File No. <u>130656</u>	Committee Item No3 Board Item No
COMMITTEE/B	OARD OF SUPERVISORS
AGENDA P	ACKET CONTENTS LIST
Committee: Land Use and Ecor	nomic Development_ Date _July 8, 2013
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Board	

Board of Su	pervisors Meeting Date
Cmte Boa	rd
	Motion
	Resolution
	Ordinance
H H	Legislative Digest
	Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
H H	Youth Commission Report
	Introduction Form
	Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
	MOU
H H	Grant Information Form
	Grant Budget
H H	Subcontract Budget
H H	Contract/Agreement
H H	Form 126 – Ethics Commission
F F	Award Letter
F F	Application
	Public Correspondence
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is needed) Real Estate Division Recommendation, dtd 6/28/13
	City Administrator Recommendation, dtd 4/19/13
	Recreation and Park Department Recommendation, dtd 4/9/13
	Urban Agriculture Strategic Plan
Completed	hyr Alica Millor Pate July 2 2042
Completed	by: Alisa Miller Date July 3, 2013 by: Date
Completed	byDateDate

Resolution acknowledging receipt of the Office of the City Administrator's recommendations regarding the establishment of an Urban Agriculture Program; supporting the Recreation and Park Department as the location for the new Urban Agriculture Program to coordinate the City's urban agriculture activities; and setting reporting goals related to Urban Agriculture.

[Supporting the Establishment of an Urban Agriculture Program]

WHEREAS, Urban Agriculture provides multiple benefits to San Franciscans including connecting City residents to the broader food system, providing green space and recreation, saving public agencies money, providing ecological benefits and green infrastructure, building community, and offering food access, public health, and economic development potential; and

WHEREAS, At least seven City agencies currently provide funding for urban agriculture activities, and there has been no formal coordination among all of these agencies; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance 162-12, passed by the Board of Supervisors on July 17, 2012, amended the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Chapter 53, Sections 53.1 through 53.4 to establish an Urban Agriculture Program to oversee and coordinate all of the City's Urban Agriculture activities and adopt goals for the City related to Urban Agriculture; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance 162-12 directed the Office of the City Administrator to take the lead in developing an Urban Agriculture strategic planning process during the second half of 2012; and

WHEREAS, During its consideration of FY 2012-13 budget, the Board of Supervisors voted to provide the Office of the City Administrator with \$120,000 for the purpose of implementing Ordinance 162-12, and that money has not yet been spent in FY 2012-13; and

WHEREAS, The Office of the City Administrator convened meetings of a working group comprised of representatives from several City departments and well as interested public stakeholders, hosted three community town halls, and conducted more than 25 individual interviews, and the Mayor's Budget Office performed an in-depth survey of sources and uses of funds for urban agriculture purposes in all City departments; and

WHEREAS, On April 19, 2013, the City Administrator submitted recommendations to the Board of Supervisors regarding the implementation of the Urban Agriculture Program, which included: (1) The Recreation and Park Department, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Department of Public Health and Department of Environment should continue their leadership roles on urban agriculture; (2) The Recreation and Park Department should be the lead agency for the Urban Agriculture Program; and (3) San Francisco's public spending on Urban Agriculture is significant, and should be used to leverage new private investment; and

WHEREAS, The Office of the City Administrator, Supervisor David Chiu and the Recreation and Park Department co-hosted an open house for the public on May 15, 2013 to present and discuss the Office of the City Administrator's recommendations; and

WHEREAS, The Recreation and Park Department met with numerous community groups to solicit feedback on the recommendations, including the San Francisco Urban Agriculture Alliance, the Park, Recreation and Open Space Advisory Committee, and the SPUR Food Policy Committee, and has plans to continue its stakeholder outreach; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors acknowledges the role of community groups in promoting and utilizing Urban Agriculture and encourages future engagement between the City and the community; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance 162-12 requires that the Board of Supervisors pass a resolution approving of the Office of the City Administrator's recommendations; and

RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors hereby fully supports recommendations 1 and 2 in the City Administrator's Urban Agriculture memorandum of April 19, 2013 as outlined above, and it agrees with recommendation 3, that existing public funding should be used to leverage new private funding for urban agriculture, but also hereby emphasizes the importance of a continued role for public funding of urban agriculture within the City; now, therefore, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors hereby approves of the plan to dedicate one full-time staff person to the coordination of the Urban Agriculture Program, which accords with the original intent expressed in Ordinance 162-12, and the Board of Supervisors requests that the unspent \$120,000 in the FY 2012-13 budget be transferred from the Office of the City Administrator to the Recreation and Park Department to fund this position for the first year; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors requests that the Recreation and Park Department submit to the Board of Supervisors and make available to the public, within six weeks of hiring the new full-time staff person (a) a first year work plan for the new Urban Agriculture Program coordinator with measurable goals, timelines, and evaluation metrics, and (b) an organizational chart or description detailing the reporting structure for the new position, including how the Urban Agriculture Program will interact within the Recreation and Park Department, alongside the Community Gardens Program, and with other agencies; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors requests that the Recreation and Park Department, in accordance with the requirements of Ordinance 162-12, shall submit the first urban agriculture annual report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors no later than January 1, 2014; the report should include (a) a summary of key urban agriculture achievements, challenges, and indicators from the previous year, including an official

1.

accounting of all City funding for Urban Agriculture and an inventory of local resources and programs relevant to Urban Agriculture in San Francisco, and (b) data on the progress the City made in the prior year towards meeting each of the Urban Agriculture Program goals.



Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator



MEMORANDUM

June 28, 2013

TO:

Alisa Miller

Assistant Clerk

City Hall, Room 244

FROM:

John Updike

Director of Real Estate

SUBJECT:

BOS File No. 130656 – Urban Agriculture Program

Real Estate is in support of the recommendations contained in the proposed legislation in File No. 130656. We look forward to working with our colleagues at Rec-Park, where and when appropriate, to further the goals of this worthy program.



OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR



Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator

To:

The Honorable David Chiu, President, Board of Supervisors

From: Re: Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator Urban Agriculture Recommendation

Date:

April 19, 2013

CC:

Mayor Edwin M. Lee

Members, Board of Supervisors

Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Park Department

Interested Parties

Pursuant to Ordinance 162-12 the City Administrator convened community stakeholders and departmental representatives to engage in a strategic planning process for urban agriculture. The effort was designed to provide baseline data, as defined, on urban agriculture activities. The strategic plan is attached.

It is clear that San Franciscans and their City government support urban agriculture. The City spends \$4.4 million on urban agriculture in the current year and we project City spending of \$3.6 million next year. Thousands of San Franciscans are involved in local urban gardens on public and private land throughout our City.

My office convened four working group meetings and three community town halls attended by departmental representatives and community members. We conducted more than 30 one on one interviews with key public stakeholders. This effort has produced original research which will be shared with the City agency that takes responsibility for this program.

My recommendation is as follows:

1. The Recreation and Park Department, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Department of Public Health and Department of the Environment should continue their leadership roles on urban agriculture. Due to the unique constraints of the Charter and state law, it is impractical to establish an Urban Agriculture Program in one department with complete jurisdiction over all aspects of the urban agriculture area.

