

File No. 130131

Committee Item No. 2

Board Item No. \_\_\_\_\_

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Budget and Finance Sub-Committee Date 04/17/2013

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date \_\_\_\_\_

#### Cmte Board

- |                                     |                          |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Motion                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Resolution                                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ordinance                                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Digest                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report        |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Analyst Report                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Commission Report                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Introduction Form (for hearings)             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | MOU  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Information Form                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Budget                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Subcontract Budget                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contract/Agreement                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Form 126 – Ethics Commission                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Award Letter                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Application                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Public Correspondence                        |

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

- |                                     |                          |                          |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Notice of Hearing</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____                    |

Completed by: Victor Young Date April 12, 2013

Completed by: Victor Young Date \_\_\_\_\_

[Administrative Code - Agricultural Inspection Fees]

**Ordinance amending the Administrative Code, Section 1.10, to revise registration fees for inspecting agricultural products; and making environmental findings.**

NOTE: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman;  
deletions are ~~strike-through italics Times New Roman~~.  
Board amendment additions are double-underlined;  
Board amendment deletions are ~~strikethrough-normal~~.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 130131 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 1.10, to read as follows:

**SEC. 1.10. INSPECTION OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS PRIOR TO SHIPMENT –  
INSPECTION FEES.**

(a) The fees to be charged for inspection and certificates for agricultural products to be shipped, effective ~~October 1, 1995~~ January 1, 2013, shall \$40.00 ~~be as follows:~~

~~(a) Number of packages:~~

<del>1</del>	<del>\$29.00</del>
<del>- 101</del>	<del>\$35.00</del>
<del>- 201</del>	<del>\$40.00</del>
<del>501</del>	<del>\$35.00 40.00 plus one cent per package over 500</del>

packages

Over 1000      40.00 ~~plus one half cent per package over 1000~~  
packages.

(b) The fees ~~F~~for each certificate of fumigation shall be \$30.00.

(c) Twenty-five dollars shall be the minimum charge for any single certificate.

(d) State of California Fees. In addition to the fees set forth above, the California Code of Regulations, Title 3, Division 4, Chapter 7, Subchapter 1, Section 4603, requires each county agricultural commissioner to collect the following fees on behalf of the California Department of Food and Agriculture for recovery of the Department's administrative costs, and to remit these funds to the Department.

1. Federal Phytosanitary Certificates, per certificate:

(A) U.S. Department of Agriculture Phytosanitary Certificate Tracking System

(PCIT) ..... \$5.30

(B) If PCIT is not used.....\$5.30

(2) State of California Certificates, per certificate:

(A) Original State of California phytosanitary certificate or certificate of quarantine issued for interstate movement, if PCIT is used.....\$5.30

(B) If PCIT is not used.....\$5.30

(de) The County Agricultural Commissioner may develop procedures for the collection of any fees authorized by this Section.

(f) Beginning with fiscal year 2013-2014, the fee set forth in the Section may be adjusted each year, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, as set forth in this Section. Not later than April 1, the Director shall report to the Controller the revenues generated by the fee for the prior fiscal

1 year and the prior fiscal year's costs of operation, as well as any other information that the Controller  
2 determines appropriate to the performance of the duties set forth in this Section.

3 (g) Not later than May 15, the Controller shall determine whether the current fee has produced  
4 or is projected to produce revenues sufficient to support the costs of providing the services for which  
5 the fee is assessed and that the fee will not produce revenue which is significantly more than the costs  
6 of providing the services for which the fee is assessed. The Controller shall, if necessary, adjust the fee  
7 upward or downward for the upcoming fiscal year as appropriate to ensure that the program recovers  
8 the costs of operation without producing revenue which is significantly more than such costs. The  
9 adjusted fee shall become operative on July 1.

10 Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the  
11 date of passage.

12 Section 4. This section is uncodified. In enacting this Ordinance, the Board intends to  
13 amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers,  
14 punctuation, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent part of the Administrative Code that  
15 are explicitly shown in this legislation as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions,  
16 and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official  
17 title of the legislation.

18  
19 APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

20 By:   
21 VIRGINIA DARIO ELIZONDO  
22 Deputy City Attorney

23 n:\health\as2012\1300185\00810948.doc

**LEGISLATIVE DIGEST**

[Administrative Code - Agricultural Inspection Fees]

**Ordinance amending the Administrative Code, Section 1.10, to revise registration fees for inspecting agricultural products; and making environmental findings.**

**Existing Law**

Agricultural inspection fees are based on cost recovery, and subject to certain State law requirements.

