[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition E, a Charter Amendment regarding Changes to Public Utilities related to Water and Sewer.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition E, a Charter Amendment (Fifth Draft) to create a new Article VIIIB to add Sections 8B.120 through 8B.127, deleting Section 9.111-1 and amending Section 16.103, to establish exclusive control of utilities; rate setting standards and methods; planning and reporting requirements; transfer of surplus funds between utilities; independence in contracting; revenue bonds or other financing methods. , (File 020887); and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 5, 2002.

Supervisor Ammiano BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Ballot Argument Motions

### SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

#### Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT X FOR or \_\_AGAINST PROPOSITION E FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 5, 2002 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes <b>B</b> , <i>I</i> , or <b>BI</b>	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	San Francisco's Public Utilities Commission operates some of the most vital	10
	City infrastructure we possess: our water system stretches to Hetch Hetchy and	12
	serves over 2 million Bay Area water users and our wastewater treatment system	13
	serves all of San Francisco.	4
	Seismologists predict that major earthquakes along several fault lines could	10
	damage both systems. We must upgrade and reinforce these systems to ensure	12
	water and sewer service in the event of an earthquake.	10
	Proposition E resulted from months of public discussions that included	10
	Supervisor Ammiano, the Mayor's Office, the City's leading financial experts,	10
	PUC staff, environmentalists, business leaders and neighborhood groups.	8
,	Analysis by Budget Analyst Harvey Rose and Director of Public Finance	11
В	Monique Moyer indicates that the PUC's Clean Water Enterprise is facing a	12
В	serious financial crisis. Bond rating agencies who rate PUC finances have	11
	downgraded the Agency's debt and appear headed for further downgrades -	10
,	resulting in tens of millions in additional costs for the PUC's repair program.	13
	Proposition E reforms include:	4
	<ul> <li>Voter approval before utility assets owned by the PUC are sold;</li> </ul>	11
	A public and fair process to establish cost-of-service rates, including a new	14
	Rate Fairness Board to protect consumers;	6
	• Long-term capital and financial plans for PUC operations;	9
	• Use of surplus utility funds for other PUC purposes rather than for the	12

Page	o.f
Page	of

## SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

	Total # of Words =	298
	leaders in voting yes on Proposition E.	7
	and Sandoval, the League of Women Voters, environmentalists and business	10
	Please join Supervisors Ammiano, Daly, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin	9
3	in California.	2
3	PUC financing practices are in line with virtually every other public utility	12
3	Proposition E will avoid millions in unnecessary costs by ensuring that	11
	improvements and to seek low-interest state loans.	8
	referendum and a subsequent vote of the people), for water and sewer	12
ų	Board of Supervisors issuance of revenue bonds, by a 2/3 vote (subject to	13
	General Fund;	2

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument. Tom Ammiano Signature and Date Printed Name Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument 2. <u>Chris Daly</u> Printed Name Signature and Date 1346 Stevenson St B3018.F. 941 03 Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument Mark Leno Printed Name Signature and Date Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument 4. Sophie Maxwell Printed Name Signature and Date solpae. Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument Address 5. Jake McGoldrick Printed Name Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument Address 6. Aaron Peskin Printed Name Signature and Date Filhert St. S.F. 94133 522 Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument Address

attach additional pages as needed

Page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT  Declaration by Authors of Arguments and or Rebuttals					
7. <u>Gerardo Sandoval</u> Printed Name					
Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument	4534 MISSIM St. #15 S.F. 941 Address				
ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.					

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:



# City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Motion

File Number:

021382

Date Passed:

August 19, 2002

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition E, a Charter Amendment regarding changes to public utilities related to water and sewer.

August 19, 2002 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE

BEARING SAME TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick,

Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

August 19, 2002 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin,

Sandoval

Noes: 3 - Hall, Newsom, Yee

File No. 021382

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 19, 2002 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young Clerk of the Board