File No. <u>130783</u>

Committee Item No. ____7____ Board Item No. _____

Date _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Land Use and Economic Development Date November 25, 2013

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Completed by:

Cmte Boa	rd	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is needed)	
Completed I	by: Andrea Ausberry Date November 21, 2013	

____Date_

FILE NO. 130783

ORDINANCE NO.

[Planning Code - Nonconforming Uses: Enlargement, Alteration or Reconstruction]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to permit the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction of a dwelling or other housing structure that exceeds the permitted density of the district if dwelling units are principally permitted in the district and the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction does not extend beyond the building envelope as it existed on January 1, 2013; and making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u>. Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u>. Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u>. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings.

(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 130783 and is incorporated herein by reference.

(b) On September 19, 2013, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 18967, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Supervisor Avalos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1 7/30/2013 The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 130783, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Section 181, to read as follows:

SEC. 181. NONCONFORMING USES: ENLARGEMENTS, ALTERATIONS AND RECONSTRUCTION.

The following provisions shall apply to *non-conforming* <u>nonconforming</u> uses with respect to enlargements, alterations and reconstruction:

(a) <u>Increases in nonconformity</u>. A nonconforming use, and any structure occupied by such use, shall not be enlarged, intensified, extended, or moved to another location, with the exception of the construction of a mezzanine within a live/work unit and expansion of dwelling units in PDR Districts, unless the result will be elimination of the nonconforming use, except as provided <u>in Paragraph (b)(3) and (i)</u> below and <u>in</u> Section 186.1 of this Code. A nonconforming use shall not be extended to occupy additional space in a structure, or additional land outside a structure, or space in another structure, or to displace any other use, except as provided in Sections 182 and 186.1 of this Code.

(b) <u>*Permitted alterations.*</u> A structure occupied by a nonconforming use shall not be constructed, reconstructed or altered, unless the result will be elimination of the nonconforming use, except as provided in Section 186.1 of this Code and in Subsections (a) above and (d), (e), (f) <u>and (g), (h) and (i)</u> below, and except as follows:

(1) Ordinary maintenance and minor repairs shall be permitted where necessary to keep the structure in sound condition, as well as minor alterations, where such work is limited to replacement of existing materials with similar materials placed in a similar manner.

Supervisor Avalos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(2) Minor alterations shall be permitted where ordered by an appropriate public official to correct immediate hazards to health or safety, or to carry out newly enacted retroactive requirements essential to health or safety.

(3) Alterations otherwise allowed by this Code shall be permitted for any portion of the structure that will not thereafter be occupied by the nonconforming use, provided the nonconforming use is not enlarged, intensified, extended, or moved to another location.

(4) All other alterations of a structural nature shall be permitted only to the extent that the aggregate total cost of such other structural alterations, as estimated by the Department of <u>Building Inspection</u> <u>Public Works</u>, is less than ½ of the assessed valuation of the improvements prior to the first such alteration, except that structural alterations required to reinforce the structure to meet the standards for seismic loads and forces of the Building Code shall be permitted without regard to cost.

(c) *Dwellings nonconforming as to density*. A dwelling or other housing structure exceeding the permitted density of dwelling units or other housing units set forth in Sections 207.5, 208, 209.1, 209.2, or 215 of this Code for the district in which it is located shall be classified as a nonconforming use under Section 180 of this Code, but only to the extent that such dwelling or other housing structure exceeds the permitted density. *In districts where a dwelling unit is a principally permitted use, this This* Section 181 shall *not* apply with respect to enlargements, alterations and reconstruction of the nonconforming portion of such dwelling or other housing structure, consisting of those dwelling units or other housing units which exceed the permitted density. *so long as such enlargements, alterations, or reconstruction do not otherwise extend beyond the building envelope as it existed on January 1, 2013.* Any dwelling unit or other housing unit coming within the density limit shall not be affected by this Section 181. Except as provided in Sections 181(h) and 182(e), no dwelling or other housing structure exceeding the permitted density of dwelling units or other housing structure exceeding the permitted density of dwelling units or other housing structure exceeding the permitted density of dwelling units or other housing structure exceeding the permitted density of dwelling units or other housing structure exceeding the permitted density of dwelling units or other housing units shall be altered to increase the

Supervisor Avalos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS number of dwelling units or other housing units therein, or to increase or create any other nonconformity with respect to the dwelling unit or other housing unit density limitations of Section 209.1 or Section 209.2.

