PREPARED IN COMMITTEE

FILE NO. 130394 MOTION NO. 9/26/2013

1	[Follow-Up Board Response - 2011-2012 Civil Grand Jury Report - Deja Vu All Over Again: San Francisco's City Technology Needs a Culture Shock]
2	San Francisco's Oity recimology Needs a Sulture Shock
3	Motion responding to the 2012-2013 Civil Grand Jury request to provide a status
4	update on the Board of Supervisors' responses to the relevant recommendations
5	issued in the 2011-2012 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Déjà Vu All Over Again: San
6	Francisco's City Technology Needs a Culture Shock."
7	
8	WHEREAS, The 2011-2012 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury published a report, entitled
9	"Déjà Vu All Over Again: San Francisco's City Technology Needs a Culture Shock" (Report)
10	on August 2, 2012; and
11	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and Oversight Committee
12	(GAO) conducted a public hearing to hear and respond to the Report on October 29, 2012;
13	and
14	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 412-12 reflecting the
15	GAO responses to the Report on November 6, 2012; and
16	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 4, which
17	states: "[Committee on Information Technology (COIT)] appoint two non-voting, non-City
18	employee members to sit on COIT without further delay," would be implemented within three
19	months; and
20	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 5, which
21	states: "The City CIO develop consolidated citywide comprehensive ICT budget and staffing
22	plans, reviewed and approved by COIT, and take the lead in its presentation to the Mayor's
23	Budget Office and the Board of Supervisors," would be implemented within six months; and
24	
25	

1	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 6, which
2	states: "Subsequent to COIT approval of the ICT budget and staffing plans, COIT and the City
3	CIO monitor adherence to these plans," would be implemented within six months; and
4	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 7, which
5	states: "The City CIO position be elevated in authority, responsibility, and accountability by
6	creating functional "dotted-line" relationships between the City CIO and the departmental
7	CIOs," required further analysis as the Board intended to investigate the matter, and the
8	Board requested that, before February 2, 2013, COIT and the Department of Technology
9	return to the Board with an evaluation of potential options; and
10	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 9, which
11	states: "Amend Administrative Code, Section 22A.4 and 22A.7, to separate the position of
12	City CIO from the Department of Technology," required further analysis as the Board intended
13	to investigate the matter, and the Board requested that, before February 2, 2013, the
14	Department of Technology return to the Board with an evaluation of potential options; and
15	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 10, which
16	states: "Amend Administrative Code, Sections 22A.4 and 22A.7, to create the separate
17	position of Director of DT, appointed by and reporting to the City CIO," required further
18	analysis as the Board intended to investigate the matter, and the Board requested that, before
19	February 2, 2013, the Department of Technology return to the Board with an evaluation of
20	potential options; and
21	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 11, which
22	states: "The City CIO work with the Controller to conduct a survey, including, but not limited
23	to, performance data, client satisfaction, decision-making and evaluation criteria, inventory of
24	services, and needs assessment, first for baseline figures and then annually to measure

improvement over the baseline figures," required further analysis as the Board intended to

25

1	investigate the matter, and the Board requested that, before February 2, 2013, the City CIO
2	return to the Board with an evaluation of potential options; and
3	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 12, which
4	states: "The City CIO report annually on the state of technology in the City to the Mayor and
5	the Board of Supervisors," would be implemented within six months; and
6	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 13, which
7	states: "The City CIO and the Controller create a citywide asset management system for ICT
8	equipment," would be implemented within six months; and
9	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 14, which
10	states: "The City CIO and DHR create a citywide skills database for personnel, to catalog
11	such skills as programming languages, web development, database, networking, and
12	operating systems," required further analysis as the Board intended to investigate the matter,
13	and the Board requested that, before February 2, 2013, the City CIO and Department of
14	Human Resources, with input from labor and department heads, return to the Board with an
15	evaluation of potential options; and
16	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 15, which
17	states: "Revise the Charter so that all vacant and new technology positions be classified as
18	Group II exempt positions," required further analysis as the Board intended to investigate the
19	matter, and the Board requested that, before February 2, 2013, the Department of Technology
20	return to the Board with an evaluation of potential options; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 17, which