**Amendments to Current Law**

This amendment simplifies the charge for the inspection and issuance of certificates for agricultural products by the County Agricultural Commissioner, and imposes mandatory State of California fees that must be collected by the County to be remitted to the California Department of Food and Agriculture.



**Item 2**  
**File 13-0131**

**Department:**  
Department of Public Health (DPH)

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **Legislative Objectives**

- The proposed ordinance would amend Section 1.10 of the Administrative Code to (1) increase and streamline fees charged by the County Agricultural Commissioner under the Department of Public Health's (DPH) Agriculture Program for inspection and certification of agricultural products sent to other States and foreign countries, (2) codify new State Certificate fees and existing Federal Certificate fees that the Agricultural Commissioner is required to collect on behalf of the California Department of Food and Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), and (3) authorize the Controller to adjust agricultural product inspection and certification fees annually, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, to ensure that the Program fully recovers the costs of operation for the upcoming fiscal year.

### **Key Points**

- In order to meet the plant quarantine requirements of importing States and countries, exporters of plants and unprocessed plant products are required to obtain Federal and State Phytosanitary Certificates, certifying these products for export. Under a Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the California Department of Food and Agriculture, the Agricultural Commissioner of each county in California performs inspections, issues Phytosanitary Certificates, and charges exporters a fee to recover the costs of inspection and certification.
- The proposed ordinance would increase and streamline fees charged by the County Agricultural Commissioner for inspection and certification of agricultural products by replacing the existing 5-tier fee with a single fee of \$40.
- The proposed ordinance would authorize the Controller to adjust agricultural product inspection and certification fees annually, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, to ensure that the Program fully recovers the costs of operation for the upcoming fiscal year. Under the proposed ordinance, future changes to the agricultural product inspection and certification fees would be posted on the DPH website.

### **Fiscal Impact**

- According to estimates provided by the County Agricultural Commissioner, the proposed ordinance would increase the annual revenues realized from agricultural product inspection and certification fees by \$1,313, from \$20,887 to \$22,200.
- If the proposed single fee of \$40 had been in effect for all of FY 2012-13, the proposed fee would have resulted in 93.4 percent recovery of the County Agricultural Commissioner's costs to inspect and certify agricultural products for export. However, Mr. Miguel Monroy, San Francisco's Agricultural Commissioner, advises that the proposed fee of \$40 is appropriate given (1) the uncertainty of how many inspections will be requested in the future and the hours required to perform those inspections, and (2) the Controller's authority to adjust the fee as needed to recover costs in future years, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, which would be authorized under the proposed ordinance.

### **Recommendation**

- Approve the proposed ordinance.

## MANDATE STATEMENT / BACKGROUND

### Mandate Statement

In accordance with Section 2.105 of the City's Charter, amendments to the Administrative Code are subject to approval by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors.

### Background

In order to meet the plant quarantine requirements of importing states and countries, exporters of plants and unprocessed plant products are required to obtain Federal and State Phytosanitary Certificates,<sup>1</sup> certifying these products for export. Under a Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the California Department of Food and Agriculture, the Agricultural Commissioner of each County in California (1) performs inspections to ensure that agricultural products meet plant quarantine requirements, such as having no visible traces of dirt on them, (2) issues Phytosanitary Certificates, and (3) charges exporters a fee to recover the costs of inspection.

According to Mr. Miguel Monroy, San Francisco's Agricultural Commissioner, the Department of Public Health (DPH) Agriculture Program performs between 500 and 600 inspections and certifications for approximately 25 to 30 exporters of agricultural products each year. Mr. Monroy advises that inspections are usually performed where the products are prepared for shipping and thus requires inspectors to travel to different shipping locations. Under the existing Administrative Code Section 1.10, exporters are charged a fee on a per shipment basis, which, as shown in Table 2 below, varies according to the number of packages in a shipment.

As shown in Table 1 below, annual revenues realized from agricultural product inspection and certification fees charged by the County Agricultural Commissioner recovered approximately 95.7 percent of the County Agricultural Commissioner's costs in FY 2010-11, and approximately 73.5 percent of the County Agricultural Commissioner's costs in FY 2011-12. Mr. Monroy advises that the Program's costs were higher in FY 2011-12 due to the hiring and training of a new inspector, and that the Program's costs for FY 2012-13 are expected to return to previous levels.

