RESOLUTION NO.

1	[Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Surcharges and Healthy San Francisco: Hea for Whom?]
2	
3	Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings
4	and recommendations contained in the 2011-2012 Civil Grand Jury report entitled
5	"Surcharges and Healthy San Francisco: Healthy for Whom?" and urging the Mayor to
6	cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her
7	department heads and through the development of the annual budget.
8	
9	WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of
10	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
11	Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and
12	WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
13	recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
14	county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
15	and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
16	response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
17	which it has some decision making authority; and
18	WHEREAS, The 2011-2012 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Surcharges and Healthy
19	San Francisco: Healthy for Whom?" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File
20	No. 120787, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein;
21	and
22	WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
23	to Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 as well as Recommendations 1,
24	2, 3, 4, and 5 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

25

1 WHEREAS, Finding No. 1 states: "The Jury could not identify any government 2 investigation that reports the number of businesses adding surcharges to pay for Health Care 3 Security Ordinance (HCSO) employee mandates and mandated paid sick days;" and 4 WHEREAS, Finding No. 2 states: "The City has not investigated health care related 5 surcharges to determine whether or not employers are generating profits from these 6 surcharges;" and 7 WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: "Neither the City nor the state of California, to the 8 Jury's knowledge, has investigated whether sales tax is being added to surcharges;" and 9 WHEREAS, Finding No. 4 states: "The City has neither a plan nor sufficient staff at the 10 OSLE to audit employers' surcharges in compliance with HCSO regulations;" and 11 WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "San Francisco businesses that collected surcharges 12 prior to January 1, 2012 have no obligation to report surcharge receipts to the City nor 13 reconcile the surcharges with health care expenses;" and 14 WHEREAS, Finding No. 6 states: "Due to the varied wording in describing surcharges 15 on consumers' bills, and the wording of the ordinance, the auditing of surcharges will be 16 difficult;" and WHEREAS, Finding No. 7 states: "Consumer fraud is committed if the consumer's 17 18 receipt states that a surcharge is being assessed for a stated purpose and is not being used for that purpose;" and 19 20 WHEREAS, Finding No. 8 states: "Employers with Health Reimbursement Accounts 21 (HRAs) in 2010 allocated \$62 million for medical care, reimbursed employees \$12 million, and 22 retained up to the remaining \$50 million;" and 23 WHEREAS, Finding No. 9 states: "Given similar demographics the 20% reimbursement 24 rate for HRAs is well below the City's 50% reimbursement rate for MRAs due to lack of 25

program notification to employees, stricter HRA guidelines, and employees' unwillingness to
 disclose their medical conditions to their employer;" and

- WHEREAS, Finding No. 10 states: "Significant numbers of restaurants utilizing HRAs
 in 2010 paid out no medical expenses for their employees;" and
- 5 WHEREAS, Finding No. 11 states: "Employees with two or more employers may have 6 two or more HRAs, likely with differing guidelines for what constitutes medical expenses and 7 with differing time limits;" and
- 8 WHEREAS, Finding No. 12 states: "HRAs may not be an allowable option in meeting
 9 the federal requirements under the Affordable Care Act;" and
- WHEREAS, Finding No. 13 states: "The financial incentive to retain unspent HRA
 funds could be a motivating force for employers to restrict employee access to these funds;"
 and
- WHEREAS, Finding No. 14 states: "By submitting personal medical invoices directly to
 their employers, employees are forced to reveal their medical history and current health
 conditions to their employers;" and
- 16 WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 1 states: "Disallow employers subject to the
- 17 Office of Labor Standards Enforcement regulations from adding surcharges on customers' bill
- 18 to pay for HCSO employer mandates and mandated paid sick days;" and
- WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 2 states: "The Office of the Treasurer and Tax
 Collector investigate the under-reporting of sales taxes on surcharges;" and
- 21 WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 3 states: "The District Attorney open an
- 22 investigation to review the Jury's survey findings for possible consumer fraud;" and
- 23 WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 4 states: "Disallow the use of the employer HRA 24 option:" and
- 25

1	WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 5 states: "Eliminate time limits for employees to
2	use their MRA funds;" and
3	WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of
4	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
5	Court on Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 as well as
6	Recommendations 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now,
7	therefore, be it
8	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the
9	Superior Court that it {agrees/disagrees} 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14, for
10	reasons as follows; and, be it
11	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it
12	{agrees/disagrees} Recommendations 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, for reasons as follows;
13	and, be it
14	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
15	implementation of accepted findings and the recommendation through his/her department
16	heads and through the development of the annual budget.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	