

File No. 130155

Committee Item No. 3

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Neighborhood Services & Safety

Date 5/2/13

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date _____

Cmte Board

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ordinance
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Legislative Digest
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Legislative Analyst Report
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Commission Report
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Introduction Form (for hearings)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MOU
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Grant Information Form
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Grant Budget
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Subcontract Budget
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Contract/Agreement
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Form 126 – Ethics Commission
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Award Letter
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Application
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Correspondence

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Derek Evans Date 4/29/13

Completed by: _____ Date _____

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages.
The complete document can be found in the file.

Evans, Derek

From: Paul Wermer [pw-sc_paul@sonic.net]
Sent: Thursday, April 25, 2013 7:07 PM
To: Farrell, Mark; Mar, Eric (BOS); Cohen, Malia; Campos, David; Yee, Norman (BOS)
Cc: Montejano, Jess; Stefani, Catherine; Kelly, Margaux; Evans, Derek
Subject: Hearing on SFMT Parking Meters May 2

Dear Supervisors,

I regret that I cannot attend the May 2 hearing on "SFMTA's Proposed Parking Meter Expansion Plans", but offer my comments by e-mail.

1) SFMTA has made a number of predictions in the SFPark program about the benefits that parking meters bring. SFMTA must present what they have learned from the SFPark program about revenues in the NCDs and how the SFPark program has impacted the merchants. The hypothesis that the SFPark program actually improves access to an NCD, and thus increases retail revenues in the NCD, is supported by some academic research (but not tested in San Francisco). The SF data that would support or contradict that hypothesis needs to be evaluated to test SFMTA's hypothesis. If SFPark improves retail revenues, then it improves the viability of the NCDs – which we all desire.

This is critical, because if the parking meter policy hurts merchants expanding it will be bad for our neighborhoods – both residents and merchants.

Unfortunately nothing is posted on the SFPark site Benefits section (<http://sfpark.org/about-the-project/benefits/>), and a search on "reports" or "results" draws a blank.

2) Many people (myself included) live where we do because there is available on-street parking, meaning that we do not need a garage. Imposing parking meters on residents whose purchase or rental decisions included evaluation of the on-street parking imposes significant additional costs on a small population to slightly benefit a large, ill defined population. This is not a popular action.

Any time that parking meters are introduced into a residential area, or a parking section significantly used by near-by residents (e.g. the proposed meters along the Presidio, Masonic, Euclid) SFMTA must accommodate the residents so that they do not lose parking spaces – Otherwise they will be forced to drive to work. Some program similar to the Residential Permits to permit nearby residents to occupy metered spots is essential. Removing resident parking by imposing meters is not acceptable. If the parking meters benefit merchants, then implementing meters near commercial districts might make sense – IF AND ONLY IF the needs of residents are also met.

Sincerely Yours,
Paul

Paul Wermer
2309 California St
San Francisco, CA 94115

415 929 1680

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

Time stamp
or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- ☐ 1. For reference to Committee:
- An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.
- ☐ 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.
- ☒ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee:
- ☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor inquires"
- ☐ 5. City Attorney request.
- ☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- ☐ 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).
- ☐ 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- ☐ 9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).
- ☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.
- ☐ 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- ☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Ethics Commission
- ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission

Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different form.

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

The text is listed below or attached:

Supervisor Farrell requests a hearing regarding SFMTA's parking meter expansion program into San Francisco neighborhoods and residential areas.

Even as a transit first city, San Franciscans deserve to have reasonable parking situations in their neighborhoods. With plans under discussion to expand SFMTA's number of parking meters citywide, every potentially affected neighborhood deserves to have extensive input into and thorough understanding of SFMTA's upcoming plan.

Furthermore, Supervisor Farrell requests information on the statistics driving this expansion, the revenues SFMTA anticipates from the expansion, SFMTA's policy rationale for the expansion, and an update on future SFMTA plans and projects that include parking meter expansions into our neighborhoods.

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

Mark G. J.

For Clerk's Use Only: