File Number:	
(Provided by	Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Ordinance Information Form

(Effective May 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors ordinances authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying ordinance:

1. Grant Title: 100 Resilient Cities

2. Department: Office of the City Administrator

3. Contact Person: Patrick Otellini Telephone: 415-554-5404

4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[X] Approved by funding agency

[] Not yet approved

5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$440,000.00

6a. Matching Funds Required: \$0

b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):

7a. Grant Source Agency: Rockefeller Foundation - 100 Resilient Cities Challenge

b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A

- 8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: Grant is intended to provide funds for a Chief Resilience Officer position to develop and coordinate the City's resilience strategy. Funds cover a 0933 Manager V position plus fringe benefits for two (2) years.
- 9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: 4/1/14

End-Date: 3/31/16

- 10. Number of new positions created and funded: 1
- 11. Explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? San Francisco was selected as one of the first 30 pilot cities over the next two years. The program seeks to have 100 cities in the global network of Chief Resilience Officers so additional grant funds are anticipated to support the position after the pilot program concludes.
- 12a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$0
 - b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? N/A
 - c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? N/A
 - d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? N/A

13a. Does the budget include indirect costs?	[] Yes	s [X] No		
b1. If yes, how much? \$0 b2. How was the amount calculated?				
c. If no, why are indirect costs not included?[] Not allowed by granting agency[] Other (please explain):		nize use of grant funds on	direct services	
c2. If no indirect costs are included, what w	vould have been the	e indirect costs?		
14. Any other significant grant requirements o	r comments: N/A			
Disability Access Checklist*	·			
15. This Grant is intended for activities at (ched	ck all that apply):			
[X] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s) [] New Structure	Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or [] New Program(s) or Se		
16. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:				
Comments:				
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:				
Ken Bukowski				
(Name)				
Deputy City Administrator (Title)				
Date Reviewed: ユ はんから	**************************************	(Signature Required)	**************************************	
Overall Department Head or Designee Approva	al:			
Ken Bukowski				
(Name)				
Deputy City Administrator (Title)				
Date Reviewed: 2/26/14		(Signature Required)		