The Recreation and Park Department has unique jurisdiction over its lands, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission controls the water, wastewater and power enterprises and use of ratepayer funds, and the Agriculture Commissioner in the Health Department has state mandated authority. Therefore, it is advisable that these departments, along with the Department of the Environment,

maintain their existing leadership roles in implementing urban agriculture programs and projects. Their existing programs, budgets and positions should remain at their respective departments.

2. The Recreation and Park Department should be the lead agency for the Urban Agriculture Program.

Notwithstanding the limitations outlined above, the Recreation and Park Department is the most logical place to house an Urban Agriculture program that convenes multiple departments. My basis for this recommendation is as follows:

- (a) The Recreation and Park Department has Charter authority over its lands, meaning it can easily implement new and existing urban agriculture efforts.
- (b) The Recreation and Park Department has properties throughout all of San Francisco, assuring geographic equity in the program.
- (c) The Open Space Fund provides an ongoing, dedicated source of funding for the program.
- (d) Through its partnership with the San Francisco Parks Alliance, the Recreation and Park Department has experience working with community partners in the urban agriculture space.
- (e) Senior level management, up to and including the General Manager, express support for the program.
- 3. San Francisco's public spending on Urban Agriculture is significant, and should be used to leverage new private investment. Many City grant programs match public funds with private investment. Kaiser Permanente and other corporate funders have made community gardens a focus of their charitable giving. The San Francisco Foundation will also be making a new investment in this area. Given our existing public budget limitations and the robust funding urban agriculture currently enjoys, it is worth increasing private support for these programs.

I have appreciated the opportunity to work on this matter. My understanding is that the Recreation and Park Department will take the lead at the Board of Supervisors on presenting the proposal outlined in recommendation two. If you require further information, please contact Deputy City Administrator Linda Yeung or Bill Barnes, Project Manager, in the City Administrator's Office.



Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Philip A. Ginsburg, General Manager

DATE:

April 9, 2013

TO:

Naomi M. Kelly

City Administrator

FROM:

Phil Ginsburg, General Manager

THROUGH:

Dawn Kamalanathan, Capital Program Manager

CC:

Sarah Ballard, Director of Policy and Public Affairs

RE:

Urban Agriculture Program Administration

The Recreation and Park Department (RPD) proposes that the newly created Urban Agriculture Program, per San Francisco Administrative Code Sections 53.1 through 53.4, be administered by the Recreation and Park Department as outlined below.

RPD was actively involved in the interdepartmental working group that crafted a preliminary strategic plan for meeting the goals of the Urban Agriculture ordinance. RPD has also run a Community Gardens Program for over twenty years, and currently manages 25 community garden sites, 9 DPW sites and 1 PUC site. The following areas of expertise that extend beyond the Community Gardens Program make RPD a strong candidate for accomplishing the work of the new citywide Urban Agriculture Program:

- Fundraising
- Close, productive working relationships with other city departments
- Successful volunteer recruitment and management
- Inclusive, comprehensive community processes
- Established "partnerships pathway" for working in collaboration

The Department proposes to take the lead on advancing the Urban Agriculture Program by dedicating one full time FTE staff member to the administration and advancement of San Francisco's Urban Agriculture Program. This dedicated staff person will work closely with RPD's Community Gardens Program Manager (25% FTE for 1 staff member (5261 classification)), who is funded through existing Open Space funding for RPD Community Gardens Program and who has been actively involved with the interdepartmental urban agriculture strategic planning working group.

(See Next Page)

The Urban Agriculture Program Coordinator, housed within RPD, will perform the following tasks:

- 1. Work in close coordination with an interdepartmental staff working group comprised of representatives from departments that were actively involved in developing a preliminary strategic plan (such as RPD, SF Environment, DPW, PUC, Planning, Real Estate);
- 2. Work in close coordination with community stakeholder groups(such as SPUR, SFUAA) and individuals;
- 3. Outline year 1 deliverables and refine the strategic plan to accomplish these deliverables; such as
 - A. Identifying specific new sites and resource centers or "hubs" for urban agriculture, including specific budgets for each and opportunities for communal gardening;
 - B. Refine the strategy to reduce the waiting list for San Francisco residents seeking access to a community garden plot and to optimize plot use;
 - C. Expand the Department's recently improved Community Gardens Program website pages to be even more of a citywide resource;
- 4. Provide technical assistance to community gardening and urban agriculture organizations;
- 5. Develop and distribute materials and resources for urban agriculture;
- 6. Evaluate, at the close of year 1, whether more resources are needed, and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.

FY 2013 Budget (for one-time Addback from Supervisor David Chiu):

100% FTE for 1 staff member (3374 classification) for 1 full calendar year		\$ 104,000
5% FTE for 1 RPD staff member (5261) to support work of 3374 Urban Agriculture Program Coordinator	+	\$ 16,000
Identified Urban Agriculture Program Funding through Addback		\$ 120,000

Urban Agriculture Strategic Plan

Office of the City Administrator

Background: On July 10, 2012, the Board of Supervisors passed legislation on Urban Agriculture, which designated that the Mayor's Office and/or the Office of the City Administrator should produce several items related to Urban Agriculture.

Recommendation: After careful review, the Office of the City Administrator recommends that the City move the Urban Agriculture program formally to the Recreation and Park Department (RPD). RPD will employ one-full time FTE that will spend 100% of their time on Urban Agriculture. This person will coordinate closely with the other staff working on Urban Agriculture in the City, (most notably 50% of an FTE at the Department of Environment and 25% of an FTE at the Public Utilities Commission), and they will serve as one point of contact for the public on Urban Agriculture and as "Urban Agriculture experts". The Recreation and Park Department will use all of the information gathered by the Office of the City Administrator over the last several months to inform their "work plan" on Urban Agriculture over the next year.

Appendices: Attached to this document are several items that were requested as part of the strategic plan:

- Appendix A: List of All Urban Agriculture Programs
- Appendix B: Count of all Active Sites and their Coordinators
- Appendix C: Accounting of all City Funding and Resources (and full-time equivalent positions spending more than 10% of their time on Urban Ag).
- Appendix D: Audit of Rooftops of City Owned Buildings potentially suitable for Urban Agriculture
- Appendix E: Waiting Lists for Community Gardens what do other localities do?
- Appendix F: Urban Agriculture Needs Assessment
- Appendix G: Department Specific Urban Agriculture Programs
- Appendix H: Streamlined Application Process

The Office of the City Administrator would like to formally thank everyone involved in this strategic planning process over the last several months.