(d) <u>Structures damaged or destroyed by calamity.</u> Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 181, a structure occupied by a nonconforming use that is damaged or destroyed by fire, or other calamity, or by Act of God, or by the public enemy, may be restored to its former condition and use; provided that such restoration is permitted by the Building Code, and is started within eighteen months and diligently prosecuted to completion. The age of such a structure for the purposes of Sections 184 and 185 shall nevertheless be computed from the date of the original construction of the structure. Except as provided in Subsection (e) below, no structure occupied by a nonconforming use that is voluntarily razed or required by law to be razed by the owner thereof may thereafter be restored except in full conformity with the use limitations of this Code.

For purposes of this Subsection (d), "started within eighteen months" shall mean that within eighteen months of the fire or other calamity or Act of God, the structure's owner shall have filed a building permit application to restore the structure to its former condition and use.

(e) <u>Unreinforced masonry buildings.</u> In order that major life safety hazards in structures may be eliminated as expeditiously as possible, a structure containing nonconforming uses and constructed of unreinforced masonry that is inconsistent with the requirements of the UMB Seismic Retrofit Ordinance, Ordinance No. 227-92, may be demolished and reconstructed with the same nonconforming use or a use as permitted by Planning Code Section 182; provided that:

(1) there is no increase in any nonconformity, or any new nonconformity, with respect to the use limitations of this Code;

Supervisor Avalos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 4 7/30/2013

(2) provided further that the current requirements of the Building Code, the Housing Code and other applicable portions of the Municipal Code are met; and

(3) provided further that such restoration or reconstruction is started within one year after razing or other demolition work on the structure and diligently prosecuted to completion.

(f) <u>Nighttime Entertainment Uses in certain Mixed-Use Districts.</u> A nighttime entertainment use within the RSD, MUG, MUR, or SLR Districts may be enlarged, intensified, extended or expanded, including the expansion to an adjacent lot or lots, provided that:

(1) the enlargement, intensification, extension or expansion is approved as a conditional use pursuant to Sections 303 and 316 of this Code;

(2) the use as a whole meets the parking and signage requirements, floor area ratio limit, height and bulk limit, and all other requirements of this Code which would apply if the use were a permitted one; and

(3) the provisions of Section 803.5(b) of this Code are satisfied.

(g) <u>Automotive Sales and Service Signs in the Automotive Special Use District.</u> Automotive sales and service signs within the Automotive Special Use District which have all required permits but which do not comply with the controls for new signs established in Section 607.3 of this Code shall be permitted to remain as nonconforming uses and shall be permitted to modify the signage text to describe new automobile ownerships and dealerships that may occur from time to time.

(h) <u>Dwellings in PDR and M-2 Districts.</u> In PDR <u>and M-2</u> Districts, no building containing a residential use shall be altered to increase the number of dwelling units or other housing units therein. However, individual dwelling units or other housing units may be expanded, subject to height, bulk, and all other provisions of this Code which would otherwise be applicable to dwelling units or other housing units in the Urban Mixed Use District.

