File No	130464	Committee Item No. 4	
		Board Item No.	
	COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS		
		DOARD OF SUPERVISORS	

	AGENDA PACKET CO	ONTENTS LIST
Committee:	Land Use and Economic Deve	lopment_ Date _ June 17, 2013
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Boar	rd .	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analy Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Le MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commissi Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	etter and/or Report
OTHER	(Use back side if additional s	pace is needed)
	Environmental Review Determ	ination, dtd 5/24/13
Completed become	oy: Alisa Miller oy:	Date June 13, 2013 Date

[Administrative Code - California Environmental Quality Act Procedures, Appeal of Exempt Project Modification]

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

NOTE:

Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u>; deletions are <u>strike-through italics Times New Roman</u>. Board amendment additions are <u>double-underlined</u>; Board amendment deletions are <u>strikethrough normal</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding new Section 31.08(k), to read as follows:

SEC. 31.08. CATEGORICAL EXEMPTIONS.

(k) Modification of Exempt Project. Where a modification occurs to a project that the Planning Department has determined to be exempt, prior to any subsequent approval actions, the Environmental Review Officer shall determine whether the modification requires a new CEQA decision.

(1) For purposes of exempt projects, a modification requiring reevaluation under Section 31.19(b) shall mean that the Planning Department is presented with a change in the scope of a project as described in the original application upon which Planning based the exemption determination, or the Planning Department is presented with new information regarding the environmental impacts of the project. If the Environmental Review Officer determines that the modification does not require reevaluation, such determination may be appealed to the Planning Commission as provided for in Section 31.08(k)(2). If the Environmental Review Officer determines that the project requires reevaluation as provided for in Section 31.19(b), the new CEQA decision rendered by the Planning Department or Planning Commission, may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors as provided for in Section 31.16.

(2) When the Environmental Review Officer determines that the modification does not require a new CEOA decision, the Environmental Review Officer shall post a notice of the determination in the offices of the Planning Department and on the Planning Department website, and mail such notice to the applicant, board(s), commission(s) or department(s) that will carry out or approve the project, and to any individuals or organizations that have previously requested such notice in writing. Any person may appeal such determination to the Planning Commission within 30 days from the posting of such notice on the Planning Department website. The Planning Commission shall schedule a hearing on the appeal within 30 days of the filing of the appeal and take action on the appeal within 60 days of the posting of the notice on the Planning Department website. The Planning Commission shall uphold the appeal if it finds that the Planning Department determination is adequately supported by the record before the Planning Department and the Planning Commission. If the Planning Commission rejects the Planning Department is determination, the Planning Department shall prepare a new CEOA decision for the modified project as provided for in Section 31.19(b). The City shall not take any action to approve the project until the appeal period has expired with no appeal

filed, or, if an appeal is filed, until the Planning Commission upholds the Planning Department's determination.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the date of passage.

Section 4. This section is uncodified. In enacting this Ordinance, the Board intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent part of the Administrative Code that are explicitly shown in this legislation as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the legislation.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2013\1300351\00847224.doc

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative Code - California Environmental Quality Act Procedures, Appeal of Exempt Project Modification]

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

Existing Law

The City of San Francisco, in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code Section 21000 *et seq.* ("CEQA"), and CEQA Guidelines, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 15000 *et seq.* has adopted local procedures for administering its responsibilities under CEQA. These procedures are codified in San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. These procedures tailor the general provisions of the CEQA Guidelines to the specific operations of the City and incorporate by reference the provisions of CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance amends one section of current Chapter 31. The amendment provides for a process of appealing a determination by the Planning Department to the Planning Commission when the Planning Department determines that the nature of a modification to a project it has determined to be exempt from CEQA, is insufficient to trigger the need for a new CEQA decision before a subsequent project approval action is taken.

Background Information

The ordinance is proposed to revise one aspect of the City's existing CEQA implementation procedures. A companion ordinance is also proposed that would further revise the City's existing CEQA implementation procedures.



