoods.

The proposed fees will help to finance low income housing and tenants will be protected. This is a win-win for everyone in San Francisco. Please support this important piece of legislation.

Thank you, Lois Wander

Lois Wander

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2013 JAN 24 AM 8: 55





San Francisco Group, Sierra Club, 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor, Box SFG, San Francisco CA 94105-3441

September 9, 2012

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

The Sierra Club opposes the proposed Condominium Conversion Ordinance introduced by Supervisors Mark Farrell and Scott Wiener (File No. 120669) and urges its rejection by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors. The primary reasons for the Sierra Club's opposition are as follows:

- Converting a Tenancy in Common unit ("TIC") to a condominium ("condo") doesn't create new housing. It only converts a unit from one type of ownership to another, and makes it easier to sell.
- The proposed fees for converting a TIC to a condo (\$4,000 to \$20,000) do not come close to providing the needed funds to build replacement rental units.
- The proposed ordinance endangers San Francisco's stock of rent-stabilized (commonly referred to as rent-controlled) units. While the ordinance does include a provision for a lifetime lease for existing tenants, those leases would leave tenants no less vulnerable to eviction, and moreover, once that lease expires and the condo is sold, another unit of housing with rent-stabilization protections is lost forever.

Instead of enacting this ordinance, the Sierra Club believes that the City of San Francisco should pursue policies that:

- Protect rent stabilization and rent-stabilized units, which are a housing type that can't be expanded (by law).
- Support the construction of more affordable housing, including family-size units.

We urge the Board to reject this proposal and instead look for better solutions to the challenge of providing of housing for San Francisco families.

Yours truly,

Rebecca Evans

Chair

cc: Mayor Edwin Lee



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

LAND USE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Economic Development Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date:

Monday, January 28, 2013

Time:

1:00 p.m.

Location:

Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

Subject:

File No. 120669. Ordinance amending the Subdivision Code by adding

Section 1396.4 to adopt a condominium conversion impact fee applicable to buildings qualifying for, but not being selected or

participating in, the 2012 condominium conversion lottery only, subject to

specified requirements, including lifetime leases for non-purchasing

tenants; and adopting environmental findings.

If the legislation passes, a one-time fee on condominium conversions would be imposed to allow buildings to by-pass the 2013 lottery if they either participated, but lost, in the 2012 condominium lottery or could have qualified for the 2012 lottery, but elected not to do so. The fee would be \$20,000 per unit, and for buildings that participated in the 2012 lottery, the fee would reduced by 20% for every year before 2012 that the building participated in the lottery. The fee revenues would be placed in the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund.

In accordance with Section 67.7-1 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made a part of the official public record and shall be brought to the attention of the members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, Room 244, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place, San Francisco CA 94102. Information relating to the proposed fee is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board and agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, January 25, 2013.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DATED: January 9, 2013

PUBLISHED: January 14 & 21, 2013

From:

glenda_sobrique@dailyjournal.com

Sent:

Wednesday, January 09, 2013 1:32 PM

To:

Miller, Alisa

Subject:

Confirmation of Order 2431361 for AM - File 120669 Fee Ad 01,28,13

Dear Customer:

The order listed below has been received and processed. If you have any questions regarding this order, please contact your ad coordinator or the phone number listed below.

Customer Account Number: 120503

Type of Notice

: GPN - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

: AM - File 120669 Fee Ad 01.28.13

Our Order Number

: 2431361

Newspaper

: SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE-CITY&CO. 10%

Publication Date(s)

: 01/14/2013,01/21/2013

Thank you for using the Daily Journal Corporation.

GLENDA SOBRIQUE DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU 915 E. FIRST ST., LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 Phone: (800) 788 7840 / (213)229-5300

Fax: (800) 540 4089 / (213)229-5481

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address: 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 Telephone (213) 229-5300 / Fax (213) 229-5481 Visit us @ WWW.LEGALADSTORE.COM

Alisa Miller S.F. BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES) 1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type:

GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

AM - File 120669 Fee Ad 01.28.13

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE. Please read this notice carefully and call us with any corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the Clerk of the Board. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

01/14/2013, 01/21/2013

Daily Journal Corporation

Serving your legal advertising needs throughout California. Call your local

BUSINESS JOURNAL, RIVERSIDE	(951) 784-0111
DAILY COMMERCE, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
LOS ANGELES DAILY JOURNAL, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
ORANGE COUNTY REPORTER, SANTA ANA	(714) 543-2027
SAN DIEGO COMMERCE, SAN DIEGO	(619) 232-3486
SAN FRANCISCO DAILY JOURNAL, SAN FRANCISCO	(800) 640-4829
SAN JOSE POST-RECORD, SAN JOSE	(408) 287-4866
THE DAILY RECORDER, SACRAMENTO	(916) 444-2355
THE INTER-CITY EXPRESS, OAKLAND	(510) 272-4747

