FILE NO. 061068

and historic districts.

ORDINANCE NO. 26-07

2

1

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20 21

22 23

25

24

Ordinance amending Planning Code Sections 1004.2 and 1004.3 to provide for specific

ITime limits for Landmarks Board and Planning Commission review of proposed landmarks

time limits for Landmarks Board and Planning Commission review of proposed landmark and historic district designations; and making General Plan and Planning Code Section 101(b) findings.

Note:

Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman; deletions are strikethrough italies Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double underlined. Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds and determines that:

- (a) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board of Supervisors finds that this ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 17329 recommending approval of this Planning Code Amendment, and incorporates such reasons by this reference thereto. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 061068.
- (b) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1, this Board of Supervisors finds that this ordinance is consistent with the Priority Policies of Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code and with the General Plan and hereby adopts the findings of the Planning Commission, as set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 17329, and incorporates said findings by this reference thereto.

Section 2. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Section 1004.2 to read as follows:

SEC. 1004.2. REFERRAL TO LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD.

The proposed designation, resolution or application shall be promptly referred to the Advisory Board for review and report to the Planning Commission as to conformance with the purposes and standards of this Article 10. The Advisory Board shall recommend approval, disapproval or modification of the proposal, or shall report its failure to reach a decision thereon, within 30 45 60 days after such referral. If no recommendation is rendered within 30 45 60 days, the Planning Commission may consider the proposed designation as provided in Section 1004.3 below aet notwithstanding the lack of such a recommendation.

Section 3. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Section 1004.3 to read as follows:

SEC. 1004.3. HEARING BY CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.

After receiving a report from the Advisory Board or after the expiration of 30 45 60 days from the date of referral to the Advisory Board, whichever is sooner, the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing on the proposal; the Department shall set a time and place for such hearing. A record of pertinent information presented at the hearing shall be made and maintained as a permanent record.

(a) Notice of Hearing. Notice of the time, place and purpose of such hearing shall be given by at least one publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the City not less than 20 days prior to the date of hearing. Notice shall also be mailed not less than 10 days prior to the date of hearing to the owners of all property included in the proposed designation, using for this purpose the names and addresses of the last known owners as shown on the records of the Assessor. Failure to send notice by mail to any such property

owner where the address of such owner is not a matter of public record shall not invalidate any proceedings in connection with the proposed designation. The Department may also give such other notice as it may deem desirable and practicable.

- (b) Time Limitation. The Planning Commission shall consider the report <u>and</u> <u>recommendation</u> of the Advisory Board, <u>if any</u>, and shall consider the conformance or lack of conformance of the proposed designation with the purposes and standards of this Article 10. The Planning Commission shall <u>hold a public hearing and shall</u> approve, disapprove or modify the proposal within <u>90</u> days <u>from the date of referral of the proposed designation to the Advisory Board after the conclusion of the public hearing</u>; <u>f Failure</u> to act within said time shall constitute approval. <u>The Board of Supervisors may, by resolution, extend the time within which the Planning Commission is to render its decision</u>.
- (c) Notice of Action Taken. The Planning Commission shall promptly notify the applicant of action taken. If the Planning Commission approves or modifies the proposed designation in whole or in part, it shall transmit the proposal together with a copy of the resolution of approval, to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
- (d) In the event that a proposed designation has been initiated prior to July 18, 2006, and the Planning Commission has failed to act upon such proposed designation as of the effective date of this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors may act on the proposed designation notwithstanding the Planning Commission's failure to act on the proposed designation.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: Marlena G. Byrne

Deputy City Attorney



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Ordinance

File Number:

061068

Date Passed:

Ordinance amending Planning Code Sections 1004.2 and 1004.3 to provide for specific time limits for Landmarks Board and Planning Commission review of proposed landmark and historic district designations; and making General Plan and Planning Code Section 101(b) findings.

July 25, 2006 Board of Supervisors — SUBSTITUTED

January 23, 2007 Board of Supervisors — PASSED ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Jew, Maxwell,

McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

January 30, 2007 Board of Supervisors — FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 10 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Jew, Maxwell,

McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin

Excused: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 061068

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on January 30, 2007 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young Clerk of the Board

Gavin Newsom

FEB 0 9 2007

Date Approved

File No. 061068