**Table 1: Agricultural Product Inspection and Certification Cost Recovery**

Year	Certificates Issued	Hours Expended	Estimated Cost	Actual Revenues	% of Cost Recovered
FY 10-11	597	415.5	\$19,333	\$18,494	95.7%
FY 11-12	555	551.5	\$28,416	\$20,887	73.5%

Source: Department of Public Health Agriculture Program

## DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposed ordinance would amend Section 1.10 of the Administrative Code to (1) increase and streamline fees charged by the County Agricultural Commissioner under DPH's Agriculture Program for inspection and certification of agricultural products sent to other States and foreign

<sup>1</sup> Phytosanitary Certificates are issued to indicate that consignments of plants, unprocessed plants products or other regulated articles meet specified phytosanitary import requirements.



countries, (2) codify new State Certificate fees and existing Federal Certificate fees that the Agricultural Commissioner is required to collect on behalf of the California Department of Food and Agriculture and the USDA, and (3) authorize the Controller to adjust agricultural product inspection and certification fees annually, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, to ensure that the Program fully recovers the costs of operation for the upcoming fiscal year.

#### Inspection and Certification Fees

The proposed ordinance would increase and streamline fees charged by the County Agricultural Commissioner for inspection and certification of agricultural products by replacing the 5-tier fee schedule, shown in Table 2 below, with a single fee of \$40. According to Mr. Monroy, the current fee schedule is unnecessarily difficult for inspectors and exporters to calculate, and does not accurately reflect the actual costs of performing inspections. Mr. Monroy advises that due to the process of inspecting a small number of randomly selected packages out of an entire shipment, variation in the total number of packages in a shipment has a negligible effect on the time required to perform inspections. In addition, as shown in Table 2 below, under the current fee schedule, exporters shipping between 501 and 1,000 packages pay a smaller inspection and certification fee than exporters shipping between 201 and 500 packages.

**Table 2: Current and Proposed Agricultural Product Inspection and Certification Fees**

Number of Packages in Shipment	Current Fees	Proposed Fee
1 to 100	\$29	\$40
101 to 200	\$35	\$40
201 to 500	\$40	\$40
501 to 1,000	\$35 plus 1 cent per package over 500 packages	\$40
Over 1,000	\$40 plus one-half cent per package over 1,000 packages	\$40

The proposed ordinance would also increase the fee for each Certificate of Fumigation from \$30 to \$40. Mr. Monroy advises that the Agriculture Program has not needed to issue a Certificate of Fumigation during his four years as County Agricultural Commissioner. Therefore, increasing the fee for Certificates of Fumigation would have negligible fiscal impact.

#### State and Federal Certificate Fees

The proposed ordinance would codify in Section 1.10 of the City's Administrative Code new State Phytosanitary Certificate fees and existing Federal Phytosanitary Certificate fees that the County Agricultural Commissioner is required to collect on behalf of the California Department of Food and Agriculture and the USDA. The California Code of Regulations sets these fees at \$5.30 per Certificate.

Exporters who have an account with the USDA Phytosanitary Certificate Tracking System make direct electronic payments to pay State and Federal Certificate fees. For exporters who do not have an account with the USDA Phytosanitary Certificate Tracking System, the County Agricultural Commissioner is required to collect the State and Federal Certificate fees and remit them to the California Department of Food and Agriculture and the USDA. However, Mr. Monroy advises that all exporters currently doing business in San Francisco have accounts with the USDA Phytosanitary Certificate Tracking System; therefore the County Agricultural

Commissioner generally does not have to administer the collection and remittance of the State and Federal Certificate fees.

According to the City Attorney's Office, these State and Federal Certificate fees are required regardless of whether they are included in San Francisco's Administrative Code. Ms. Dario Elizondo of the City Attorney's Office advises that codifying these State and Federal Certificate fees in the City's Administrative Code would further clarify to exporters the role of the County Agricultural Commissioner in collecting such fees.

As discussed above, the proposed ordinance would authorize the Controller to adjust agricultural product inspection and certification fees annually, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, to ensure that the Program fully recovers the costs of operation for the upcoming fiscal year. Under the proposed ordinance, future changes to the agricultural product inspection and certification fees would be posted on the DPH website.

## FISCAL IMPACT

The proposed ordinance would increase and streamline fees charged by the County Agricultural Commissioner for inspection and certification of agricultural products by replacing the 5-tier fee schedule, shown in Table 2 above, with a single fee of \$40.