Supervisor Avalos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (i) <u>Nonconforming Non-Residential Uses in the Eastern Neighborhoods Mixed Use, PDR-1-</u> <u>D, and PDR-1-G Districts.</u> In the Eastern Neighborhoods Mixed Use, PDR-1-D, and PDR-1-G Districts, a non-residential nonconforming use may expand in gross floor area by no more than 25 percent with conditional use authorization pursuant to Section 303 of this Code. Such conditional use authorization may not be granted for any subsequent or additional expansion beyond the initial 25 percent.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

HDITH A. BOYAJIAN Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2013\1300041\00862711.doc

Supervisor Avalos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Planning Code - Nonconforming Uses: Enlargement, Alteration or Reconstruction]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to permit the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction of a dwelling or other housing structure that exceeds the permitted density of the district if dwelling units are principally permitted in the district and the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction does not extend beyond the building envelope as it existed on January 1, 2013; and making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Existing Law

Planning Code Section 181 prohibits the enlargement, alteration, and reconstruction of a structure occupied by a nonconforming use unless the result will be elimination of the nonconforming use except as specified in Section 181.

Amendments to Current Law

Section 181 is amended to permit enlargement, alteration, or reconstruction of a dwelling or other housing structure exceeding the permitted density for the district in which it is located where (1) the dwelling or other housing structure is located in a district where a dwelling unit is a principally permitted use and (2) the enlargement, alteration, or reconstruction does not otherwise extend beyond the building envelope as it existed on January 1, 2013.

Background Information

As applied to existing housing in areas where dwelling units are principally permitted uses, the current requirement is too strict and does not conform to San Francisco's housing policies.



City Hall Dr. Cariton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

August 7, 2013

Planning Commission and Attn: Jonas Ionin 1660 Mission Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

 \bigcirc

On July 30, 2013, Supervisor Avalos introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 130783

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to permit the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction of a dwelling or other housing structure that exceeds the permitted density of the district if dwelling units are principally permitted in the district and the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction does not extend beyond the building envelope as it existed on January 1, 2013; and making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code Section 302(b) for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use & Economic Development Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk Land Use & Economic Development Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning

Physical againstin-1. Section 15000 (c)(2) 13.1164E



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

September 23, 2013

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk Honorable Supervisor Avalos Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102 1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Re:

Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2013.1164T: Enlargement, Alteration, or Reconstruction of Nonconforming Units Board File No. 13-0783 Planning Commission Recommendation: <u>Approval</u>

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Avalos,

On September 19, 2013, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at its regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed amendments to Planning Code Section 181 introduced by Supervisor John Avalos. At the hearing, the Planning Commission voted 6-1 to recommend approval of the draft Ordinance.

The proposed amendments have been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c)(2). Pursuant to San Francisco's Administrative Code Section 8.12.5 "Electronic Distribution of Multi-page Documents", the Department is sending electronic documents and one hard copy. Additional hard copies may be requested by contacting Sophie Hayward at (415) 558-6372.

Please find attached documents relating to the actions of both Commissions. If you have any questions or require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely

AnMarie Rodgers Manager of Legislative Affairs

cc:

Supervisor John Avalos Jon Givner, Deputy City Attorney Judy Boyajian, Deputy City Attorney Jason Elliot, Mayor's Director of Legislative & Government Affairs

www.sfplanning.org

2

Attachments (two hard copies of the following): Planning Commission Resolution Planning Department Executive Summary



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Planning Commission Resolution No. 18967 Planning Code Text Change

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

HEARING DATE: SEPTEMBER 19, 2013

Fax: 415.558.6409 Project Name: Nonconforming Units: Enlargement, Alteration, or Reconstruction Case Number: 2013.1164T [Board File No. 130783] Planning Supervisor Avalos / Introduced July 30th, 2013 Information: 415.558.6377 Sophie Hayward, Legislative Affairs sophie.hayward@sfgov.org, 415-558-6372 AnMarie Rodgers, Manager Legislative Affairs anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org, 415-558-6395 **Recommend Approval**

Reviewed by:

Initiated by:

Staff Contact:

Recommendation:

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVE A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE TO PERMIT THE ENLARGEMENT, ALTERATION, OR RECONSTRUCTION OF A DWELLING OR OTHER HOUSING STRUCTURE THAT EXCEEDS THE PERMITTED DENSITY OF THE DISTRICT IF DWELLING UNITS ARE PRINCIPALLY PERMITTED IN THE DISTRICT AND THE ENLARGEMENT, ALTERATION, OR RECONSTRUCTION DOES NOT EXTEND BEYOND THE BUILDING ENVELOPE AS IT EXISTED ON JANUARY 1, 2013; AND ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS, PLANNING CODE SECTION 302 FINDINGS, AND FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND THE PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.