states: "The City CIO be included, with department heads, in the performance review process

of senior ICT personnel in all departments," required further analysis as the Board intended to

investigate the matter, and the Board requested that, before February 2, 2013, the City CIO

return to the Board with an evaluation of potential options; and

21

22

23

24

25

1	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 18, which
2	states: "Pending revision of the Charter, the Mayor develop methods for speeding up the
3	hiring process for ICT personnel," would be implemented within six months; and
4	WHEREAS, The 2012-2013 City and County of San Francisco Civil Grand Jury
5	requested that the Board of Supervisors provide a status update on the responses to
6	Recommendation Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, and 18; and
7	WHEREAS, The follow-up on the 2011-2012 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Déjà Vu
8	All Over Again: San Francisco's City Technology Needs a Culture Shock" is on file with the
9	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 130394, which is hereby declared to be a part of
10	this motion as if set forth fully herein; now, therefore, be it
11	MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Foreperson of the 2012-2013
12	City and County of San Francisco Civil Grand Jury that it has implemented Recommendation
13	4: One non-voting, non-City employee has been appointed to COIT and the appointment of a
14	second member is underway; and, be it
15	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it will not implement
16	Recommendation 5 for reasons as follows: The recommendation is not warranted; and, be it
17	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it has implemented
18	Recommendation 6 for reasons as follows: the City already has a CIO review process in place
19	to monitor all major projects and large funding allocations; and, be it
20	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it will not implement
21	Recommendation 7 for reasons as follows: The recommendation is not warranted; and, be it
22	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it will not implement
23	Recommendation 9 for reasons as follows: The recommendation is not warranted; and, be it
24	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it will not implement
25	Recommendation 10 for reasons as follows: The recommendation is not warranted; and, be it

1	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it has implemented
2	Recommendation 11: Over the past year, several surveys involving City technology have
3	been conducted. COIT recently conducted a survey focused on file sharing and cloud storage.
4	The Controller's Office recently surveyed seven departments, including the Department of
5	Technology, for the Capital Project Management and Asset Management Systems
6	Improvement Project. The Department currently has access to performance management
7	tools to measure performance. Controller's Office staff may be used to assist in further
8	developing the Department's performance indicators and reporting; and, be it
9	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it has implemented
10	Recommendation 12 for reasons as follows: The City CIO reports every other year through
11	the ICT plan on the state of technology in the City. Additionally, the City CIO reports on the
12	Department of Technology during the budget process; and, be it
13	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it has not yet
14	implemented, but will implement Recommendation 13 within six months of the second hearing
15	of the report, from September 26, 2013 to no later than March 26, 2014; and, be it
16	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it will not implement
17	Recommendation 14 for reasons as follows: The City will soon be able to track employee
18	credentials, training, and certifications in personnel records. Module implementation will begin
19	in the spring for a pilot group, with citywide expansion to follow at a later date. Creating a
20	separate IT skills database would be duplicative; and, be it
21	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it will not implement
22	Recommendation 15 for reasons as follows: The City is required by law to abide by a civil
23	service process to ensure that hiring is nondiscriminatory. Implementation of this
24	recommendation would require voter approval; and, be it

25

1	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it will not implement
2	Recommendation 17 for reasons as follows: The responsibility to conduct performance
3	reviews rests with the department head, managers, and supervisors.; and, be it
4	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it has implemented
5	Recommendation 18: An IT Working Group, which includes members from COIT, individual
6	departments, Local 21 and DHR, was established to address the City's IT hiring challenges.
7	Two areas were identified: (1) Process efficiencies: improve efficiency of IT hiring within the
8	civil service system; and (2) Recruitment improvements: improve recruitment of qualified
9	applicants through effective branding and marketing of City tech jobs, and use of social
10	media; and, be it
11	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
12	implementation of accepted recommendation through his/her department heads and through
13	the development of the annual budget.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	