According to estimates provided by the County Agricultural Commissioner, the proposed ordinance would increase the annual revenues realized from agricultural product inspection and certification fees by \$1,313, from \$20,887 to \$22,200, as shown in Table 3 below.

**Table 3: Estimated Revenues under Current Fees versus Proposed Single Fee of \$40**

	Estimated Certificates Issued	Estimated Hours Expended	Estimated Cost (FY 12-13)	Estimated Revenues	% of Cost Recovered
Current Fees	555	425	\$23,761	\$20,887	87.9%
Proposed Fee	555	425	\$23,761	\$22,200	93.4%

Source: Department of Public Health Agriculture Program

If the proposed single fee of \$40 had been in effect for all of FY 2012-13, the proposed fee would have resulted in 93.4 percent recovery of the County Agricultural Commissioner's costs to inspect and certify agricultural products for export. However, Mr. Monroy advises that the proposed fee of \$40 is appropriate given (1) the uncertainty of how many inspections will be requested in the future and the hours required to perform those inspections, and (2) the Controller's authority to adjust the fee as needed to recover costs in future years, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, which would be authorized under the proposed ordinance.

## RECOMMENDATION

Approve the proposed ordinance.



**Edwin M. Lee**  
Mayor

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2013 FEB -8 PM 2:11

**Barbara A. Garcia, MPA**  
Director of Health

February 8, 2013

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Attached please find an original and four copies of three proposed ordinances for Board of Supervisors approval, which are intended to conform the San Francisco Administrative Code to California Public Resources Code.

The following is a list of accompanying documents (five sets):

- Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code Section 1.10 to revise registration fees for inspecting agricultural products; and making environmental findings.
- Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by amending Section 1.13-5 to revise registration fees for inspecting and testing weighing and measuring devices as provided by state law; making environmental findings.
- Legislative Digest for Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by amending Section 1.13-15 to revise registration fees for inspecting and testing weighing and measuring devices as provided by state law; making environmental findings.
- Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Section 10.100-217 to establish a fund for the deposit of civil penalties assessed by the Department of Public Health and paid by operators of solid waste facilities for violation of solid waste disposal laws, and making environmental findings.

There is no requirement for a special timeline for these items.

The following persons may be contacted regarding this matter: Richard Lee, DPH Director of Environmental Health Regulatory Program at 252-3992 or Jim Soos, DPH Assistant Director of Policy and Planning at 554-2633.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Barbara A. Garcia".

Barbara A. Garcia, MPA  
Director of Health

Edwin M. Lee  
*Mayor*

Barbara Garcia MPA  
*Director of Health*

Rajiv Bhatia MD, MPH  
*Director of Environmental Health*

## MEMO

April 3, 2013

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
From: Miguel Monroy, Agricultural Commissioner/Sealer of Weights & Measures  
Re: Proposed Amendments to W & M Ordinances in the CA Government Code

I am forwarding the information required to address Section 54986 of the California Government Code. This information pertains to the following proposed legislation:

Ordinance amending Administrative Code Section 1.10 revising inspection fees for the inspection and certification of agricultural products.

- The proposed fee will generate approximately \$22,200.00 in FY 12-13
- Estimated FY 12-13 program costs are approximately \$23,761.00
- In FY 11-12 the program cost was \$28,416.00. The FY 11-12 revenue was \$20,887.00

Ordinance amending Administrative Code Section 1.13-5 revising registration fees for inspecting and testing weighing and measuring devices as provided by state law.

- The proposed fee will generate approximately \$517,695.00 in FY 12-13
- The estimated cost of the program for FY 12-13 is \$713,511.00
- In FY 11-12 the program cost was \$713,511.00. The FY 11-12 revenues were \$488,122.00



### Weights and Measures Program

1390 Market Street  
Suite 210

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone 415.252.3884

Fax 415.252.3869

[www.sfenvironmentalhealth.org](http://www.sfenvironmentalhealth.org)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Planning Department  
Environmental Review Officer

FROM: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board *by my*

DATE: February 20, 2013

SUBJECT: REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
Budget and Finance Committee

The Board of Supervisors Budget and Finance Committee has received the following, which is being referred to the Planning Department for determination as to whether the proposed legislation will impact the environment.