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 16, 2013

File No. 130464

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On May 14, 2013, Supervisor Kim introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 130464

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review, pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.7(c).

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk

Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning NON-PHYSICAL EXEMPTION PURSUANT TO CERA SECTION

15060 (1)(2).

5/24/13

JUT NWAFFETE

Miller, Alisa

From: Sent:

Malana [malana@romagroup.net] Monday, June 10, 2013 9:42 AM

Save CEQA

To:

Chiu, David; Kim, Jane; Wiener, Scott

Cc:

Miller, Alisa; True, Judson; Rauschuber, Catherine; Mar, Eric (BOS); Farrell, Mark; Tang, Katy; Breed, London;

Yee, Norman (BOS); Cohen, Malia; Avalos, John; Campos, David

Subject:

Honorable David Chiu 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Dear President Chiu:

Thank you for your leadership on local implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Your amendments have vastly improved Supervisor Scott Wiener's original CEQA legislation. I have testified many times at the Land Use Committee meetings and am very pleased with how closely you and Supervisor Kim and Supervisor Wiener listened to the many voices from around San Francisco.

The Preservation Consortium is especially pleased that the full Board of Supervisors has been restored as the elected body hearing appeals of EIRs and that fair argument language has been restored to the legislation.

However, The Preservation Consortium urges the inclusion of a sub-section regarding the modification of projects originally determined to be categorically exempt from CEQA. That language should say that modifications of projects after the first approval should trigger new determinations and that those new determinations should be subject to appeal.

Anything less than this will render CEQA essentially useless, as individuals and organizations will not be able to challenge projects that change from the first approval to the last. This is so very important to help save the many valuable historic resources contained in the city.

Sincerely,

Malana Moberg

From: Sent: To:

Subject:

M.A. Miller [ma-miller@msn.com] Sunday, June 09, 2013 9:59 PM Miller, Alisa; Chiu, David; True, Judson Please amend CEQA legislatiion

David Chiu, President

Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: CEQA legislation

Dear President Chiu:

Thank you for the amendments that you have brought forward to improve the CEQA legislation introduced by Supervisor Weiner. *SPEAK* (Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee) are really pleased that the full Board of Supervisors has been restored as the elected body hearing appeals of EIRs and that fair argument language has been restored to the legislation. We thank you for your leadership.

However, we urge the inclusion of several more changes in the form of a sub-section regarding the modification of projects originally determined to be categorically exempt from CEQA. That language should say that modifications of projects after the first approval should trigger new determinations and that those new determinations should be subject to appeal.

Otherwise CEQA will be useless if individuals and organizations are not able to challenge projects that change from the first approval to the last.

Thank you for considering these additional amendments!

Sincerely,

Mary Anne Miller

President, SPEAK

Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee

Miller, Alisa

From:

Aaron Goodman [amgodman@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Sunday, June 09, 2013 9:51 PM

To:

Miller, Alisa; True, Judson; Rauschuber, Catherine; Mar, Eric (BOS); Pagoulatos, Nickolas; Farrell, Mark; Stefani, Catherine; Tang, Katy; Summers, Ashley; Breed, London; Johnston, Conor; Kim, Jane; Veneracion, April; Yee, Norman (BOS); Mormino, Matthias; Wiener, Scott; Power, Andres; Campos, David; Ronen, Hillary;

Cohen, Malia; Bruss, Andrea; Avalos, John; Redondiez, Raquel

Subject:

CEQA Legislation Hearing - Memo

Honorable David Chiu 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Dear President Chiu:

Thank you for your leadership on local implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Your amendments have vastly improved Supervisor Scott Wiener's original CEQA legislation.

However, as a member of the public who has seen the issues first-hand in legislation on multiple projects citywide, I strongly urge the inclusion of a sub-section regarding the modification of projects originally determined to be categorically exempt from CEQA. Parkmerced was a prime example of the concern when legislation is interjected without adequate review.

