[Determination of Fiscal Feasibility of Proposed Project.]

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding a new Chapter 28 to require Board of Supervisors review of a proposed project meeting certain criteria and a determination that the proposed project is fiscally responsible and feasible before undertaking environmental review of the proposed project.

Note:

Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u>; deletions are <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman</u>. Board amendment additions are <u>double underlined</u>. Board amendment deletions are <u>strikethrough normal</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding new Chapter 28, to read as follows:

# CHAPTER 28 FINDINGS OF FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY AND FEASIBILITY Sec. 28.1. Applicability of this Chapter 28.

- (a) This Chapter 28 shall be applicable to any project, as defined by the California

  Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 and following, as amended; and

  Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended, appearing as

  Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3 of the California Code of Regulations (hereinafter referred to

  collectively as CEQA), that is proposed by an office, board, department, commission or other unit of

  government of the City and County and meets both of the following criteria:
- (1) the cost of implementing and/or constructing the proposed project exceeds twenty-five million dollars (\$25,000,000.00), and
- (2) A portion of the predevelopment, planning or construction costs of the project in excess of \$1,000,000.00, but excluding the costs of City personnel working on such project, will be paid from public monies. For purposes of this Chapter 28, "public monies" shall mean (i) funds from the City's

General Fund or funds otherwise held by any Department of the City, (ii) the proceeds of any indebtedness of the City, including without limitation revenue bonds, lease revenue bonds or certificates of participation secured by the City's General Fund or funds otherwise held by any City department, or (iii) federal or state grants or loans.

- (b) Notwithstanding Subsection 28.1(a), no provision of this Chapter 28 shall be interpreted to grant the Board of Supervisors broader powers to set policy for a project proposed by an office, board, department, commission or other unit of government of the City and County than is granted by the City Charter or applicable state or federal law and proposed projects shall not be subject to the determination by the Board of Supervisors provided for by this Chapter 28 where the City Charter or applicable state or federal law precludes such a determination by the Board of Supervisors.
- (c) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Chapter 28, this Chapter 28 shall not apply to the following projects:
- (1) Any project where more than seventy-five percent (75%) of the amount of public monies for the project will be financed with proceeds from a bond measure that the Board of Supervisors has submitted for approval to the voters and which has been approved by the voters; or
- (2) Any project that is the subject of a term sheet (or similar summary of key terms and conditions) or an exclusive negotiating agreement (or similar agreement setting for a schedule and terms for proceedings towards final transactional documents), approved or endorsed by the Board of Supervisors on or after January 1, 2003 but before July 1, 2004, or that has otherwise been approved or endorsed by the Board of Supervisors during such time period, provided however, that if the Board of Supervisors subsequently elects to withdraw such endorsement or approval, this exemption shall not apply and such project shall be subject to the terms of this ordinance to the extent otherwise applicable; or
- (3) Any project where funds allocated or granted by the San Francisco County

  Transportation Authority ("SFCTA"), or other funds secured by or requiring contribution of matching

or leveraged SFCTA funds, comprise more than seventy-five percent (75%) of the public monies for the project, provided that the SFCTA has reviewed and approved the plans or projects to be financed with such funds from the SFCTA; or

(4) Any project that is a utility capital improvement project under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.

#### Sec. 28.2. Board of Supervisors Determination.

(a) Prior to submittal to the Planning Department of an environmental evaluation application under San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31 and CEQA, the office, board, department, commission or other unit of government of the City and County proposing a project (the "Project Sponsor") shall seek and procure a Board of Supervisors determination that the plan for undertaking and implementing the project is fiscally feasible and responsible, as set forth in this Chapter 28. The Board shall consider the fiscal feasibility of the project, using the following criteria when it evaluates a project's fiscal feasibility: (1) direct and indirect financial benefits of the project to the City, including to the extent applicable costs savings or new revenues, including tax revenues, generated by the proposed project; (2) the cost of construction; (3) available funding for the project; (4) the long term operating and maintenance costs of the project; and (5) debt load to be carried by the City department or agency. The Board may consider other criteria that may be useful in evaluating a project's fiscal feasibility.

(b) A determination by the Board that the plan for implementing and undertaking the project is fiscally feasible and responsible shall not include a determination as to whether the Project Sponsor or other unit of the government of the City and County should approve the project and it is the intent of the Board of Supervisors in requiring the determination to decide only whether the proposed project merits further evaluation and environmental review.

#### Sec. 28.3. Information to be Submitted to the Board of Supervisors.

The Project Sponsor shall submit to the Board of Supervisors the following documents and information concerning the proposed project:

- (1) A proposed resolution for consideration and adoption by the Board of Supervisors

  finding the plan to undertake and implement the proposed project fiscally feasible. The Mayor,

  Director of a Project Sponsor department, or an individual Supervisor may introduce the resolution at the Board of Supervisors.
- (2) The Project Sponsor shall provide information about the proposed project, including but not limited to, a general description of the project, the general purpose of the project, and a fiscal plan describing the estimated cost and all known and potential available funding sources for the project.