Program Name		
18 Reasons	www.18reasons.org	Contact Name
Agriculture Program of the SF Department of Public	www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/Agriculture	Moste Dianson Cin, Director
Alemany Farm	www.alemanyfarm.org	2
Aunt Em's Urban Inn and Farm	www.emsinn.com/index.html	Finme sevine
Bay Localize	www.baylocalize.org/	Kirsten Schwind Co-founder
CCSF's Environmental Horticulture Classes	www.ccsf.edu/Departments/Environmental Horticulture and Floristry/	Steven Brown, Chair of
City Grazing		TO THE STATE OF TH
Clean City Coalition	www.sfcleancity.com	Gia Nicole Grant - Executive
Community Grows	www.communitygrows.org	Nora Brenton - Programs
Design Ecology	www.designecology.com/	Total Manual Tingsams
Eating Dirt	www.eatingdirt.typepad.com/	Heather Knane
Ecology Center of San Francisco (ECOSF)	www.eco-sf.org/	Sam Hartman
Education Outside (Formally Green Schoolyard Alliance)	www.educationoutside.org/	Arden Bucklin-Chorer
Feel The Earth	www.feeltheearth.org	Ionathan Silverman
Feeling Good Project	www.sfdph.org/dph/comupg/oprograms/MCH/FeelingGood.asp	Communication Communication
Food Runners	www.foodrunners.org	Nancy Hahn
Forage SF: Forage SF's community Kitchen	www.foragesf.com	Iso Rabins
Free Farm Stand	www.freefarmstand.org	Tree Rubenstein
From the Ground Up	www.fromthegroundupmovie.com/	Kristi Adams
Future Action Reclamation Mob (FARM)	www.thinkdiscussact.org/farm/index.html	Robyn Waxman
Garden tor the Environment	www.gardenfortheenvironment.org/	Blair Randall
Glide's root garden/Graze the Roof	www.grazetheroof.blogspot.comhttp://glide.org/garden	An Neulight
Global Exchange	www.globalexchange.org/	awGrana t www
Good Eggs	www.goodeggs.com	Greta Caruso
Green Earth Gardeners	www.greenearthgardeners.com/	
Greenbeit Alliance	www.greenbelt.org/	Ferenty Madgen
GreenTLC (Green Tenderloin Coaltion)		Kasey Asherry
GrowCity	www.grow-city.org/	Ellen Burke
Grubly	www.gogrubly.com/chefs/	Tim West
Hayes Valley Farm	www.hayesvalleyfarm.com/index.html	Jay Rosenberg
Heartbeets	www.heartbeets.org	Laura Critchfield
Her Majesty's Secret Beekeeper	www.hmsbeekeeper.com/	
How to Homestead	www.howtohomestead.org/	
Hunters Point Family — Girls 2000	www.hunterspoutfamily.org/girls.html	DTakai Tavler
litty Bitty Farm in the City	www.ittybittyfarminthecity.blogspot.com/	Heidi Koov
Just One Tree	www.justonetree.org/	Isabel Wade
La Cocina	www.lacocinasf.org	Caleb Zigas
Little City Gardens	www.liftlecitygardens.com	cuer como
Locavores	www.locavores.com/home.php	Jessica Prentice
Master Gardeners	www.smsf-mastergardeners.ucanr.org/	
Mission Community Market	www.missioncommunitymarket.org/	Jeremy Shaw
Mission Fie	www.missionpie.com	Krystin Rubin
Neighborhood Fruit	www.neighborhoodfruit.com	Kaytea Petro
		אמט גשעי

Novice		•
INCALCOURSE	www.nextcourse.org	
Um Organics	Www.omorganics org	Susie White
People Organized to Win Employment Rights	www.peopleorganized.org	
Pesticide Watch	www.pesticidewatch.oro	Mishwa Lee (POWER
Produce to the People	WWW.broducetotheneonle org	Dana Perls
Quesada Gardens Initiative	WWW.diesadaeardens oro	Lauren Anderson
Rainbow Grocery	www.rainbow.coon	Jettrey Betcher
Kecology	www.recology.com	
Saint Vincent de Paul Society	www.svdp-sf.org	Bob Kesso
San Francisco Bee-Cause.	www.sfbeecause.org	a.S.
San Francisco Beekeepers Association	www.sfbee.org	Karen Peteros
San Francisco Food Bank	www.sffoodbank.org	
San Francisco Food Security Task Force	www.sfdph.org/dph/files/mtgs/Gros/defanil asn#fnodsemmty	raul Ash
San Francisco Housing Development Corp	WWW.sfhdc.org/index nhn/what we do/comminist Agisto	Faula Jones
San Francisco Landscapes	www.sflandscapes.com/	Diane Bryan
San Francoscio Wholesale Produce Market	WWW.Sfbroduce.org/	Casey Allen
SEFA: Southeast Food Access	WWW story? oralinder sens Income (100)	Michael anis
SF GRO	WWW sfore crafinder the	Tracey Patterson
SF Permaculture Guild	World retrieven the officer	40
SFE's Orban Orchards program	warm of familiary and the state of the state	David Cody
Shape Up Bayview	www.stouvuoluucult.org/article/urban-torestry-urban-agriculture-climate-	Mei Ling Hui
Slide Ranch	www.suapeupsi.org/ii/	Cheryl Davis
Slow Food San Francisco	www.silucialicit.olg	Marika Bergsund
Tenderloin People's Garden	www.slowfoodsanfrancisco.com	John Casazza
The Center for Then Branches Stort Cont.	www.fndc.org/our-services/community-garden	Rvan Thaver
The Free Farm	WWW.cuesa.org	Julie Cummins
The Carden Drosect	www.thefreefarm.org	Tree Rubenstein
The Good Thurst	www.gardenproject.org	Welinda Stone and David China
THE SECULIALLY	www.stseedlibrary.org/	STATE OF THE STATE
	www.tndc.org	Rayon The Bayon
TAMISHIUM SE	www.transitionsf.org/	Try art Try or
Urban Share	www.welcomeministry.org/garden/	
Orban Sprouts	www.urbansprouts.org	Atres Masters
Trees, Trees Building Council - Norther California Chapter	www.usgbc-ncc.org	Targota Moseow, Director
OSI S OLDAN AB IMMOI (Jead by Melinda Stone and	www.usfca.edu/catalog/artsci/urag/minor/	Welinds Ctone
virtually Green - SCUFI.	http://vurtuallygreen.com/scufis/scufi-program/	TATELLIANA SIGNIE
		Dames Nam