That language should say that modifications of projects after the first approval should trigger new determinations and that those new determinations should be subject to appeal.

Anything less than this will render CEQA essentially useless, as individuals and organizations will not be able to challenge projects that change from the first approval to the last.

As a local architect, environmentalist, and concerned housing transit and open space advocate who has witnessed first-hand the concerns of adequate analysis and review of projects and alternatives, I am especially pleased that the full Board of Supervisors has been restored as the elected body hearing appeals of EIRs and that fair argument language has been restored to the legislation.

I consistently am concerned about the impacts lobbyists and individual organizations supported by the real estate industry have impacted panels and committees from the Planning Commission to the Historical Preservation Commission, and Ethics Commission. and even the California Coastal Commission. The impacts and lack of public input adequate review of alternatives, and the proper and inclusionary method of open comment and input must be preserved for the public's best interests.

Sincerely,

Aaron Goodman 25 Lisbon St SF, CA 94112 T: 415.786.6929

CC:

Land Use and Economic Development Clerk Alisa Miller <u>Alisa Miller@sfgov.org</u>
District Three Legislative Aide Judson True <u>Judson.True@sfgov.org</u>
District Three Legislative Aide Catherine Rauschuber <u>Catherine.Rauschuber@sfgov.org</u>

Supervisor Eric Mar <u>Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org</u> Legislative Aide Nick Pagoulatos <u>Nickolas.Pagoulatos@sfgov.org</u>

Supervisor Mark Farrell Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org
Legislative Aide Catherine Stefani Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

Supervisor Katy Tang < Katy. Tang@sfgov.org >

Legislative Aide Ashley Summers Ashley Summers@sfgov.org

Supervisor London Breed <u>London.Breed@sfgov.org</u> Legislative Aide Conor Johnston <<u>Conor.Johnston@sfgov.org</u>>

Supervisor Jane Kim <u>Jane.Kim@sfgov.org</u> Legislative Aide April Veneracion <u>April.Veneracion@sfgov.org</u>

Supervisor Norman Yee <u>Norman.Yee@sfgov.org</u> Legislative Aide Matthias Mormino <u>Matthias.Mormino@sfgov.org</u>

Supervisor Scott Wiener <u>Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org</u> Legislative Aide Andres Power <u>Andres.Power@sfgov.org</u>

Supervisor David Campos <u>David.Campos@sfgov.org</u> Legislative Aide Hillary Ronen < <u>Hillary Ronen@sfgov.org</u>>

Supervisor Malia Cohen <u>Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org</u> Legislative Aide Andrea Bruss <u>Andrea.Bruss@sfgov.org</u>

Supervisor John Avalos <u>John Avalos@sfgov.org</u> Legislative Aide Raquel Redondiez <u>Raquel Redondiez@sfgov.org</u>



June 5, 2013

Honorable David Chiu 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Dear President Chiu:

Thank you for your leadership on local implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Your amendments have vastly improved Supervisor Scott Wiener's original CEQA legislation.

The Sierra Club is especially pleased that the full Board of Supervisors has been restored as the elected body hearing appeals of EIRs and that fair argument language has been restored to the legislation.

However, The Sierra Club urges the inclusion of a sub-section regarding the modification of projects originally determined to be categorically exempt from CEQA. That language should say that modifications of projects after the first approval should trigger new determinations and that those new determinations should be subject to appeal.