# Sec. 28.4. Board of Supervisors Procedures for Determination.

- (a) The Board of Supervisors, in accordance with its rules of order, shall refer the proposed resolution and information to the Board committee responsible for review of fiscal measures, such as appropriation ordinances, bond issues, taxes, fees and other revenue measures.
- (b) In evaluating the fiscal feasibility of the proposed project, the Board may request assistance from the Budget Analyst or the Controller. The Project Sponsor may submit additional information to the Board. Based upon the criteria set forth in Section 28.2, the Board shall determine whether the project is fiscally feasible and responsible. The Board shall act by resolution by a majority vote of all its members.
- (c) The Board shall act as expeditiously as possible, and shall hold a public hearing regarding the fiscal feasibility of a proposed project within ninety (90) days following introduction of the resolution at the Board of Supervisors. The Board may continue its consideration of the proposal in order to receive more information from the Budget Analyst or the Controller or to further consider the proposal. Failure of the Board to hold a public hearing within such 90 day period shall not be deemed to constitute a determination that the project is fiscally feasible and responsible.

#### Sec. 28.5. Processing of Environmental Review Application.

- (a) In the event the Board of Supervisors determines that the proposed project is fiscally feasible and responsible, the Project Sponsor may file an environmental evaluation application with the Planning Department and may undertake environmental review of the proposed project as required by CEOA and Administrative Code Chapter 31.
- (b) In the event the Board of Supervisors determines that the proposed project is not fiscally feasible, the Project Sponsor shall not undertake environmental review of the proposed project and the Planning Department shall not commence environmental review of the proposed project, including the necessary scoping, technical studies, and preparation of environmental documents.
- (c) An environmental evaluation application for a project subject to this Chapter 28 shall not be deemed complete, and the Planning Department shall not undertake environmental review of a project, unless a copy of the Board of Supervisors Resolution finding the proposed project fiscally feasible and responsible is submitted to the Planning Department.

## Sec. 28.6. Resubmittal of Project.

The Project Sponsor may revise or modify the proposed project and may submit it again to the Board of Supervisors for consideration pursuant to this Chapter 28 no earlier than three (3) months following a determination by this Board that the proposed project is not fiscally feasible and responsible.

# Sec. 28.7. Applicability of this Chapter to On-Going Environmental Review.

This Chapter 28 shall apply to any proposed project that has not completed environmental review pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act as of January 27, 2004. In the event environmental review has commenced for a proposed project, the Planning Department shall suspend its environmental review of the project until the Board of Supervisors has determined that the proposed project is fiscally feasible as set forth in this Chapter 28, provided that the Planning Department shall not suspend its environmental review of the project if such suspension would lead to a violation of the time frames for environmental review set forth in CEOA or if such suspension would substantially or

impermissibly impair existing contractual obligations of the City or any of its departments; and provided further that for projects for which the Planning Department has not completed environmental review prior to January 27, 2004 based on an environmental evaluation application filed by a person or entity other than a city department, the planning department shall not suspend its environmental review for up to ninety (90) days following the effective date of this ordinance or unless and until the Board determines that the project is fiscally infeasible as set forth in this Chapter 28, whichever occurs earlier. Notwithstanding any other provision in this subsection 28.7, Chapter 28 shall not apply to any project that includes affordable housing funded in whole or in part through the Mayor's Office of Housing ("MOH"), where the environmental evaluation application for such project was filed prior to January 27, 2004 and where some or all of the MOH funds have been advanced to the project prior to the Effective Date of this Chapter 28.

Sec. 28.8. Severability. If any Section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Chapter 28 is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this Chapter 28. The Board of Supervisors declares that it would have passed each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Chapter 28 irrespective of the fact that any portion of this Chapter 28 could be declared unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

Kate H. Stacy

Deputy City Attorney

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** 



# City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

### **Ordinance**

File Number: 040146 Date Passed:

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding a new Chapter 28 to require Board of Supervisors review of a proposed project meeting certain criteria and a determination that the proposed project is fiscally responsible and feasible before undertaking environmental review of the proposed project.

May 18, 2004 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE

BEARING SAME TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Gonzalez, Hall, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval

May 18, 2004 Board of Supervisors — PASSED ON FIRST READING AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Gonzalez, Hall, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval

May 25, 2004 Board of Supervisors — FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 10 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Gonzalez, Ma, Maxwell,

McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval

Excused: 1 - Hall

File No. 040146	I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on May 25, 2004 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
	Gloria L. Young Clerk of the Board
Date Approved	Mayor Gavin Newsom

Date: June 4, 2004

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.

Cle<del>rk of</del> the Bøard

File No. 040146