WHEREAS, on July 30, 2103, Supervisors Avalos introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 13-0783, which would amend Section 181 of the Planning Code regarding nonconforming units;

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on September 19, 2013; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be exempt from environmental review under California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c)(2); and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

Resolution No. 18967 September 19, 2013

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that the Commission hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors *approve* the draft Ordinance and adopts the attached Resolution to that effect.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The proposed Ordinance would add protection and flexibility for existing nonconforming units;
- 2. That the proposed Ordinance includes safeguards against unintended implications to the affordability of existing nonconforming residential units.
- 3. Based on information from the Department's Information and Analysis group, of the approximately 360,000 dwelling units in the City, nearly 52,000 units exceed the permitted zoning of the parcel on which they are located, representing close to 14% of existing units in the City.
- 4. Generally speaking, these legal nonconforming units are in older buildings constructed prior to the establishment of current zoning districts.
- 5. The age of the structures, together with the existing prohibition to expand, means that very often nonconforming units are among the city's most affordable housing stock, and are often subject to rent control.
- 6. The draft Ordinance would provide increased flexibility for nonconforming units, which may encourage the improvement and expansion of existing unsubsidized affordable housing units.
- 7. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance and the Commission's recommended modifications are consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

I. HOUSING ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2

RETAIN EXISTING HOUSING UNITS, AND PROMOTE SAFETY AND MAINTENANCE STANDARDS, WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING AFFORDABILITY.

POLICY 2.4

Promote improvements and continued maintenance to existing units to ensure long term habitation and safety.

The draft Ordinance will allow increased flexibility to expand nonconforming units, which may encourage maintenance of existing housing stock.

OBJECTIVE 3

2

PROTECT THE AFFORDABILITY OF THE EXISTING HOUSING STOCK, ESPECIALLY RENTAL UNITS

POLICY 3.1

Preserve rental units, especially rent controlled units, to meet the City's affordable housing needs.

POLICY 3.4

Preserve "naturally affordable" housing types, such as smaller and older ownership units.

The draft Ordinance is intended to provide increased flexibility to upgrade and to improve existing nonconforming units, many of which are naturally and unsubsidized affordable units.

- 8. **Planning Code Section 101 Findings.** The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
 - 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed amendments will not have a negative impact on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not impact opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance is intended to protect existing housing and neighborhood character through increased flexibility regarding expansion and alteration of nonconforming units.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would encourage the improvement and enhancement of the existing unsubsidized affordable housing stock by allowing alterations and expansion of units that are nonconforming as relates to density.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

3

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance will not negatively impact the City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

Landmarks and historic buildings would not be negatively impacted by the proposed Ordinance.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas would be unaffected by the proposed Ordinance.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby recommends that the Board APPROVE the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on September 19, 2013.

Jonas P. Ionin Acting Commission Secretary.

AYES: Commissioners Bordin, Fong, Hillis, Moore, Sugaya, and Wu

NOES: Commissioner Antonini

ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: September 19, 2013



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Executive Summary Planning Code Text Change HEARING DATE: SEPTEMBER 19, 2013

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Project Name: Case Number: Initiated by: Staff Contact:

2013.1164T [Board File No. 130783] Supervisor Avalos / Introduced July 30th, 2013 Sophie Hayward, Legislative Affairs sophie.hayward@sfgov.org, 415-558-6372 AnMarie Rodgers, Manager Legislative Affairs anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org, 415-558-6395 **Recommend Approval**

Nonconforming Units: Enlargement, Alteration, or Reconstruction

Recommendation:

Reviewed by:

PLANNING CODE AMENDMENT

The proposed Ordinance was introduced by Supervisor Avalos on July 30th, 2013, and would amend the Planning Code to permit the enlargement, alteration, or reconstruction of a nonconforming dwelling unit within the building's existing envelope. The draft Ordinance would apply to those units that are located in districts in which dwellings are permitted. The draft Ordinance would also extend the existing provision for the expansion of nonconforming dwelling units in PDR Zoning Districts to nonconforming dwellings in the M-2 Zoning District.