**Please provide your findings within 10 days from the date of referral.**

**File: 130131**

**Administrative Code - Agricultural Inspection Fees**

**Please return this cover sheet with the Commission's response to Victor Young, Clerk, Budget and Finance Committee.**

C: Nannie Turrell, Major Environmental Analysis  
Monica Pereira, Environmental Analysis  
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Analysis

\*\*\*\*\*

**RESPONSE FROM PLANNING DEPARTMENT - Date:** *February 21, 2013*

☐ No Comment  
☒ Recommendation Attached

*Statutory Exemption, Article 18,  
Section 15273, Rates, Fees, Fares  
and Charges.*  
*Nannie Turrell for*  
Bill Wycko, Environmental Review Officer

# CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

## DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012  
Telephone (213) 229-5300 / Fax (213) 229-5481  
Visit us @ WWW.LEGALADSTORE.COM

Victor Young  
S.F. BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)  
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

### COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE  
Ad Description: vy Fee Ad - 130131 and 130132 - Budget and Finance

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE. Please read this notice carefully and call us with any corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the Clerk of the Board. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

04/06/2013, 04/13/2013

#### Daily Journal Corporation

Serving your legal advertising needs throughout California. Call your local

BUSINESS JOURNAL, RIVERSIDE	(951) 784-0111
DAILY COMMERCE, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
LOS ANGELES DAILY JOURNAL, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
ORANGE COUNTY REPORTER, SANTA ANA	(714) 543-2027
SAN DIEGO COMMERCE, SAN DIEGO	(619) 232-3486
SAN FRANCISCO DAILY JOURNAL, SAN FRANCISCO	(800) 640-4829
SAN JOSE POST-RECORD, SAN JOSE	(408) 287-4866
THE DAILY RECORDER, SACRAMENTO	(916) 444-2355
THE INTER-CITY EXPRESS, OAKLAND	(510) 272-4747

CNS 2468823

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING  
BUDGET AND FINANCE SUB-  
COMMITTEE  
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
APRIL 17, 2013 - 10:00 A.M.  
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250,  
CITY HALL

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PL.,  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102  
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the  
Budget and Finance Sub-Committee will  
hold a public hearing to consider the following  
proposal and said public hearing will be held  
as follows, at which time all interested parties  
may attend and be heard:

File No. 130131. Ordinance amending the  
Administrative Code, Section 1.10, to revise  
registration fees for inspecting agricultural  
products; and making environmental findings.

If the legislation passes, the following fees  
for inspecting and certification for agricultural  
products to be shipped will be revised as follows:

The fee for inspection and certificates for  
agricultural products to be shipped shall be  
increased/reduced from \$25 for 1 package, \$35  
for up to 101 packages, \$40 for up to 201, and \$40  
plus one cent per package over 500 to \$40. The  
fees for each certificate of origin shall be \$30.  
The minimum charge for any single certificate shall be \$25.

State of California Fees: In addition to fees  
set forth in Administrative Code, Section 1.10,  
the following administrative fees shall be collected  
on behalf of the California Department of Food  
and Agriculture or recovery of the Department's  
administrative costs: (1) Federal Phytosanitary  
Certificates, per certificate: A. U.S. Department  
of Agriculture Phytosanitary Certificate Tracking  
System (PCIT) \$5.30; B. If PCIT is not used \$5.30;  
(2) State of California Certificates, per certificate:  
A. Original State of California Phytosanitary  
Certificate or Certificate of Quarantine issued for  
interstate movement, if PCIT is used \$5.30;  
B. If PCIT is not used \$5.30. Beginning in FY2013-2014  
the fees may be adjusted each year by the Controller,  
without further action by the Board of Supervisors,  
upward or downward to ensure that the program  
recovers the cost of operations without producing  
revenue which is significantly more than such costs.

File No. 130132. Ordinance amending the  
Administrative Code, Section 1.13-5, to revise  
registration fees for inspecting and testing  
weighing and measuring devices as provided by  
State law, and making environmental findings.

If the legislation passes, the following fees  
for inspecting and testing weighing and measuring  
devices will be increased as follows:

Device fee for liquefied petroleum gas  
meters, truck mounted or stationary, not to  
exceed amount shall be increased from \$175  
to \$185. Device fee for wholesale and vehicle  
meters, not to exceed amount shall be increased  
from \$25 to \$75. Device fee for computing  
scales shall not exceed \$20 per device.