Name of Garden	Location of Garden	Managad By
Adam Rogers Park	1220 Oakdale at Ingalis	RPD
Alfoto Mini Park & Community Garden	20th & Capp	RPD
Arkansas "Friendship" Community Garden	22nd & Arkansas	RPD
Arfington Garden	Arlington btw. Highland and Richland	RPD
Bernal Heights	Bernal Heights Blvd, between Gates/Banks	RPD
Bridgeview Garden	Newhall at Bridgeview	Onesada Carden Initiative
Bróoks Park	Shields & Ramsell	RPD.
Candlestick Point Garden	Carroll & Fitch	N/A
Connecticut Friendship Gardens	22nd & Connecticut	RPID
Corona Heights	Between States St. and Museum Way, next to the States Street Playeround	RPD
Corwin Street	Corwin and Douglass	RPD
Crags Court Community Garden	Crags Court & Berkeley Way	RPD
Crocker Amazon	Moscow & Geneva	RPD
Dearborn Community Garden	Dearborn & Bird, (off of 18th b/w Valencia &; Guerrero)	Street Parks Program
Dog Patch/Miller Memorial Grove or Brewster Street Community Garden	Franconia & Massasoit or Brewster/Rutledge	RPD
Fort Mason Community Garden	Bay, & Franklin (near Youth Hostel)	Fort Mason Community Garden
Garden	End of Wisser Court, near Upton/Kobbe intersection	Presidio Trust
Good Prospect Garden	Cortland & Prospect	RPD
Hooker Alley	Mason, b/w Bush & Pine	RPD
Howard Langton Community Garden/Langton Mini Park	Howard St. & Langton Alley btw 7th and 8th	RPD
Kid Power Community Garden & Park	45 Hoff btw. 16th & 17th; 45 Hoff Street	RPD
Koshland Park & Community Garden	Page & Buchanan	RPD
La Grande Mini Park & Community Garden	End of Dublin St., near Russia, in park	RPD
Lessing & Sears Mini Park & Community, Garden	Lessing & Sears	RPD
Little Red Hen Garden	350 Amber Drive	Friends of Glen Canyon Park
McLaren Park Community Garden	Leland & Hahn	RPD
Michelangelo Playground & Community Garden	Greenwich btw. Leavenworth & Jones	RPD
Minnie and Lovie Ward Rec Center Garden	650 Capitol Ave	RPD
Noe Beaver	Noe & Beaver	RPD
Ogden Terrace Community Garden	Ogden & Prentiss	RPD
Page and Laguna Mini Park (aka Rose/Page Mini Park)	Page & Rose btw Laguna & Octavia	RPD
Page Street Community Garden	438 Page St. (btw. Buchannan and Webster)	RPD
Park St Garden	San Jose Walkway btw. Park & Richland	RPD
Parque Ninos Unidos/Treat Commons	23rd & Treat	RPD
Portola Street Community Garden (in the Presidio)	Intersection of Portola St and Rodriguez St, next to Building 760	Presidio Trust
Poircro del Sol Park & Community Garden	Potrero & Cesar Chavez (eastside)	RPD
Potrero Hill Community Garden	20th & San Bruno	RPD
South Baker Beach Community Garden (in the Presidio)	Brooks Rd off Lincoln Blvd	Presidio Trust
Victoria Manalo Draves	Cleveland & Sherman (Folsom & 7th)	RPD
Visitacion Valley Greenway	Arleta btw. Rutland & Alpha	RPD

Name of Garden	Location of Candon	
west washington Community Garden (in the Presdio)	Compton Road across from Building 1417	Managed By
White Crane Springs Garden	Find of I paledon, Ann.	Presidio Trust
Wolfe Lane	Date of Locassicy Ave. near /th; Lawton	RPD :
22nd & Kansas	Autheage and Mullen, on hillside	RPD
Alemany Farm / St. Mary's Urban Youth Farm	ZZIDU & Kansas	Street Parks Program
Anza Vista & O'Parrell	700 Alemany Blvd (In St. Mary's Park, next to housing authority)	(RPD)
Carver Garden	Anza & O'Farrell	Street Parke Drawns
Danvers / Market / Merritt Triangle	Mayflower St & Carver	Street Doubs Dan
Double Rock Community Garden Alice Carlette Economics	Danvers & Market	Street Ports December
Boo SP's School Farm of Burth A source School Lett.	Griffith & Fitzgerald	NIA
FARM " Honner Chreek & 2th	555 Portola Drive	7/1/4
Garden for the Havingsment	On Hooper St near 8th, Next to California College of Art	Education Outside and Eco SF
Cates Virgat Wildlife O. J.	7th & Lawton	Street Farks Program
Cartine Carden	Gates at Powhattan	Garden for the Environment
Cocumban Steps	Goettingen at Dwight	Street Parks Program
Hayes Valley Farm - Parcel O	Lapina btw Fell & Oak	Street Parks Program
Hayes Valley Farm - Parcel P	Fagina htw Rell & Ook	Hayes Valley Farm
Hayward Rec Center Garden	Colden Cate and Louis	Hayes Valley Farm
Hidden Garden Steps	16th Aus bounded Violation	CommunityGrows
Hopkins Walkway / 899 Corbett	Co-best 6 17.	Street Parks Program
Innes Community Garden	Modell 8.1	Street Parks Program
La Playa	intendent & innes	Street Parks Program
Los Palmos Garden	La Flaya, Derween Judah & Kirkham St.	Street Parks Program
Mayflower & Bradford	Foerster & Los Palmos Drive	Street Darks Durange
Mayflower Garden	Mayflower & Bardford	Chact Darks Drough
Metrose at Defroit	100 Mayflower at 50 Bradford, Bernal Heights	Ctract Bode De
Noe Valley/Sally Branch I ihran	Melrose at Detroit	Street Double Des
Ord Street Petaining Wall	451 Jersery Street	Sucer rarks Program
Perusylvania Confee	Ord & 18th Street	StrL ,
Please Touch Community Gooden	Pennsylvania Ave between 18th & Mariposa	Sucet Farks Program
Process Part	165 Grove Street	Trada rarks krogram
Onesals Cardens	Indiana Street, between 25th & 23rd, 280 on ramp	Lighthouse for the Blind
Pincon Hill D D. J.	3rd and Quesada	Sucer Parks Program
ALICOLI FILL DOG FATK	Beale & Bryant Street	Quesada Garden Initiative
San Francisco General Hospital	1001 Potrers Avenue	Street Parks Program
Sanchez & Liberty	Tharte @ Sandras	Joan Varney
Salum Street Park	Soften Street & Tame Tr	Street Parks Program
Saturn Street Stairs	Some State of Lower Letrace	Street Parks Program
Tara / Geneva Garden	Dodgod of T	Street Parks Program
Urban Horticulture Program at SF State; Mobile Garden and Native Plant Nursery	1600 Tolls	Street Parks Program
Vista Pointe Garden	Carrier P. Dande J. Mobile garden	Sustainability Office in the Physical
Waller Steps	Verlet & Dradiord	Street Parks Program
	waller, between Broderick & Buena	Street Parks Program.
		4 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A

Laguna Honda Hospital Therapeutic Farm & Gardens Project Clinner Garden (alsa Pajee Broe Clinner Garden)	Location of Garden	4,
		Vianaged Kv
		ייייייי
,	randview Avenue	
Joy Will Louis Community Garden	(Rotumen I ily & Ools	
MacArthur Community (Farden (in the Presidio)	DPV	
IMacArthur Ave, near Building 857/859		\$
10tal: 84 Gardens Existing on Public Land with No Sign Up Restrictions	T	residio I rust

