File No. 130806

Committee Item No._____ Board Item No.____ 2 o

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date____

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date September 24, 2013

Cinte Bo	ard	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Motion	
	Resolution	•
	Ordinance	
	Legislative Digest	
\square	Budget Analyst Report	
	Legislative Analyst Report	
	Introduction Form (for hearing	ngs)
	Department/Agency Cover L	
\square	MOU	•
\square	Grant Information Form	
\square	Grant Budget	
\square	Subcontract Budget	
F F	Contract/Agreement	
	Award Letter	
	Application	
	Public Correspondence	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(Use back side if additional s	space is needed)
		·
Completed	by: <u>Joy Lamug</u>	Date <u>September 19, 2013</u>
Completed by:		Date

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

FILE NO. 130806

MOTION NO.

[Affirming the Exemption Determination - 435-437 Potrero Avenue]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Commission that a project located at 435-437 Potrero Avenue is exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission has determined that a project located at 435-437 Potrero Avenue is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. The proposed project involves establishment of an internet services exchange to occupy the entirety of an existing 10,000 square foot building. By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received on August 12, 2013, Mica I. Ringel (Appellant) appealed the exemption determination. The Appellant provided a copy of the Planning Commission's Motion No. 18921, dated July 11, 2013, approving a conditional use application for the proposed project, which motion found that the proposed project was exempt under Class 1 of the CEQA Guidelines as a minor alteration to an existing facility (14 Cal. Code Reg. §15301 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, On September 24, 2013, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant and, following the public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. <u>130805</u> and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Commission that the proposed project is exempt from environmental review; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the project qualifies for a exemption determination under CEQA.

n:\landuse\mbyrne\bos ceqa appeals\435-437 potrero cat ex aff.doc

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Print Form **Introduction Form** By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor Time stamp or meeting date I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one): 1. For reference to Committee: An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment. \boxtimes 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee, 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee: inquires" 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor 5. City Attorney request. from Committee. 6. Call File No. 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion). 8. Substitute Legislation File No. \Box 9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion). \Box 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole. \Box 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission **Ethics** Commission \square □ Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different form. Sponsor(s): Clerk of the Board Subject: Affirming the Exemption Determination - 435-437 Potrero Avenue The text is listed below or attached: Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Commission that a project located at 435-437 Potrero Avenue is exempt from environmental review. Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: (For Clerk's Use Only:

130806

Page 1 of 1