A related – and more extensive – piece of legislation sponsored by Supervisor Avalos (Board File 130041, Planning Department Case Number 2013.0134T), was considered by the Planning Commission at its July 18, 2013 public hearing. At that hearing, Supervisor Avalos agreed to divide the legislation into two separate components: one that addresses the loss of dwelling units, and a second – addressed in this report and in the attached draft Ordinance – that focuses on opportunities to expand, alter, or reconstruct legal, nonconforming units that exceed the permitted density. In the Executive Summary for the item at the July 18th Planning Commission hearing, the Department noted that while it is "…generally supportive of the amendments, careful consideration should be given to the potential for unintended implications to the affordability of existing nonconforming residential units."¹ After the July 18th hearing, Supervisor Avalos modified the proposal for nonconforming units and introduced the revised approach on July 30th, 2013.

The Way it is Now:

Planning Code Section 181 describes the provisions for enlarging, altering, and reconstructing a nonconforming structure.²

¹ Planning Department Case Report for Case No. 2013.0134T, published on July 11, 2013 for the July 18, 2013 Hearing, "Executive Summary," Page 13. Available online at: <u>http://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=3534</u> (September 11, 2013).

² Planning Code Section 180(a)(2) defines a nonconforming structure as "a structure which existed lawfully at the effective date of this Code, or of amendments thereto, and which fails to comply with one or more of the regulations for structures, including

www.sfplanning.org

- Section 181(c) notes that in a building that has a total number of dwelling units that exceeds the permitted density in a given zoning district, units that exceed the permitted density are considered nonconforming. Designated nonconforming units may not be enlarged, altered, or reconstructed in a manner that increases their nonconformity.
- Section 181(h) prohibits the addition of new dwelling units in the Production, Distribution, and Repair (PDR) Zoning Districts, but allows the expansion and alteration of existing units in a manner consistent with the controls applicable to the Urban Mixed Use (UMU) District.

The Way It Would Be:

Planning Code Section 181, which outlines provisions for enlargements, alterations, and reconstruction of nonconforming uses, would be amended as follows:

- Amendment to Section 181(c) would allow, in zoning districts in which dwelling units are principally permitted, units that are nonconforming as to the zoning district's permitted density to be enlarged, altered, or reconstructed, provided that the alterations not extend beyond the building's envelope as it existed on January 1, 2013.
- Amendment to Section 181(h) would add dwelling units in the M-2 Zoning District to the existing provision that allows dwellings within PDR Zoning Districts to be expanded subject to Planning Code requirements applicable in the UMU Zoning District.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may recommend adoption, rejection, or adoption with modifications to the Board of Supervisors.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission recommend *approval* of the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

As noted above, the Department initially had concerns regarding potential impacts to the affordability of legal, nonconforming units as a result of expansions and alterations. However, the draft Ordinance includes substantive modifications to the original proposal that reduce the likelihood that expanding nonconforming units would make them less affordable. Specifically, the draft Ordinance limits expansion to the existing building envelope, a change that the Department believes will: 1) prevent extensive alterations that could transform a small unit into a much larger and, therefore, more expensive unit; and, 2) avoid a scenario by which, through serial permits, a building could first be enlarged, and then the nonconforming unit subsequently expanded to the new envelope in order to significantly increase the size of the unit. As proposed, permitted expansions will be limited in size and scope, and may encourage the improvement of the city's existing unsubsidized affordable housing stock.

