Committee	Item	No.	
Board Item	No	30	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee_		Date
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date October 8 ,2013
Cmte Boar	ď	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional spac	e is needed)
Completed b	——————————————————————————————————————	Date October 3, 2013 Date

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

| | |

[Affirming the Approval of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration - 480 Potrero Avenue]

Motion affirming the approval of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act by the Planning Commission for a project located at 480 Potrero Avenue.

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission has approved a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (FMND) for a project located at 480 Potrero Avenue under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. The proposed project involves construction of a six-story, 58-foot-tall mixed-use building, which would include residential, ground-floor retail, and parking uses ("proposed project"). By letters to the Clerk of the Board received on August 28, 2013, Jean Bogiages and Juan M. Jayo, on behalf of the Mariposa Utah Neighborhood Association ("MUNA"), and Mica I. Ringel (Appellants), appealed the Planning Commission's approval of the FMND. Appellants provided a copy of Planning Commission Motion No. 18944, dated August 8, 2013, upholding the Preliminary Mitigated Negative Declaration on appeal to that body. At the same August 8, 2013 hearing, the Planning Commission approved a Large Project Authorization under Planning Code Section 329 for the proposed project; and

WHEREAS, On October 8, 2013, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the FMND filed by Appellants and, following the public hearing, affirmed the Planning Commission's approval of the FMND; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the FMND, this Board reviewed and considered the FMND, the appeal letters, the responses to concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the FMND appeal. Following the

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the Planning
Commission's approval of the FMND for the project based on the written record before the
Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and
opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the
appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the
Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of
the FMND is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 130843 and is incorporated in
this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the FMND; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the FMND; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the FMND, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the FMND, this Board concludes that the project qualifies for a FMND and that no fair argument supported by substantial evidence in the record has been presented that the project as proposed would result in any significant impact on the environment.

n:\landuse\mbyrne\bos ceqa appeals\480 potrero negdec aff.doc



Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee:	
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee:	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
☐ 5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	
11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following Small Business Commission	•
☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission	n
ote: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different for	m.
ponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	
ubject:	
Affirming the Approval of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration - 480 Potrero Avenue	
he text is listed below or attached:	
Motion affirming the approval of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration under the California Envisce by the Planning Commission for a project located at 480 Potrero Avenue.	ironmental Quality
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
or Clerk's Use Only:	130844