File No.	140012	Committee Item No	3
		Board Item No.	/3

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Government Audit and Oversight	Date February 27, 2014
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date MARCH 11,214
CMTE BOAF	RD	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Repo Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is r	needed)
	by: <u>Erica Major</u> Date_ by: BUCA WATOR Date	February 21, 2014

[Reducing Pharmaceutical Prices]

Resolution supporting City, State, and Federal efforts to reduce pharmaceutical prices and increase transparency of the pharmaceutical rate-setting process.

WHEREAS, Over 18,000 San Franciscan signatures were collected to place
Proposition D on the ballot for the November 2013 election, which would make it official City
policy for San Francisco to employ all available resources to reduce the cost of
pharmaceutical medications; and

WHEREAS, Supporters of Proposition D included a broad coalition of San Francisco advocacy organizations who represent those who are disproportionately affected by rising drug prices because of chronic medical conditions, including the AIDS Healthcare Foundation, Senior & Disability Action, San Francisco Women's Political Committee, San Francisco Labor Council, and numerous others; and

WHEREAS, San Franciscans voted overwhelmingly to pass Proposition D in November of 2013, thereby giving the City an urgent mandate to take action to reduce pharmaceutical prices; and

WHEREAS, The Department of Public Health spends over 23 million dollars on purchasing pharmaceuticals for its patient population; and

WHEREAS, Drug manufacturers contend that information as to how the rates for various pharmaceuticals are set are trade secret protected and subject to other regulatory prohibitions on disclosure; and

WHEREAS, Public entity purchasers must rely upon the manufacturers' representations that the prices quoted are the lowest available, even with discounted drugs covered by federal programs; and

WHEREAS, The Department of Veterans Affairs purchases prescription drugs at lower prices than those provided by manufacturers to the City; and

WHEREAS, Government entities covered by The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, which enacted the Section 340B discount program that provides these entities with significantly discounted drugs for outpatient care are unable to obtain pricing information; and

WHEREAS, In July, 2011, the "340B Improvement Act of 2011" was introduced that would have extended 340B pricing to the inpatient setting but the bill was defeated; and

WHEREAS, Governor Brown vetoed Senate Bill 746, authored by Senator Leno, which would have required health plans and insurers to disclose a greater degree of information on a variety of aspects of charges and rates, including prescription drugs; the City had taken an official position in support of Senate Bill 746; and

WHEREAS, The 2003 Federal Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act brought drug manufacturers tens of millions of new customers funded by taxpayers yet prohibits the Medicare program from directly negotiating lower prescription drug prices with pharmaceutical manufacturers; and

WHEREAS, The City must explore all means to reduce the price of pharmaceuticals for its patients and price transparency as part of a broader set of strategies to reduce costs; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Department of Public Health to explore the feasibility of using consumer websites that provide price comparisons for specific medications by the residents of San Francisco; and, be it

Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors asks the City to pursue extension of 340B pricing to inpatient settings in the City's Federal legislative agenda for 2014; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors supports legislation to increase transparency in pricing for health care and prescription drugs; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors supports innovative State and Federal efforts to reduce pharmaceutical prices and increase the transparency of the pharmaceutical rate-setting process.

Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

.

I he	reby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
\boxtimes	1. For reference to Committee.	
	An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	
	2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	
	3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
	4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
	5. City Attorney request.	
	6. Call File No. from Committee.	
	7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	•
	8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
	9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
	10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	
	11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	<u> </u>
Plea	use check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the follow Small Business Commission	<u> </u>
	☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commissi	on
Note:	For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative)
Spons	sor(s):	
Supe	rvisors Chiu, Wiener	
Subje	ect:	
	lution supporting City, State, and Federal efforts to reduce pharmaceutical prices and increa harmaceutical rate-setting process	se transparency of
The t	text is listed below or attached:	
See a	ttached.	
	Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For (Clerk's Use Only:	

Time stamp