FILE NO. 130844

MOTION NO.

1	[Affirming the Approval of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration - 480 Potrero Avenue]
2	
3	Motion affirming the approval of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration under the
4	California Environmental Quality Act by the Planning Commission for a project located
5	at 480 Potrero Avenue.
6	
7	WHEREAS, The Planning Commission has approved a Final Mitigated Negative
8	Declaration (FMND) for a project located at 480 Potrero Avenue under the California
9	Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative
10	Code Chapter 31. The proposed project involves construction of a six-story, 58-foot-tall
11	mixed-use building, which would include residential, ground-floor retail, and parking uses
12	("proposed project"). By letters to the Clerk of the Board received on August 28, 2013, Jean
13	Bogiages and Juan M. Jayo, on behalf of the Mariposa Utah Neighborhood Association
14	("MUNA"), and Mica I. Ringel (Appellants), appealed the Planning Commission's approval of
15	the FMND. Appellants provided a copy of Planning Commission Motion No. 18944, dated
16	August 8, 2013, upholding the Preliminary Mitigated Negative Declaration on appeal to that
17	body. At the same August 8, 2013 hearing, the Planning Commission approved a Large
18	Project Authorization under Planning Code Section 329 for the proposed project; and
19	WHEREAS, On October 8, 2013, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to
20	consider the appeal of the FMND filed by Appellants and, following the public hearing,
21	affirmed the Planning Commission's approval of the FMND; and
22	WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the FMND, this Board reviewed and considered
23	the FMND, the appeal letters, the responses to concerns document that the Planning
24	Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the
25	public testimony made in support of and opposed to the FMND appeal. Following the

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS 1 conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the Planning 2 Commission's approval of the FMND for the project based on the written record before the 3 Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the 4 5 appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the 6 Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of 7 the FMND is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 130843 and is incorporated in 8 this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set
forth, the FMND; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the FMND; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the FMND, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the FMND, this Board concludes that the project qualifies for a FMND and that no fair argument supported by substantial evidence in the record has been presented that the project as proposed would result in any significant impact on the environment.

- 22
- 23

n:\landuse\mbyrne\bos ceqa appeals\480 potrero negdec aff.doc

- 24
- 25

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS