AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 10/10/2013

FILE NO. 130687

RESOLUTION NO. 379-13

[Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - "Building a Better Future at the Department of Building Inspection"]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2012-2013 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Building a Better Future at the Department of Building Inspection;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over which it has some decision making authority; and

WHEREAS, The 2012-2013 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Building a Better Future at the Department of Building Inspection (DBI)" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 130687, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond to Finding Nos. 1, 5, and 6 as well as Recommendations 1.1, 1.2, 5.1, 6.1, and 6.2 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

Government Audit and Oversight Committee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

WHEREAS, Finding No. 1 states: "The revolving door of DBI leadership has adversely affected the Department's ability to develop and execute a strategic plan and to implement the recommendations of the 2007 Business Process Reengineering Report (BPR);" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "Many issues that the Jury found would have been ameliorated by tighter and more active oversight by the Building Inspection Commission (BIC);" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 6 states: "DBI's code enforcement policies and practices have resulted in a backlog of unresolved violations;" and

WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 1.1 states: "The DBI management should retain a consultant to update the 2007 BPR findings and recommendations and present the findings to BIC and the DBI Director;" and

WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 1.2 states: "The BIC and DBI Director should develop a detailed action plan with firm due dates for implementing BPR report recommendations that the consultant identifies as not completed;" and

WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 5.1 states: "The Board of Supervisors should hold a hearing within six months of the release of this report by the 2012-2013 Jury to see if BIC has taken action on the issues raised;" and

WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 6.1 states: "The DBI should establish performance standards for resolving code violations within designated time frames (for example, closing 75 percent of Notices of Violation within six months and 95 percent within 12 months of when they are issued). The performance standards should be reviewed and approved by BIC in public session;" and

WHEREAS, the Recommendation No. 6.2 states: "The DBI should develop monthly management reports for BIC that monitor the Department's performance against BICapproved performance standards for resolving building code violations;" and WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on Finding Nos. 1, 5, and 6 as well as Recommendations 1.1, 1.2, 5.1, 6.1, and 6.2 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it partially disagrees with Finding 1 for reasons as follows: while the leadership change hasn't been the only cause DBI's ability to develop and execute a strategic plan and to implement Finding No. 1, other reported issues have also prevented the DBI from developing and implementing a strategic plan and from implementing the recommendations of the 2007 Business Process Reengineering Report; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially disagrees with Finding 5 for reasons as follows: there are other issues that are also preventing the improvement of the reported issues, while tighter and more active oversight by the BIC would have helped ameliorate some issues; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially disagrees with Finding 6 for reasons as follows: seeing that there are two divisions affected by this finding, the problems facing the backlog of unresolved building code violations are unique to Building Code enforcement while the House Code enforcement division has been functioning well; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it has not implemented, but it will implement Recommendation 1.1 within six months of the publication of the Civil Grand Jury report, from July 2, 2013 to no later than January 2, 2014; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it has not implemented, but it will implement Recommendation 1.2 within six months of the publication of the Civil Grand Jury report, from July 2, 2013 to no later than January 2, 2014; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it has not implemented, but it will implement Recommendation 5.1 within six months of the publication of the Civil Grand Jury report, from July 2, 2013 to no later than January 2, 2014; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation 6.1 has been implemented; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation 6.2 has been implemented; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and the recommendation through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number: 130687

Date Passed: October 22, 2013

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2012-2013 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Building a Better Future at the Department of Building Inspection;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

October 10, 2013 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

October 10, 2013 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED

October 22, 2013 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 9 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Chiu, Cohen, Farrell, Mar, Tang and Yee Excused: 2 - Kim and Wiener

File No. 130687

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 10/22/2013 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Unsigned

Mayor

11/01/13

Date Approved

Date: November 1, 2013

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board