Anything less than this will substantially weaken the public's ability to track and influence projects that change over the course of the issuance of approvals by different departments and commissions.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Phillips

Kathiya Phillips

Director

CC:

Land Use and Economic Development Clerk Alisa Miller District Three Legislative Aide Judson True Judson District Three Legislative Aide Catherine Rauschuber Supervisor Eric Mar Legislative Aide Nick Pagoulatos Supervisor Mark Farrell

Legislative Aide Catherine Stefani

Supervisor Katy Tang

Legislative Aide Ashley Summers

Supervisor London Breed

Legislative Aide Conor Johnston

Supervisor Jane Kim

Legislative Aide April Veneracion

Supervisor Norman Yee

Legislative Aide Matthias Mormino

Supervisor Scott Wiener

Legislative Aide Andres Power

Supervisor David Campos

Legislative Aide Hillary Ronen

Supervisor Malia Cohen

Legislative Aide Andrea Bruss

Supervisor John Avalos

Legislative Aide Raquel Redondiez

Miller, Alisa

From:

tesw@aol.com

Sent:

Thursday, June 06, 2013 10:04 AM

To:

Chiu, David

Cc:

Miller, Alisa; Mar, Eric (DPH); Farrell, Mark; Chiu, David; Tang, Katy; Breed, London; Kim, Jane; Yee, Norman

(BOS); Wiener, Scott; Campos, David; Cohen, Malia; Avalos, John

Subject:

Honorable David Chiu 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689 Dear President Chiu:

Thank you for your leadership on local implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Your amendments have vastly improved Supervisor Scott Wiener's original CEQA legislation.

The Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council is especially pleased that the full Board of Supervisors has been restored as the elected body hearing appeals of EIRs and that fair argument language has been restored to the legislation.

However, HANC urges the inclusion of a sub-section regarding the modification of projects originally determined to be categorically exempt from CEQA. That language should say that modifications of projects after the first approval should trigger new determinations and that those new determinations should be subject to appeal.

Anything less than this will render CEQA essentially useless, as individuals and organizations will not be able to challenge projects that change from the first approval to the last.

We also urge the inclusion of the noticing requirements from Supervisor Kim's legislation, which include noticing residents by email, regular mail, and posting, in addition to listing projects on Planning's web site.

Sincerely,

Kevin Bayuk President

by Tes Welborn, Treasurer

Miller, Alisa

From: Sent:

Cat Bell [bellacatus@yahoo.com] Friday, May 31, 2013 12:29 AM

To:

Chiu, David

Cc:

Miller, Alisa; True, Judson; Rauschuber, Catherine; Mar, Eric (BOS); Pagoulatos, Nickolas; Farrell, Mark; Tang, Katy; Summers, Ashley; Breed, London; Johnston, Conor; Kim, Jane; Veneracion, April; Yee, Norman (BOS); Mormino, Matthias; Wiener, Scott; Power, Andres; Campos, David; Ronen, Hillary; Cohen, Malia;

Bruss, Andrea; Avalos, John; Redondiez, Raquel

Subject:

CEQA

Dear President Chiu:

Thank you for your leadership on local implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Your amendments have vastly improved Supervisor Scott Wiener's original CEQA legislation.

I am especially pleased that the full Board of Supervisors has been restored as the elected body hearing appeals of EIRs and that fair argument language has been restored to the legislation.

However, I urge the inclusion of a sub-section regarding the modification of projects originally determined to be categorically exempt from CEQA. That language should say that modifications of projects after the first approval should trigger new determinations and that those new determinations should be subject to appeal.

Anything less than this will render CEQA essentially useless, as individuals and organizations will not be able to challenge projects that change from the first approval to the last.

Sincerely, Cathy Bellin From:

NINERSAM@aol.com

Sent: To:

Thursday, May 30, 2013 10:04 AM

Cc:

Chiu, David Kim, Jane; Wiener, Scott; Miller, Alisa

Subject:

CEQA Amendments

Supervisor David Chiu, President Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Dear President Chiu:

The Richmond community Association (RCA) would like to thank you for your leadership in crafting the CEQA amendments that will benefit all San Franciscans. The Richmond Community Association believes the amendments greatly improve Supervisor Weiner's original CEQA legislation which would have weaken the CEQA protections by:

- Shortening the Appeal time without adequate notification
- Appeals heard by a committee of three Supervisors
- Replacing fair argument language
- Allowing approvals when Appeals being heard at BOS

However, the Richmond Community Association is concern that the modification of projects originally determined to be categorically exempt from CEQA can result in projects that by-pass the CEQA process. There must be clear criteria for the Environmental Review Officer (ERO) to determine if modifications are significant or not significant to allow a CEQA appeal. Many projects, if not most projects, change before completion. San Franciscans need a transparent process for significant modifications to a project. Anything less than this will render CEQA essentially useless.