			CCSF U	ban Agricu	lture B	udget - FY1	CCSF Urban Agriculture Budget - FY11-12 through FY13-14	h FY13-14
Dept/	Program	Fund	One- time?	FY11-12 Funding	Y I	FY12-13 Funding	FY 13-14 Funding	Notes
ADM	Real Estate - 1 South	2SRPESR7		\$ 15,000	\top	20.000	\$ 25,000	0 One 0922 Manager I and one 7334 Stationary Engineer
	Van Ness - rooftop				-	220/21		
	garden							for a rooftop garden on top of 1 South Van Ness office
2	n 1 r-4-4-		,		-			Dullding.
ADM	Real Estate - McAllister/Larkin garden	ZSKPFSKZ	×	٠ ٠	ጉ	ı	5 2,500	U Permits for vertical garden addition.
ADM	Real Estate - Octavia	2SRPFSRZ		\$ 4,708	\$ 8	4,708	\$ 4,708	8 Staff time on licenses and permits - for Hayes Valley Farm,
	parcel gardens		·					and DPH/DPW Homeless outreach garden projects.
CPC	Urban Agriculture	1GAGFAAA		\$	↔	1,711	\$ 1,711	1 One 5293 Planner spending 1% of their staff time on Urban Agriculture each year.
DPH	Community Based	1GAGFAAA	×	\$ 108,608	\$ 8	-	\$	Contracting funds to Walden House, Study Center. Project
	Health Services -						-	
	Growing Home		·					food, incentives and etc.)
	Collinatinty Galdell				+			_
DPH	Environmental Health - Agriculture Program	1GAGFAAA		\$ 3,511	\$	3,511	\$ 3,511	1 Agriculture Commissioner - (time is estimated at 4 hours per month) responding to public inquries regarding bees, labeling requirements for selling urban agriculture products to businesses.
DPH	Environmental Health -	1GAGFAAA		\$ 1,064	\$ \$	1,064	\$ 1,064	4 One 3450 Agriculture Inspector (time is estimated at 2
	Agriculture Program				_			hours per month)- respond to public inquiries, certification of producers.
DPH	Environmental Health -	1GAGFAAA		\$ 1,588	\$ 88	1,588	\$ 1,588	8 One 2820 Senior Health Planner (time is estimated at 2
	Food System		-				. *	hours per month)- staff time to attend urban agriculture meetings.
DPH	Environmental Health - 1GAGFAAA	1GAGFAAA		\$ 2,144	\$ 5	2,144	\$ 2,144	_
	Regulatory Food Program			-	•			farm stands, respond to public inquiries around selling urban agriculture products, other public health questions.
DPH	Environmental Health -	1GAGFAAA		\$ 1,626	\$ 93	1,626	\$ 1,626	_
	Regulatory Food Program							(estimated at 2 hours per month)-time to respond to public inquiries.
)							

			CCSF U	pan /	F Urban Agriculture Budget - FY11-12 through FY13-14	e Budg	et - FY1	1-12 th	rough F	Y13-14
Dept/	Program	Fund	One-	FY11-12	-12	FY12-13	6	FY 13-14		Notes
Agency			time?	Funding	ng	Funding		Funding	g	
ррн	Environmental Health Services - Lead Testing	2SCHSPHF	×	⊹	10,000	ب	1	ss.	1	Testing for School Gardens and City owned. State Lead Poisioning Grant.
	for Gardens								•	
ОРН	Environmental Health Services - Mirant	2SCHSPHF	×	 	159,600	ۍ	1	↔	. 1	To be used over 3 years to support community gardens. Costs were sent over to DPW.
	Settlement dollars									
DPH	Laguna Honda -	SLAAAAAA		\$	52,500		52,500	ς. ς.	52,500	One 3417 Gardener spending 25% of their time, and \$30K
	Orchard, Flower and Vegetable Garden			٠					,	tor materials and supplies.
DPH	SF General Hospital -	SHAAAAAA		ş	2,416	\$	2,416	\$	2,416	Volunteer run, with some help from one 3417 gardener
	Community Gardens			ł						spending about 3% of their time.
DPW	Street Parks - Materials & Supplies	2SGTFGTN		↔	1,000	❖	1,000	~	1,000	Average tool loss to tool lending library.
DPW	Street Parks - Support	1GAGFAAA		₩.	16,469	\$	16,704	\$	16,920	One 9922 Public Service Aide spending 30% of their time
	Staff				-					dedicated to supply delivery.
DPW	Street Parks - Water	2SĞTFGTN		\$	14,400	٠. ج	15,000	· · ·	15,500	Street Park stewards pay for installation of water meters,
	Bill	-							\rightarrow	DPW pays monthly water bill.
DPW	Street Parks - Management Staff	1GAGFAAA		⋄	22,112	❖	23,244	٠ ٠	23,544	One 2917 Program Support Analyst spending 20% of their time dedicated to program management
Mad	in a second seco	1GAGFAAA	×	Ų.	2.500	ķ	,	Ş		Materials and supplies, including ladders, wheel barrows.
:	Urban Gleaning			-				_		bags, fruit pickers, buckets and outreach materials for the
	Materials & Supplies									program.
DPW		1GAGFAAA	×	\$	18,534	\$	-	\$,	
	Urban Gleaning				•					One 9922 Public Service Aide spending 35% of their time
	program coordination	П				4				dedicated program coordination.
DPW	Urban Gleaning program support	IGAGFAAA	×	<u>ጉ</u>	5,608	Λ.	ı	^ -	ı	One 9916 Public Service Alde spending 15% of their time on program support.
ECN	Relocation of Hayes	3CSIFOCT.	×	s	21,525	ş	ı	\$		Grant provided to San Francisco Parks Alliance to provide
	Valley Farm									community outreach and planning activities required to facilitate relocation.
ENV	Bret Harte School Garden	2SAGFACP	×	\$	25,000	\$	1	\$	-	Garden and outdoor science education program for elementary school.
				l		ŀ				

			CCSF U	ban /	gricultur	e Bu	CCSF Urban Agriculture Budget - FY11-12 through FY13-14	1-12	hrough I	Y13-14
Dept/	Program	Fund	One-	FY11-12	12	FY12-13	-13	FY 13-14	-14	Notes
Agency			time?	Funding		Funding	ing	Funding	ng	
ENV	Garden for the Environment	2SPWFSWN	×	₩.	50,000	\$	38,000	❖	1	Competitive grant for diverting organics from household waste. Gardening and Compost classes, adult education,
										garden management.
ENV	Southeast Food Access Workgroup	2SAGFACP	×	⋄	17,500	₩	ı	\$	I	Campaign to increase access to healthy food, nutritional food.
ENV	TBD	2SPWFSWN	×	\$		\$	ı	\$	44,000	44,000 Competitive grant for diverting organics from household
										waste. TBD for gardening and composting classes.
ENV	Urban Ag	2SAGFACP		\$	58,567	\$	62,565	\$	63,960	One 5638 spending 41% of their time on Urban Agriculture
		2SPWFSWN								programming.
ENV	Urban Orchards Program	2SENVCPR	×	\$		⋄	32,966	ş	30,000	Planting fruit trees though the Local Carbon Fund.
ENV	Urban Orchards Program	2SAGFACP	×	₩.	15,000	\$	ı	₩	1,	Incoming grant from San Francisco Foundation.
ENV	Urban Sprouts	2SAGFACP	×	\$	26,881	ş	,	\$	1	School garden program for middle and high schools.
ENV	Urban Sprouts*	2SAGFACP	×	❖	51,178	↔	34,000	∙	16,000	Juvenile Probation Department project at Log Cabin Ranch.
PUC	Bonnie Sherk - Living Library	5CAAAAA		.	,	₩.	100,000	₩.	1	At each site, children and youth participate in transforming their schoolvards and neighborhoods by planting organic
								•		vegetable gardens. Students develop green-job-skills training through hands-on participation with gardening,
										water conservation, rainwater harvesting, leadership opportunities, service learning, and other areas. Funds
										from the Public Utilities Commission will be used for bersonnel. materials. and administrative support, to deliver
			3							this program at SFUSD schools, as well as to help maintain the learning environments that are created at the Living
		_								Library & Think Parks sites.
PUC	Crocker Amazon	5CAAAAAP	×	<u>پ</u>	1	\$	100,000	<u>٠</u>	1	Funds for urban agriculture at Crocker Amazon demonstration project.