The draft Ordinance includes a provision that would extend existing controls for the expansion of dwellings in the PDR Zoning Districts to dwellings within the M-2 Zoning District. While the controls for

requirements for off-street parking and loading, under Articles 1.2, 1.5, 2.5, 6, 7 and 8 of this Code, that then became applicable to the property on which the structure is located."

Executive Summary Hearing Date: September 19, 2013

the M-2 and PDR Zoning Districts do not permit the addition of new dwelling units, the Department is supportive of the draft Ordinance as it applies a consistent approach to the expansion of existing, legally constructed nonconforming units across zoning districts.

ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS

The Department continues to support the added flexibility that the draft Ordinance affords existing nonconforming residential units.

For the purposes of this report, nonconforming units are legal units – constructed with benefit of permits – that do not conform to current density controls. Generally speaking, these units are in older buildings constructed prior to the establishment of current zoning districts; a typical example is a three-unit building located in an RH-2 zoning district, or a larger apartment building located on a corner parcel within an RH-2 zoning district.³

Currently, buildings that contain a greater number of units than is permitted by the zoning district in which they are located must designate units as either "conforming," or "nonconforming." Only those units that are conforming may be expanded or otherwise altered. Building owners may choose which units to designate as conforming or nonconforming, which means that most often the smallest or least desirable units are made the nonconforming units.

Based on information from the Department's Information and Analysis group, of the approximately 360,000 dwelling units in the City, nearly 52,000 units exceed the permitted zoning of the parcel on which they are located, representing close to 14% of existing units in the City – and, as noted above, many of these units provide unsubsidized affordable housing.

The amendments to controls for nonconforming units in Section 181(c) and Section 181(h) included in the draft Ordinance would provide increased flexibility, which could encourage the improvement, expansion, or production of family-sized housing across zoning districts.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed Ordinance reviewed and determined to be not a project pursuant to CEQA Section 15060(c)(2) on August 14, 2013. Please note that individual projects will undergo physical environmental review.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Staff has received no public comment at the time of the publication of this report.

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation of Approval

³ The age of the structure, together with the prohibition to expand, means that very often nonconforming units are among the city's most affordable housing stock, and are often subject to rent control. While these units are affordable, they are not, by definition, so-called "secondary units," or "illegal in-law" units, as they were legally constructed with permits.



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection Mohammed Nuru, Director, Department of Public Works

- FROM: Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee Board of Supervisors
- DATE: August 7, 2013

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Avalos on July 30, 2013:

File No. 130783

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to permit the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction of a dwelling or other housing structure that exceeds the permitted density of the district if dwelling units are principally permitted in the district and the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction does not extend beyond the building envelope as it existed on January 1, 2013; and making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This matter is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes. If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection Frank Lee, Department of Public Works



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

August 7, 2013

File No. 130783

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On July 30, 2013, Supervisor Avalos introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 130783

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to permit the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction of a dwelling or other housing structure that exceeds the permitted density of the district if dwelling units are principally permitted in the district and the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction does not extend beyond the building envelope as it existed on January 1, 2013; and making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

August 7, 2013

Planning Commission and Attn: Jonas Ionin 1660 Mission Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On July 30, 2013, Supervisor Avalos introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 130783

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to permit the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction of a dwelling or other housing structure that exceeds the permitted density of the district if dwelling units are principally permitted in the district and the enlargement, alteration or reconstruction does not extend beyond the building envelope as it existed on January 1, 2013; and making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code Section 302(b) for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use & Economic Development Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk Land Use & Economic Development Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning

PrintForm	
Introduction Form	
By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor	
I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
☑ 1. For reference to Committee.	
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	· •
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor] inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	. •
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	
11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the followin Small Business Commission Youth Commission Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission	ssion
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative	•
Sponsor(s):	
Supervisor John Avalos Subject:	
Ordinance - Planning Code - Nonconforming Uses; Enlargements and Alterations	
The text is listed below or attached:	1
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's Use Only:	30783