CNS 2431361

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
LAND USE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPREVISORS
JANUARY 28,2 013 - 1:00 PM
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250,
CITY HALL
1 DR.C ARLTON B.G DODLETT PL,
SAN FRANCISCO,C A
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the
Land Use and Economico evelopment
Committee will a hold a public hearing to
consider the following proposal and said
public hearing will be held as follows, at
which time all interested parties may attend and be heard. File No. 120699.
Ordinance amending the Subdivision
Code by adding Section 1396.4 to adopt
a condominium conversion impact fee
applicable to buildings qualifying for but
not being selected orp articipating in the
2012 condominium conversion lottery
only, subject to specified requirements,
including lifetime leases for nonpurchasing tenants; and adopting environmental findings.
If the legislation passes, a one-time fee
on condominium conversions would be
imposed to allow buildings to by-pass
the 2013 lottery but elected not to do
so. The fee would be \$20,000 per unit
und for buildings that participated in the
2012 lottery, the fee would reduced by
20% for every year before 2012 that the
building participated in the lottery. The
fee revenues would be placed in the
2012 lottery, the fee would reduced by
20% for every year before 2012 that the
building participated in the lottery. The
fee revenues would be placed in the
fee revenues would be placed in the
comments to the C ity prior to the
sam Francisco Administrative Code,
personsw ho are unable to attend the
time the hearing begins. These comments will be made a part of the Officia
public record and shall be brought to the
attention of the members of the Committime the hearing begins. These comments will be made a part of the Officia
public record and shall be brought to the
attention of the members of the Committime the hearing begins. These comments will be readed to the adddressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the
Board, Room 244, Clty Hall, 1 Dr. Cartord Scale Scale Scale Scal





City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Youth Commission

Attn: Mario Yedidia, Director

FROM:

Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee

Board of Supervisors

DATE:

February 14, 2013

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed ordinance, introduced by Supervisor Farrell on June 12, 2013:

File No. 120669

Ordinance amending the Subdivision Code, by adding Section 1396.4, to adopt a condominium conversion impact fee applicable to buildings participating but not being selected in the 2012 or 2013 condominium conversion lotteries only, subject to specified requirements, including lifetime leases for non-purchasing tenants; and adopting environmental findings.

This matter will be heard in Committee on February 25, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. in the Legislative Chamber.

If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

June 20, 2012

File No. 120669

Bill Wycko Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Wycko:

On June 12, 2012, Supervisor Farrell introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 120669

Ordinance: 1) amending the Subdivision Code by adding Section 1396.4 to adopt a condominium conversion impact fee applicable to buildings qualifying for but not being selected or participating in the 2012 condominium conversion lottery only, subject to specified requirements, including lifetime leases for non-purchasing tenants; and 2) adopting environmental findings.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review, pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.7(c).

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk

Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Ben Rosenfield, Controller

John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department

Vivian Day, Director, Department of Building Inspection Mohammed Nuru, Director, Department of Public Works

FROM:

Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee

Board of Supervisors

DATE:

June 20, 2012

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Farrell on June 12, 2012, which is being forwarded to your department for review.

File No. 120669

Ordinance: 1) amending the Subdivision Code by adding Section 1396.4 to adopt a condominium conversion impact fee applicable to buildings qualifying for but not being selected or participating in the 2012 condominium conversion lottery only, subject to specified requirements, including lifetime leases for non-purchasing tenants; and 2) adopting environmental findings.

Please note, on Page 1, Lines 19-20, there is a reference to a "report on the fees." If your department is responsible for providing this report, please forward it to me at your earliest convenience.

If you have any additional reports or comments to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only on	ne):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee:		
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendmen	nt.	
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Con		
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee:		
☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor		inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	•	
6. Call File No. from Committee	e.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).		
8. Substitute Legislation File No. 120669		
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).		
☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.		
☐ 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before th	ne BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation show Small Business Commission Youth Commis		C
☐ Planning Commission ☐ B	Building Inspection Commission	a
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printe	ed agenda), use a different for	m.
Sponsor(s):		·
Supervisors Farrell, Wiener		
Subject:		
Condominium Conversion Impact Fee		
The text is listed below or attached:		
Attached		
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	Much SC	2
For Clerk's Use Only:		

1

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):
□ 1. For reference to Committee: Land Use & Economic Development
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee:
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor inquires"
☐ 5. City Attorney request.
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).
8. Substitute Legislation File No.
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).
☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.
☐ 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: Small Business Commission Vouth Commission Building Inspection Commission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different form.
Sponsor(s):
Supervisors Farrell and Wiener
Subject:
Subdivision Code - Condominium Conversion Impact Fee
The text is listed below or attached:
Attached
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: Mark S. Farr
For Clerk's Use Only: