///

Supervisors Hall and Dufty BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[Agreement to Exchange of Property and Settlement of Lawsuit]

Ordinance authorizing an agreement to exchange vacant land uphill from Edgehill Park for the City's property on Portola Drive; adopting findings that the exchange agreement is categorically exempt from environmental review and is consistent with the City's General Plan and Eight Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1; authorizing the Director of Property to execute documents, make certain modifications and take certain actions in furtherance of the exchange agreement; and authorizing settlement of the lawsuit entitled City and County of San Francisco v. William F. Spiers dba Spiers Construction Company, filed on November 29, 2001 in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. 401-800, for an injunction requiring removal of Spiers' fence blocking access to Edgehill Park, for dismissal of Spiers' cross-complaint for quiet title, and for the exchange agreement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. Findings.

- (a) The City and County of San Francisco (the "City") owns unimproved real property located at the corner of Portola Drive and Clipper Street, San Francisco, California, known as Block No. 2870, Lot No. 48 (the "Portola Property").
- (b) Spiers Construction Company, a California general partnership, and Forest View LLC, a California limited liability company (collectively, "Spiers") own unimproved real property on Edgehill Way, San Francisco, California, known as Block No. 2934, Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, and 21, and Block No. 2923, Lot Nos. 72 and 73 (collectively, the "Edgehill Property").

- (c) The City desires to acquire the Edgehill Property in order to combine it with adjacent open space owned by the City, under the jurisdiction of its Recreation and Park Department.
- (d) Spiers has obtained the City's approval for the development of two lots for single-family houses on the Edgehill Property, but is willing to suspend the approvals and convey the Edgehill Property to the City in exchange for the Portola Property, provided that Spiers first obtains development approvals for the Portola Property.
- (e) The City and Spiers have negotiated an agreement for the exchange of the Portola Property and the Edgehill Property (the "Exchange Agreement"), a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
- (f) Under the Exchange Agreement, (i) Spiers shall seek development approvals to permit construction of twenty-four (24) or more dwelling units on the Portola Property; (ii) Spiers shall not construct any improvements on the Edgehill Property during the term of the Exchange Agreement; and (iii) City shall use reasonable efforts to remove a fence encroachment currently existing on the Portola Property. In the event that Spiers obtains development approvals on the Portola Property to its satisfaction, the encroaching fence on the Portola Property is removed, and the other conditions for closing set forth in the Exchange Agreement are satisfied within twenty-four (24) months following the effective date of the Exchange Agreement, then the City shall convey the Portola Property to Spiers and Spiers shall convey the Edgehill Property to the City.
- (g) The City has retained full and absolute discretion to grant or deny any and all development approvals on the Portola Property following completion of environmental review and nothing in the Exchange Agreement shall affect or diminish the right or discretion of the Planning Department or any City official to grant, deny, or condition any development application relative to the Portola Property.

- (h) The Director of Property has determined that the fair market value of the Edgehill Property is Two Million Nine Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$2,950,000.00), and the fair-market value of the Portola Property, with the proposed development approvals, is not less than Two Million Nine Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$2,950,000.00).
- (i) Under the Exchange Agreement, Spiers will be required to pay the City additional consideration in the event that the value of the Portola Property, following the procurement of the development approvals and after deducting Spiers' entitlement costs, exceeds Two Million Nine Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$2,950,000.00) pursuant to a formula set forth in the Exchange Agreement, but in no event shall the City be required to pay Spiers any consideration for the contemplated property exchange.
- (j) A portion of the Portola Property is used as a public right-of-way (the "Roadway"), although the Roadway has not been designated by the City as a public street. At the time of the property exchange, if completed, the City shall convey the entire Portola Property to Spiers, and Spiers shall dedicate and the City shall accept the Roadway as a public street (including, without limitation, all maintenance obligations with respect thereto).
- (k) Under the Exchange Agreement, Spiers and City each release and indemnify the other party with respect to any environmental condition that may exist with respect to the property that it acquires, unless and except to the extent the environmental condition was caused by the active negligence or willful misconduct of the indemnifying party.
- (I) Within ten (10) days following the Effective Date of the Exchange Agreement, the City and Spiers shall file with San Francisco Superior Court a Stipulation for Entry of Judgment and Permanent Injunction, a copy of which is attached to the Exchange Agreement, requesting (a) entry of a permanent injunction in San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 401-800 (the "Stipulation") requiring Spiers to remove the fence blocking access

from Shangri-La Way to Edgehill Park and enjoining Spiers from interfering with access to the park, and (b) dismissal of Spiers' cross-complaint for quiet title without prejudice.