			CCSF Ur	ban Agricult	rre Budg	et - FY1	CCSF Urban Agriculture Budget - FY11-12 through FY13-14	FY13-14
Dept/	Program	Fund	One-	FY11-12	FY12-13	m	FY 13-14	Notes
Agency			time?	Funding	Funding	7	Funding	
PUC	Education Outside - school gardens	SWPUCOPF	×	- \$	\$ 10	100,000	- \$	Funds for the San Francisco Green Schoolyard Alliance to: (1) develop educational outcomes related to the PUC's
								water, sewer and power services and operations through
								the Green Schools Corps program, including green schoolvards: and (2) develop, capture, and present the
								experience K-5 grade San Francisco Unified School District
								(SFUSD) students will have related to the PUC's water,
,								sewer and power services and operations.
PUC	Environmental Justice	5WPUCOPF		- \$:	\$	39,301	\$ 40,001	One 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst spending 25% of
	& Land Use							their time on Urban Agriculture, (implementing pilot Urban
	-	•			·			Ag programs through the department and implementing
								land use policies to support urban agriculture on PUC
								owned land).
PUC	Garden For the	SCAAAAA		\$ 135,000	\$	135,000	\$ 135,000	Demonstration Garden on PUC land, providing gardening
	Environment	5WAAAAAA						workshops, SFUSD fieldtrips, and demonstration garden
		SCAAAAA			· ·			areas for pollution prevention and water conservation.
PUC	Garden Project*	5CAAAAAP		\$ 1,600,000	\$ 2,318,682	8,682	\$ 2,320,000	Provides job training and support to former offenders
		STAAAAAP						and at-risk youth through counseling and assistance in
		5WAAAACP						continuing education. Garden Project Apprentices
								learn horticulture skills and grow vegetables that feed
		-					·	seniors and families in San Francisco.
PUC	Low Impact Design -	5CAAAAAP	×	- \$	\$ 30	300,000	- \$	Low Impact Design - College Hill Urban Ag Pilot -
	College Hill Urban Ag Pilot							educational demonstration garden pilot.
PUC	Pilot Water Efficiency	5WAAAACP	×	\$ 100,000	\$,	- \$	Grant program to provide installation of dedicated
	for Community							irrigation water services and meters at community gardens,
	Gardens Grant							urban ag sites, demonstration gardens, and small scale
·	Program						`	urban market gardens in San Francisco.

			CCSF U	rban A	gricultur	e Bud	get - FY1	11-12 t	CCSF Urban Agriculture Budget - FY11-12 through FY13-14	
Dept/	Program	Fund	One-	FY11-12	12	FY12-13	13	FY 13-14		Notes
Agency			time?	Funding		Funding	Jg.	Funding	ng	
PUC	SAGE*	SWAAAAA		<u>'</u> \$	72,500	\$	000'59	\$	000'59	The Sunol Ag Park is an 18-acre farm on PUC land. The
										park is dedicated to promoting sustainable farming,
							:			public education and natural resources stewardship.
										The park's tenants are local farmers who grow food
										for families and market outlets in nearby
										communities, share infrastructure, get training in
	-		,							organic agricultural practices, and pay rent and water
										costs.
PUC	Urban Ag Pilot	SWPUCOPF	×	ş	-	\$	99,228	\$,	Funds for the design and planning of the PUC's College Hill
	Program (College Hill)								-	Reservoir Urban Agriculture and Environmental Education Center.
PUC	Urban Ag Pilot -SE	5CAAAAAP	×	\$,	Ş	000'66	\$	1	Funding to support the development of an urban ag
-	Treatment Plant at									site on PUC land at Evans & Phelps.
	Evans & Phelps									
PUC	Wastewater Utility	5CAAAAA		₩	\$40,167	, \$	42,380	\$	42,380	Funds one 5602 Utility Specialist spending 25% staff
	Specialist	-					,			time on technical review of Urban Ag pilot programs.
REC	One-time funding from		×	Ş	+	ş	80,000	\$	1	Funds one 5261 for 25% of their time for 1 year - new
	add back									addition as of the outcome of this process to oversee/coordinate Urban Ag.
REC	One-time funding from		×	ş	L	ş	40,000	\$	1	Funds materials, supplies and consultants for 1 year
	add back	gra-r								to help meet strategic plan goals.
REC	OS Community Garden	2SOSPCPR	×	\$ 12	120,000	\$	96,000	\$	ı	Funds capital improvements such as irrigation, erosion
	Baseline									control, signage, access, etc., as needed, to RPD's community gardens.
REC	OS Community Garden	2SOSPCPR		χ	80,000	\$ 1(104,000	\$ 2	200,000	Funds one 5261 for 25% of their time, as well as
	Baseline**									capital improvements such as irrigation, erosion
									\neg	control, signage, access, etc., as needed
SH.	Garden Apprentices*	1GAGFAAA		\$ 40	401,073	\$	401,073	\$ 4	401,073	CBO Contract with the Garden Project to facilitate job
							V.			training program for ex-offender, and at-risk youth, (linked with PUC program above).
TOTAL				\$ 3,25	3,257,779	\$ 4,4	4,434,411	\$ 3,5	3,513,146	

Audit of Rooftops of City Owned Buildings potentially suitable for Urban Agriculture

Department of Real Estate - John Updike

Audit of City Owned Buildings with Rooftops potentially suitable for both commercial and non-commercial Urban Agriculture

The City and County of San Francisco owns over 1,100 buildings scattered over seven counties in Northern California. With the assistance of the City Administrator's Office, the Department of Real Estate connected with environmental consultants that provided some assistance on the best practices and approaches used to analyze building portfolios and begin to identify potential candidate locations for rooftop urban agriculture. It was made clear this will be a multi-step, painstaking process that will take many months.

With the assistance of the Capital Planning staff, the Department of Real Estate began with the database of all non-Enterprise assets owned by the City and County of San Francisco. This list excluded the following departments:

Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA)
Airport
Port
Mayor's Office of Housing (MOH)
Public Utilities Commission (PUC)

This list produced 547 buildings. The Department reviewed this list and removed the following:

All structures of less than 2,000 square feet;

Structures not capable of carrying the load of a rooftop garden:

Structures that were industrial in nature (where conflicts between heavy equipment and pedestrians would be problematic):

Structures of high security (where access is limited, such as jails, police stations); Incompatible uses (fire stations, modular buildings, buildings within compounds with high security for entry).

This reduced the list of buildings to 208 buildings. The Department did not pursue SFO Airport assets, due to its location outside the County, and security restrictions. Most likely all MTA assets would be incompatible, with the possible exception of parking garage locations (further investigation to follow). The Department of Real Estate is working closely with colleagues at the PUC, who already have a robust urban agriculture program in place, to review their in-county assets. The Department also plans to work closely with Mayor's Office of Housing staff to review their inventory, recently greatly expanded as a result of the transfer of assets from the Successor Redevelopment Agency.

Real Estate worked with Port staff to review their assets, and after an extensive engineering review, found no assets capable of accepting the weight-bearing load of a green roof.

