[Ballot Argument]

\_\_\_\_

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition G, a Charter Amendment regarding Assistance to the Elections Department.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition G, a charter amendment (Fifth Draft) to amend sections 13.103.5, 13.104.5, 15.100 and 6.102 to clarify that said section does not prohibit City personnel from providing to the Department of Elections services that are indistinguishable from services provided to other City departments and unrelated to the conduct of elections; authorize the Board of Supervisors to grant a waiver, applicable before, during and after election day, to the prohibition on use of City employees; eliminate the requirement that, prior to seeking a waiver from the Board of Supervisors, the Elections Commission make a finding that the Department of Elections will not have adequate staffing to conduct an election without the waiver; specify that the Sheriff is responsible for transporting voted ballots and other documents and devices used to record votes from the polls to the central counting location and approving a security plan; and eliminate some of the restrictions that apply to members of the Ethics Commission, Elections Commission, and the City Attorney.

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 5, 2002.

Supervisor Ammiano BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Ballot Argument Motions

## SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

## Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT X FOR or \_\_AGAINST PROPOSITION G FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 5, 2002 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes B, I, or BI	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	Last November San Francisco voters approved reforms to help guarantee honest	10
!	and fair elections in San Francisco. Proposition G improves these reforms by	11
	clarifying the role of the Sheriff and other City employees in elections.	12
	Proposition G clarifies that the Sheriff must provide security for elections by	12
	transporting ballots from the polls and by approving a security plan before each	13
	election. In addition, Proposition G clarifies that the Department of Elections	11
	may use other City employees for tasks unrelated to an election - such as payroll	14
	and purchasing. These changes will allow the City to reduce the cost of running	14
	an election without cutting back on the protections that were put in place to help	15
	guarantee honest and fair elections in San Francisco.	7
	Proposition G also refines the conflict of interest restrictions placed on	11
	members and staff of the Ethics and Elections Commissions without	10
	jeopardizing the integrity of San Francisco's elections. Current restrictions	8
	prohibit a part-time judge or a professor at a state university from serving on the	16
	Ethics or Elections Commission. This was not the intent of the previous	12
	reforms. Accordingly, Proposition G changes these restrictions in a manner	10
	that continues to provide strong conflict of interest restrictions without	10
	permitting such unintended consequences.	4
	Most importantly, Proposition G will save money spent on elections by	11
	authorizing the Board of Supervisors to grant waivers allowing City employees	11
	to work on election day and afterwards. Last year, the Department of Elections	13
	overspent it's budget by more than \$5 million; this could have been partially	13
	avoided by allowing City employees to assist with elections.	9
	Proposition G continues the City's efforts to provide cost-efficient, honest and	12
	fair elections and deserves your support. Please join Supervisors Ammiano,	10

		ONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT			
Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals					
	Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno,	Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval 9			
,	and Yee in voting yes on Pro	oposition G!			
		Total # of Words = 296			
Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.					
l. <sub>-</sub>		Signature and Date			
	Printed Name	. 1			
	Supervisor	10 ( need S.F. 941 0			
	Title to Appear on Argument	Address			
2.	Chris Daly	(in Ch 8.19.02			
	Printed Name	Signature and Date			
	Supervisor	1346 Stevenson St 8301 S.F. 94103			
-	Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument	Address			
	The state of the s	7 1001000			
3					
	Printed Name	Signature and Date )			
	Supervisor	825 Hames St. S.F. 94117			
	Title to Appear on Argument	Address / / /			
4.	Tony Hall	Jony Walf 8/19/02			
	Printed Name	Signature and Date / (			
	Camanadaan	To Rocheway Pre S.F. 941 27			
-	Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument	Address			
_		- My losson			
5	Mark Leno Printed Name	Signature and Date			
	Timed Name				
	Supervisor	590 Clipper S.F. 941/ 4			
	Title to Appear on Argument	Addros			
6.	Sophie Maxwell	Signature and Date			
	Printed Name	Signature and Date			
	Supervisor	1568 Jews In av. S.F. 94124			
-	Title to Appear on Argument	Address			
7		( Jole Me Todes aligher			
7	Jake McGoldrick Printed Name	Signature and Date			
	_ /	1/2 col offi			
_	<u>Supervisor</u>	1240 4 AVE. S.F. 941/8			
	Title to Appear on Argument	Address /			
8.	Gavin Newsom	M 8/19/05			
-	Printed Name	Signature and Date			
	Supervisor	7877 factor S.F. 941/5			
_	Title to Appear on Argument	Address,			
		(lesson Pala: 8-19-02			
9.	<u>Aaron Peskin</u> Printed Name	Signature and Date			
	Timed Name				
	Supervisor	522 Filbert St. S.F. 94133			
_	Title to Appear on Argument	Address			

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT				
Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals				
	100//			
10. Gerardo Sandoval	Llus Gm K			
Printed Name	Signature and Date			
Supervisor	4534 Missim St, #15 s.F. 941/2			
Title to Appear on Argument	Address'			
11. <u>Leland Yee</u> Printed Name	Signature and Date			
Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument	137° 244 S.F. 941 2			
ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.				
The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:				



## City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Motion

File Number:

021386

Date Passed:

August 19, 2002

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition G, a Charter Amendment regarding assistance to the Elections Department.

August 19, 2002 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 021386

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 19, 2002 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Glorya L. Young Clerk of the Board