Committee	Item	No
Board Item	No	55

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee	Date
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date <u>July 30, 2013</u>
Cmte Board	
Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for head Department/Agency Cover MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	rings)
OTHER (Use back side if additional	I space is needed)
Completed by: <u>Joy Lamug</u> Completed by:	Date <u>July 25, 2013</u> Date

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

[Affirming the Exemption Determination - 4216 California Street]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a project located at 4216 California Street is exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, The Planning Department has determined that a project located at 4216 California Street is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. The proposed project would remove an existing micro wireless telecommunication services (WTS) facility consisting of one "whip" antenna and install a macro WTS facility consisting of up to nine panel antennas on the roof. The facility is proposed to be installed on the roof of the existing building at 4216 California Street behind new radio-frequency transparent screen walls with equipment located within a ground floor storage area. By letter to the Clerk of the Board, Wendy Aragon on behalf of the Richmond District Democratic Club (Appellants), received by the Clerk's Office on July 8, 2013, appealed the exemption determination. The Appellants provided a copy of the Planning Commission's Conditional Use Authorization Motion No. 18898, dated June 6, 2013, which stated that the Planning Department had determined that the project was exempt under Class 3 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. §15303 et seq.) and that the Commission concurred with such determination; and

WHEREAS, On July 30, 2013, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants, and following the public hearing affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letters, the responses to

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No.

130721 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project is exempt from environmental review; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the project qualifies for a exemption determination under CEQA.

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

Time stamp or meeting date	
•	
inquires"	
· ·	
ollowing:	
Commission	
nission	
ative For	
	,
	<u>-</u> ,
	: •
6 California Street is	S

1. For reference to Committee.	
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	•
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	
11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following Small Business Commission	ission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative	
Sponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	
Subject:	
Affirming the Exemption Determination - 4216 California Street	
The text is listed below or attached:	
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a project located at 4216 Calexempt from environmental review.	lifornia Street is
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's Use Only:	/20722