- (m) The General Manager of the Recreation and Park Department, the Director of Public Works, and the Director of Property have determined that it is in the best interests of the City to enter into the Exchange Agreement, and that the public interest demands or will not be inconvenienced by the proposed property exchange.
- (n) The Director of Planning found that the proposed property exchange is categorically exempt from environmental review under CEQA and is consistent with the City's General Plan and with the Eight Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1, and a copy of these findings have been placed in the file with the Clerk of the Board and are incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2. General Plan Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds that the City's execution and performance of the Exchange Agreement is consistent with the City's General Plan and with the Eight Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1, and the proposed property exchange is categorically exempt from environmental review under CEQA, and agrees with and incorporates the Director of Planning's findings by reference as though fully set forth in this ordinance.

Section 3. Approval of Settlement and Exchange Agreement. In accordance with the recommendations of the General Manager of the Recreation and Park Department, the Director of Public Works, and the Director of Property, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the Exchange Agreement, the Stipulation and the transactions contemplated thereby in substantially the form of the Exchange Agreement and the Stipulation, and authorizes the Director of Property to execute and deliver the Exchange Agreement and to take the actions required to be performed by the City in the Exchange Agreement. The Board of Supervisors authorizes the City Attorney to execute and deliver the Stipulation.

Section 4. Amendments. The Board of Supervisors authorizes the Director of Property to enter into any additions, amendments or other modifications to the documents (including, without limitation, the attached exhibits) that the Director of Property determines are in the best interests of the City, that do not materially increase the obligations or liabilities of the City, and are necessary or advisable to complete the property exchange, such determination to be conclusively evidenced by the execution and delivery by the Director of Property of the documents and any amendments thereto.

Section 5. General Authorization. The Director of Property is hereby authorized, in the name and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, to take any and all steps (including, but not limited to, the execution and delivery of any and all certificates, agreements, notices, consents, escrow instructions, closing documents and other instruments or documents) as the Director of Property deems necessary or appropriate in order to consummate the property exchange in accordance with the terms of the Exchange Agreement, or to otherwise effectuate the purpose and intent of this ordinance, such determination to be conclusively evidenced by the execution and delivery by the Director of Property of any such documents.

Section 6. Approving Settlement. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle with defendant and cross-complainant William F. Spiers dba Spiers Construction Company in the action entitled "City and County of San Francisco v. William F. Spiers dba Spiers Construction Company," San Francisco Superior Court, Court No. 401-800, filed in San Francisco Superior Court on November 29, 2001, for (a) the filing of a permanent injunction against Spiers requiring Spiers to remove Spiers' fence blocking access from Shangri-La Way to Edgehill Park and enjoining Spiers from interfering with access to the park, (b) dismissal without prejudice of Spiers' cross-complaint for quiet title, and (c) the Exchange Agreement.

1	·	
2		
3	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND	RECOMMENDED:
4	RECOMMENDED:	
5	DENNIS J. HERRERA	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT
6	City Attorney	- State 7
7	ANDREW W. SCHWARTZ	KENNETH WINTERS
8	Deputy City Attorney	Director of Property
9		
10	APPROVED:	APPROVED:
11	RECREATION & PARK DEPARTMENT	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
12	CANDO	01,001
13		- (CHOBL
14	ELIZABETH GOLDSTEIN General Manager	Director
15		
16		
17		
18		



## City and County of San Francisco

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Tails Ordinance

File Number: 031545

Date Passed:

Ordinance authorizing an agreement to exchange vacant land uphill from Edgehill Park for the City's property on Portola Drive; adopting findings that the exchange agreement is categorically exempt from environmental review and is consistent with the City's General Plan and Eight Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1; authorizing the Director of Property to execute documents, make certain modifications and take certain actions in furtherance of the exchange agreement; and authorizing settlement of the lawsuit entitled City and County of San Francisco v. William F. Spiers dba Spiers Construction Company, filed on November 29, 2001 in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. 401-800, for an injunction requiring removal of Spiers' fence blocking access to Edgehill Park, for dismissal of Spiers' cross-complaint for quiet title, and for the exchange agreement.

October 7, 2003 Board of Supervisors — PASSED ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Dufty, Gonzalez, Hall, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom,

Peskin, Sandoval Noes: 1 - Daly

October 21, 2003 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 6 - Ammiano, Daly, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval

Noes: 5 - Dufty, Gonzalez, Hall, Ma, Newsom

November 18, 2003 Board of Supervisors — FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 8 - Gonzalez, Hall, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval

Noes: 2 - Daly, Dufty Excused: 1 - Ammiano File No. 031545

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on November 18, 2003 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

33.032

Date Approved

Gloria L. Young Clerk of the Board

Mayor Willie L. Brown Jr.