Yours truly,

Hiroshi Fukuda, President Richmond Community Association Chair CSFN Land Use and Housing Community

CC:

Land Use and Economic Development Clerk Alisa Miller Alisa.Miller@sfgov.org

District Three Legislative Aide Judson True Judson True@sfgov.org

District Three Legislative Aide Catherine Rauschuber Catherine.Rauschuber@sfgov.org

Supervisor Eric Mar Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Nick Pagoulatos Nickolas Pagoulatos@sfgov.org

Supervisor Mark Farrell Mark Farrell@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Catherine Stefani Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

Supervisor Katy Tang < Katy. Tang@sfgov.org>

Legislative Aide Ashley Summers Ashley Summers@sfgov.org

Supervisor London Breed London Breed@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Conor Johnston < Conor. Johnston @sfgov.org >

Supervisor Jane Kim Jane.Kim@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide April Veneracion April Veneracion@sfgov.org

Supervisor Norman Yee Norman Yee@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Matthias Mormino Matthias.Mormino@sfgov.org

Supervisor Scott Wiener Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Andres Power Andres Power@sfgov.org

Supervisor David Campos David Campos@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Hillary Ronen < Hillary Ronen@sfgov.org>

Supervisor Malia Cohen Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Andrea Bruss Andrea Bruss@sfgov.org

Supervisor John Avalos John.Avalos@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Raquel Redondiez Raquel Redondiez@sfgov.org

From:

tesw@aol.com

Sent:

Thursday, May 30, 2013 9:50 AM

To:

Chiu, David

Cc: Subject: Miller, Alisa; Rauschuber, Catherine; True, Judson; Breed, London; Johnston, Conor

Honorable David Chiu 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Dear President Chiu:

Thank you for your leadership on local implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Your amendments have vastly improved Supervisor Scott Wiener's original CEQA legislation.

I am especially pleased that the full Board of Supervisors has been restored as the elected body hearing appeals of EIRs and that the fair argument language has been restored to the legislation.

Noticing of all CEQA determinations needs to include much more from Kim's legislation, informing the public directly by email, letter and poster. Having information on Planning's website for look up puts too much of a burden on ordinary citizens.

I also urge the inclusion of a sub-section regarding the modification of projects originally determined to be categorically exempt from CEQA. That language should say that modifications of projects after the first approval should trigger new determinations and that those new determinations should be subject to appeal. Anything less than this will render CEQA essentially useless, as individuals and organizations will not be able to challenge projects that change from the first approval to the last.

Sincerely, Tes Welborn **D5** Action Coordinator

Miller, Alisa

From: Sent:

Rupert Clayton [rupert.clayton@gmail.com]

To:

Thursday, May 30, 2013 1:08 PM

Chiu, David

Cc:

Miller, Alisa; True, Judson; Rauschuber, Catherine; Mar, Eric (BOS); Pagoulatos, Nickolas; Farrell, Mark; Stefani, Catherine; Tang, Katy; Summers, Ashley; Breed, London; Johnston, Conor; Kim, Jane; Veneracion, April; Yee, Norman (BOS); Mormino, Matthias; Wiener, Scott; Power, Andres; Campos, David; Ronen, Hillary;

Cohen, Malia; Bruss, Andrea; Avalos, John; Redondiez, Raquel

Subject:

CEQA: Modifying approved projects should require new determinations; these should be appealable

Honorable David Chiu 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Dear President Chiu:

Thank you for your involvement in the review of local implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Your amendments have much improved Supervisor Scott Wiener's original CEQA legislation.