Needs/Concerns:

Next steps involve more in-depth review of the remaining 208 potential building locations (and any other potentially suitable locations of the PUC, MTA or MOH). This will include a structural analysis, security review, and rooftop visit. Visits will focus on whether railings around the perimeter of the roof exist, required exiting from the roof are code compliant for additional rooftop occupancy, type of access to the roof (stairs, ship's ladder or ceiling hatches would rule out a roof for further consideration), vertical circulation within the building and reasonability of bringing tools and volunteers through building to elevators to reach roof. We'll also review existing roof penetrations and eliminate those roofs where no reasonably proximate, flat, unobstructed surface areas are available.

Next steps: The Department of Real Estate will work with the Recreation and Park Department to continue this important process.

Waiting Lists for Community Gardens – what do other localities do?

Participating agency staff: San Francisco Recreation and Park Department – Melinda Stockman, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission – Yolanda Manzone, San Francisco Real Estate Division – John Updike, SF Environment – Mei Ling Hui and John Ribeiro-Broomhead

Analyze wait lists for residents seeking access to a community garden plot in other localities.

The Recreation and Parks Department, Real Estate Division, Public Utilities Commission, and SF Environment participated in this working group. In addition to focusing on garden waitlists, the group also focused on an inventory of all garden locations and garden related programs; additional reports have been provided for those work items.

The pod determined it was necessary to request information on coordinated garden waitlist programs in other cities to gain insight into best management practices and learn from their experiences. SF Environment led the research, requesting information from seven municipalities: Chicago, Seattle, Vancouver, New York City, Los Angeles, Austin, and Portland, OR. Data on some programs was gathered online and one city, Portland, Oregon, provided an in-depth interview on their waitlist management program.

It appears that in most municipalities, garden waitlist are managed by each garden individually. Portland reported that their cohesive garden waitlist management program was instituted last year and oversees only gardens within their Recreation and Parks Departments community garden program, which serves approximately 1,800 gardeners. Portland's program allows individuals to sign up for the waitlist at more than one location; once the individual receives a plot they are removed from all waitlists. If the individual wants to move to another plot within their garden, to a larger plot, or another garden altogether, there is a separate waitlist and protocol. Garden plots dues are based on plot size. Portland reported that they have been able to reduce garden wait times through developing a cohesive garden waitlist management program, by providing city staff to cite and remove noncompliant gardeners (as opposed to having the garden coordinator perform this duty), by providing city staff to oversee the reassignment of garden plots and collection of garden dues, and by strategically splitting some of the largest

available plots into 2 or 4 new plots. Portland's coordinated garden waitlist and oversight program requires the equivalent of one full-time staff person, with hours and assignments spread among 2-3 staff, depending on the season.

Update on San Francisco's Program:

San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department (RPD) is in the process of changing the administration of waiting lists for all gardens managed as part of the RPD Community Gardens Program. RPD's Community Gardens Manger, Marvin Yee, is leading this process, which involves the consolidation of existing site-specific lists into one master list and transferring the responsibility of managing the waiting list from individual Garden Coordinators to Community Gardens Program management. Now that Melinda Stockman at RPD will also spend 50% of her time on Urban Agriculture, she will work closely with Marvin Yee to implement this change and also work towards other reforms as needed. A consolidated waiting list could allow applicants to identify more than one community garden site that they would like to join, so that whichever garden has a space available first could accommodate their interest. This could alleviate pressure on certain sites that are currently more popular and have longer waiting lists.

Needs Assessment on Urban Agriculture

Participants: The Office of the City Administrator – Amy Torregrossa and Joseph Baxter, San Francisco Recreation and Park Department – Melinda Stockmann, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission – Yolanda Manzone, Department of Public Works -- Jerad Weiner, Department of Public Health -- Paula Jones, SF Environment – Mei Ling Hui

A Needs Assessment of Community, Resident and Business Needs

The Office of the City Administrator took on the task of carrying out the needs assessment of resident, community and business needs. With such an aggressive timeline it was imperative that there were multiple ways for individuals to participate. The decision was made to create a two pillar strategy: Qualitative Interviews and Town Halls.

Methods:

- 1. Qualitative Interviews: Participants are those who are currently involved in Urban Agriculture. Therefore, their answers will not reflect the needs or views of those who are not yet participating in Urban Agriculture in San Francisco.
 - a. One-on-one confidential interviews were conducted where a series of 15 open ended questions were asked.
 - b. The questions addressed: current trends of Urban Agriculture in San Francisco, goals outlined in the ordinance, ideas for the future Urban Agriculture Program to consider among others topics.
 - c. Interviewees identified themselves as community garden coordinators, practitioners, backyard gardeners or support organizations.

2. Town Halls:

- a. Ortega Branch Library November 27, 2012 from 6:00pm-8:00pm
- b. SE Community Facility November 29, 2012 from 6:00pm-8:00pm
- c. Veterans War Memorial December 8, 2012 from 1:00pm-3:00pm

Summary of Findings:

Three main themes were evident throughout the 31 interviews and town halls:

- 1. A variety of activities take place on Urban Agriculture projects on public land in San Francisco. These different projects have different end goals.
- 2. There is a perception that existing community garden plots and garden projects are underutilized.
- 3. Prior to this process, there was a lack of communication among Urban Agriculture sectors in San Francisco.

Fifteen questions were asked 31 interviewees.

Below is a summary of the answers to three key questions asked among the varying Urban Agriculture Sectors:

Breakdown of Question 1: What do you, and or the community you represent, see as indicators of success within Urban Agriculture activities in San Francisco?

- 65% of respondents indicated that produce was not the primary output of their Urban Agriculture Project, meaning that other activities such as educational workshops, job training and community building were mentioned before food production.
- 29% of respondents mentioned a major indicator of success for projects are returning volunteers to help with workshops, harvest days or data collection.
- 52% of respondents believe that tracking the amount of food produced is not worth tracking as a metric or indicator of success.

The interviews presented a number of opportunities for the respondents to discuss the many activities that they either participate in or run in their Urban Agriculture Projects. Below in Table 1 are the activities that respondents mentioned they either participate in or are hosting on their Urban Agriculture site. Next to the activity are the benefits respondents mentioned while discussing such activities.

Table 1: Shows activities and corresponding benefits mentioned by respondents

Activity	Benefits mentioned by respondents
Animal Husbandry	Youth Development
Bee Keeping	Well Being, Ecological
Composting	Conservation
Community Engagement	Access to Food, Usable Community Space
CSA	Access to Food, Food Education
Education Workshops	Health, Environmental
Grey Water Treatment	Conservation
Growing of Produce/Flowers	Access to Food
Job Training and Job Readiness	Access to Food
Transforming Lots	Usable Community Space
School Garden Programs	Access to Food, Healthy Eating
Seed Library and Seed Saving	Conservation
Tree Planting	Exercise
Youth Training	Empowerment, Access to Food, Healthy Eating
Volunteer Programs	Education (health, environmental, job training)

Breakdown Question 2: What is your experience accessing a plot in the City of San Francisco? Particularly regarding wait lists.