The portion of the annual registration fee  
consisting of the business location fee and the  
device fee authorized by this

subsection shall not exceed the sum of \$1000  
for each business location. Device fee for  
jewelry and prescription scales shall not  
exceed \$80 per device. Device fee for weighing  
devices, other than computing, jewelry and  
prescription, with capacities of at least 100  
pounds, but less than 2,000 pounds, shall not  
exceed \$50 per device. Device fee for vehicle  
odometers, utilized to charge mileage usage fee  
in vehicle rental transactions or in computing other  
charges for services, including, but not limited to,  
ambulances, towing, or limousine services, shall  
not exceed \$60 per device. For vehicle odometers  
utilized to charge mileage usage fees in vehicle  
rental transactions involving non-passenger vehicles  
that are not subject to CA Civil Code Section 1936,  
the portion of the annual registration fee  
consisting of the business location fee and the  
device authorization in subsection (10)s shall  
not exceed \$340 for each business location. Utility  
Meters device fee for water submeter shall be \$2;  
electric submeter shall be \$3; and vapor submeter  
shall be \$4 per device per space or apartment.

State of California Fees: In addition to fees  
set forth in Administrative Code, Section 1.13-5  
(a-e), the following administrative fees shall be  
collected on behalf of the California Department  
of Food and Agriculture for recovery of the  
Department's administrative costs: Electric  
Submeter \$0.10; Water Submeter \$0.10; Vapor  
Submeter \$0.10; CNG Meter \$1.10; Fabric, Cordage,  
Wire Meter \$1.10; Grease and Lubricant Meter \$1.10;  
Odometer \$1.10; Retail Motor Fuel Dispenser \$1.10;  
Retail Meter \$1.10; Retail Water Meter \$1.10;  
Tank (Liquid Test) \$1.10; Taximeter \$1.10;  
Vehicle Meter \$1.10; Wholesale Meter \$1.10;  
Miscellaneous Measuring Device \$1.10;  
Scales less than 2,000 pounds capacity \$1.10;  
Liquefied Gas Meter \$8.00; Scales 2,000 to  
10,000 pounds capacity \$8.00; Scales greater than  
10,000 pounds capacity \$12.00.

In accordance with San Francisco Administrative  
Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to  
attend the hearing on this matter may submit  
written comments to the Clerk prior to the time  
the hearing begins. These comments will be made  
a part of the official public record and shall be  
brought to the attention of the Members of the  
Committee. Written comments should be addressed  
to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, Room 244,  
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,  
San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to  
the proposed fee is available in the Office of the  
Clerk of the Board. Agenda information relating  
to this matter will be available for public review  
on Friday, April 5, 2013.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board



\* A 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3 2 8 4 7 \*

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

### BUDGET AND FINANCE SUB-COMMITTEE COMMITTEE

### SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Budget and Finance Sub-Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

**Date:** Wednesday, April 17, 2013

**Time:** 10:00 a.m.

**Location:** Legislative Chamber, located at City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

**Subject:** File No. 130131. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code, Section 1.10, to revise registration fees for inspecting agricultural products; and making environmental findings.

If the legislation passes, the following fees for inspecting and certification for agricultural products to be shipped will be revised as follows:

The fee for inspection and certificates for agricultural products to be shipped shall be increased/reduced from \$29 for 1 package, \$35 for up to 101 packages, \$40 for up to 201, and \$35-\$40 plus one cent per package over 500 to flat fee of \$40.

The fees for each certificate of fumigation shall be \$30.

The minimum charge for any single certificate shall be \$25.

State of California Fees: In addition to fees set forth in Administrative Code, Section 1.10, the following administrative fees shall be collected on behalf of the California Department of Food and Agriculture for recovery of the Department's administrative costs:

(1) Federal Phytosanitary Certificates, per certificate:


- A. U.S. Department of Agriculture Phytosanitary Certificate Tracking System (PCIT) \$5.30;
- B. If PCIT is not used \$5.30;

(2) State of California Certificates, per certificate:

- A. Original State of California Phytosanitary Certificate or Certificate of Quarantine issued for interstate movement, if PCIT is used \$5.30;
- B. If PCIT is not used \$5.30.

Beginning in FY2013-2014 the fees may be adjusted each year by the Controller, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, upward or downward to ensure that the program recovers the cost of operations without producing revenue which is significantly more than such costs.

In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made a part of the official public record and shall be brought to the attention of the Members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, Room 244, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to the proposed fee is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, April 5, 2013.



Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DATED: April 5, 2013  
POSTED: April 5, 2013  
PUBLISHED: April 6 & 13, 2013