I am especially pleased that the full Board of Supervisors has been restored as the elected body hearing appeals of EIRs and that fair argument language has been restored to the legislation.

However, I urge the inclusion of a sub-section regarding the modification of projects originally determined to be categorically exempt from CEQA. That language should say that modifications of projects after the first approval should trigger new determinations and that those new determinations should be subject to appeal.

Anything less than this will render CEQA essentially useless, as individuals and organizations will not be able to challenge projects that change from the first approval to the last.

Sincerely,

Rupert Clayton

CC:

Land Use and Economic Development Clerk Alisa Miller Alisa.Miller@sfgov.org

District Three Legislative Aide Judson True Judson. True@sfgov.org

District Three Legislative Aide Catherine Rauschuber Catherine.Rauschuber@sfgov.org

Supervisor Eric Mar Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Nick Pagoulatos Nickolas. Pagoulatos@sfgov.org

Supervisor Mark Farrell Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Catherine Stefani Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

Supervisor Katy Tang < Katy. Tang@sfgov.org>

Legislative Aide Ashley Summers Ashley.Summers@sfgov.org

Supervisor London Breed London. Breed@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Conor Johnston < Conor. Johnston @sfgov.org>

Supervisor Jane Kim Jane.Kim@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide April Veneracion April. Veneracion@sfgov.org

Supervisor Norman Yee Norman. Yee@sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Matthias Mormino Matthias. Mormino@sfgov.org

Supervisor Scott Wiener Scott. Wiener @sfgov.org

Legislative Aide Andres Power Andres.Power@sfgov.org

Supervisor David Campos <u>David.Campos@sfgov.org</u>
Legislative Aide Hillary Ronen < <u>H. ry.Ronen@sfgov.org</u>
Supervisor Malia Cohen <u>Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org</u>
Legislative Aide Andrea Bruss <u>Andrea.Bruss@sfgov.org</u>
Supervisor John Avalos <u>John.Avalos@sfgov.org</u>
Supervisor John Avalos <u>Raquel.Redondiez@sfgov.org</u>
Legislative Aide Raquel Redondiez <u>Raquel.Redondiez@sfgov.org</u>



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 16, 2013

Planning Commission and Historic Preservation Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1660 Mission Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On May 14, 2013, Supervisor Kim introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 130464

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code Section 302(b) for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use & Economic Development Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk
Land Use & Economic Development Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 16, 2013

File No. 130464

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On May 14, 2013, Supervisor Kim introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 130464

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review, pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.7(c).

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk

Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Ed Reiskin, Director, Municipal Transportation Agency

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Karen Hong Yee, County Clerk

Mohammed Nuru, Director, Department of Public Works Barbara Garcia, Director, Department of Public Health

Chief Joanne Hayes-White, Fire Department Fire Marshal Thomas Harvey, Fire Department Monique Moyer, Executive Director, Port

Edward Byrne, Chief Engineer, Port

Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Park Department

FROM:

Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee

Board of Supervisors

DATE:

May 16, 2013

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Kim on May 14, 2013:

File No. 130464

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

This matter is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes. If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Elaine Forbes, Port
Kate Breen, Municipal Transportation Agency
Janet Martinsen, Municipal Transportation Agency
William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection
Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection
Frank Lee, Department of Public Works
Greg Wagner, Department of Public Health
Kelly Alves, Fire Department
Sarah Ballard, Recreation and Park Department

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
□ 1. For reference to Committee.	
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	
 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee. 	
☐ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
☐ 5. City Attorney request.	
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	
☐ 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following Small Business Commission	ission
ote: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative	
ponsor(s):	
Supervisor Kim, Campes, Avalos, Mar	
ubject:	
Administrative Code - California Quality Act Procedures, Appeal of Exempt Project Modification	S
The text is listed below or attached:	
Ordinance amending Administrative Code Chapter 31 to provide for appeal to the Planning Commelanning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decalifornia Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.	
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's Use Only:	
\30	464