- 71% of respondents emphasized the importance of addressing underutilized plots or projects throughout the city, particularly in community gardens.
- 58% of respondents mentioned the need for more Community Garden Coordinator training and/or oversight.

Breakdown of Question 3: What would consider as a successful Urban Agriculture Program?

- 90% of respondents mentioned institutional or infrastructure support of Urban Agriculture activities as an indicator of a successful Urban Agriculture Program.
- 96% of respondents mentioned needing a clear point of contact and someone within the City that can provide correct information on Urban Agriculture.

<u>Next Steps</u>: With the helpful information provided to the Recreation and Park Department through these interviews, the feedback provided will inform the work plan over the next year for the Department. The Recreation and Park Department will work hard to address these concerns and improve Urban Agriculture citywide by providing one point of contact and becoming Urban Agriculture experts within the City.

Department Specific Urban Agriculture Programs

Participants: Mei Ling Hui – Department of Environment, Yolanda Manzone – Public Utilities Commission. Holly Pearson – Department of Recreation and Parks, Melinda Stockman – Department of Recreation and Parks, Jerad Weiner – Department of Public Works

Outline of current City and County of San Francisco Urban Agriculture programs within each department.

Current Program / Resources

Department of Recreation & Parks – The Department of Recreation & Parks (RPD) manages community gardens under their Community Garden Program. RPD provides construction and repair of basic garden infrastructure, in addition to providing compost bins at garden sites.

Department of Environment – The Department of Environment (SFE) supports urban agriculture by connecting requests for support with existing resources and agencies. SFE has also worked with other organizations to provide compost on a limited basis at special events.

Department of Public Works – The Department of Public Works (DPW) supports urban agriculture efforts through the Street Park program. The Street Park program turns over maintenance responsibility of DPW owned land to neighborhood stewards. Many of the Street Parks have a gardening or urban agriculture component. DPW also provides tools to program participants. DPW also provides compost to residents at our Community Clean Team events citywide. DPW also runs a public tool lending library.

SF Public Utilities Commission – The Public Utilities Commission supports Urban Agriculture through a grant program that provides free installation of dedicated irrigation water services and meters at community gardens, urban agriculture sites, demonstration gardens, and small scale urban market gardens in San Francisco.

Also, basic Urban Agriculture supplies such as compost, manure, and soil amendments are available locally for low or no cost from private companies. Delivery costs and quantities can be challenging for individual gardeners. The Recreation and Park Department will become an expert on these available resources and be able to direct citizens to this information in the future.

Streamlined Application Process

Participants: Planning Department – Diana Sokolove, SF Environment – Mei Ling Hui, Recreation and Park Department – Melinda Stockmann, SPURR/SFUAA – Eli Zigas, SF Parks Alliance – Jill Brashares

Develop a streamlined application process for Urban Agriculture projects on public land, with clear evaluation guidelines that are consistent across all agencies.

Currently, people who are interested in starting a new urban agriculture project need to contact individual city departments to determine whether there are parcels available for gardening. There is not a point person dedicated to assisting applicants. This will change with the move of the program to the Recreation and Park Department – now there will be one point of contact within this department to assist the public with urban agriculture projects.

Below is a draft Urban Agriculture Project Screening Form. This form will now be circulated to for feedback. The Recreation and Park Department will take this feedback and then issue a "final" application form along with instructions and contact information for help filling out the form.

Agriculture Project Screening Form

Submit this screening form to request assistance with starting a new urban agriculture project. Complete as much of the form you can. After your application is received, we'll contact you to schedule a meeting to discuss your proposal.

Email or Fax Completed Form To	o: XXXXX		•	
Today's Date:				•
Name of Person Completing Form	n:	•	*.	
Signature:			•	
3	,	······································		
			•	•
Part 1 - Applicant Information		·.		
Your Organization and Individering Primary Mailing Address:	ual Name and	Type of Organ	nization (check one);	
	·	□ Individual		
	•	□ For-profit e	entity	
		□ Non-profit	entity	
 Website:		□ Other		
TV OBSILOT				•
		·		
Organization Members				
Primary Contact(s)	Phone and Ema	il	Role	
·		•		
Additional Member's Names	Phone and Ema	iI ,	Role	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		


	•		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Π		7.						
	•	•		· ara such	•		\$ P.	*
Г	-							
						+ 4		

Part 2 - Site Information

Provide as much information as you can. The Urban Agriculture Program can assist you with completing the form, as needed.

Property Owner Name and Address:	Site Address:
	Block/Lot Number:
,	
	Project Square Footage (approximate okay):
Any Known Safety Concerns Onsite:	Any Information about Soil Quality:
,	
Existing Land Use:	Adjacent Land Use:
·.	
□ Photo Attached	☐ Plioto Attached
Describe Attractive on-site features, such as lev	vel of sun exposure, size of lot, location, etc.:
	. 149
Zoning District:	Height/Bulk District:

Closest Similar Urban Agriculture Project to Site. For example, if your project is a plot-ba community garden, note the closest plot-based garden to your project:	sed
y g	
Address:	
Addit 655.	
Part 3 —Project Description	
Please fill in the boxes using the space below for summary answers to the questions. Attach add	tional pages to provide
more detail as needed.	
Project Description. For example, include base line project goals, proposed programming p	lans
and project scope, plans for classes or events, proposed use of produce grown on site, any p for bees or other animal husbandry projects, etc.:	lans
tor bees of other animal husbanding projects, etc	
Long-Term Objectives:	
Describe how the site will be managed. Include both day-to-day and long-term oversight:	
	a de la companya de l
	· ·
	•
	•



Part 4 – Budget

If you don't yet have a budget for your project, please indicate that below.

- 1) Please attach information on your project's construction budget. Include existing and proposed funding sources. See Urban Agriculture Program website for a budget template.
- 2) Please attach information on your project's operational budget. Include existing and proposed funding sources. See Urban Agriculture Program website for a budget template.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Naomi Kelly, City Administrator

Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Park Department Harlan Kelly, Jr., General Manager, Public Utilities Commission

Barbara Garcia, Director, Department of Public Health Melanie Nutter, Director, Department of the Environment

John Updike, Director, Real Estate Division

FROM:

Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee

Board of Supervisors

DATE:

June 27, 2013

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Chiu on June 18, 2013:

File No. 130656

Resolution acknowledging receipt of the Office of the City Administrator's recommendations regarding the establishment of an Urban Agriculture Program; supporting the Recreation and Park Department as the location for the new Urban Agriculture Program to coordinate the City's urban agriculture activities; and setting reporting goals related to Urban Agriculture.

This matter is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes. If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Sarah Ballard, Recreation and Park Department Juliet Ellis, Public Utilities Commission Greg Wagner, Department of Public Health Monica Fish, Department of the Environment Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hei	reby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
\boxtimes	1. For reference to Committee.	
	An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	
	2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	
	3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
	4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
	5. City Attorney request.	
	6. Call File No. from Committee.	
	7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	·
	8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
	9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
	10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	•
	11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Plea	se check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Commi	
	☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission	1
Note:	For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative	
Spons	sor(s):	
Super	rvisor Chiu	
Subje	ect:	
Resol	lution supporting the establishment of an Urban Agriculture Program	
The to	ext is listed below or attached:	
	Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For C	Clerk's Use Only:	

130656