File No	131216	Committee Item No1	
		Board Item No.	

# **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Budget and Finance Sub-Committee	<u>ee</u> Date:	3/12/2014	
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Cmte Boa	rd			
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst F Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence		oort	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional spac	e is needed	<b>I)</b>	
Completed I	-	oate <u>March</u>	7, 2014	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

. 19

[Accept and Expend Gift - Park Wi-Fi Project - \$608,000]

Resolution authorizing the Department of Technology to accept and expend a gift of \$608,000 in cash from San Francisco Citizens Initiative for Technology and Innovation in order to provide free, wireless Internet access to the public in certain parks and recreational facilities in San Francisco, and making environmental findings.

WHEREAS, The provision of free wireless Internet service ("Wi-Fi") in parks is a growing trend throughout the United States. City parks in New York, Seattle, Dallas, and Fresno, State park systems in California, Minnesota, and Texas, and national parks including Yellowstone National Park and the National Mall all provide free Wi-Fi as a user amenity. Access to free Wi-Fi at these sites enhances park visitors' experiences by enabling them to access online information about the park destinations and their features, as well as access real time weather and traffic information; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco ("City") seeks to enhance broadband Internet access to its residents and visitors; and

WHEREAS, Consistent with this trend and the City's goals, the Department of Technology ("DT") and the Recreation and Park Department ("RPD") have developed a project to provide free Wi-Fi service in certain San Francisco parks, recreation centers, and open spaces under the jurisdiction of the Recreation and Park Commission to any person in the vicinity with a compatible Wi-Fi device (the "Park Wi-Fi Project"); and

WHEREAS, Under the Park Wi-Fi Project, DT intends to install, operate, and maintain for at least two years a Wi-Fi network serving thirty-one RPD parks, recreation centers, and plazas. Towards that end, DT intends to install small communication

devices both within and on existing park buildings and structures and on streetlight poles owned by the Public Utilities Commission ("PUC"), as more fully described in the Gift Agreement between the City and SF Citi; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Citizens Initiative for Technology and Innovation ("SF Citi"), a non-profit corporation that organizes the technology community around civic action in San Francisco, seeks to donate to the City \$608,000 in cash for DT to use for the Park Wi-Fi Project ("Gift"); and

WHEREAS, SF Citi will receive all of the funds for the Gift from Google Inc., which is one of the member companies of SF Citi; and

WHEREAS, DT has agreed to expends the funds received from SF Citi to implement the Park Wi-Fi Project, subject to the Board of Supervisors' approval of this resolution; and

WHEREAS, Following receipt of the Gift, DT and RPD intend to enter into an interdepartmental memorandum of understanding setting forth the terms and conditions for DT's use of RPD's property for the Park Wi-Fi Project, and DT and the PUC intend to enter into an interdepartmental memorandum of understanding setting forth the terms and conditions for DT's use of the PUC's streetlight poles for the Park Wi-Fi Project; and

WHEREAS, The Risk Manager has approved the requirement that the City hold SF Citi and Google harmless from and against all third party claims, liabilities, expenses, costs, liens and judgments relating to accidents, injuries, loss or damage of or to any person or property arising from the negligent, intentional, or willful acts or omissions of the City related to the City's construction, operation, and maintenance of the Park Wi-Fi Project; and

WHEREAS, On November 21, 2013, the Planning Department issued a notice of

exemption determination, finding the proposed project, including all actions described in this Resolution, exempt from further environmental review under Section 15303(d) of the CEQA Guidelines, which determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board in Board File No. 1301216; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Department of Technology to accept the Gift from SF Citi of \$608,000 in cash and to expend the funds to implement the Wi-Fi Project as more fully described herein; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that the use of City parks and open spaces for the Park Wi-Fi Project is consistent with and supportive of a recreational purpose in accordance with Charter Section 4.113, because the proposed use: (1) will occupy an insubstantial portion of the City's parks and open spaces; (2) will not substantially impair or interfere with the use and enjoyment of the parks and open spaces for recreational purposes, because of the proposed locations and small dimensions of the communications devices to be installed; (3) will enhance park visitors' use and enjoyment of the parks by allowing users to access important information while visiting parks such as information about park features and amenities, directions, public transit, and parking, and useful real time information such as weather and traffic conditions; and (4) will help bridge the digital divide by providing broadband access at recreation centers that serve the City's youth and senior citizens; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors affirms the Planning Department's determination that the proposed project is exempt from environmental review.

Marc Touitou

Recommended:

Department Head

Approved:

Mayor

Approved:

ton Controller

то:	Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors			
FROM:	Brian Roberts, Policy Analyst, Dept. of Technology			
DATE:	December 13, 2013			
SUBJECT:	Accept and Expend Resolution for Subject Gift			
GRANT TITLE:	Department of Technology Wi-Fi Project on Recreation and Parks Sites			
Attached please fir	nd the original* and 2 copies of each of the following:			
X_Proposed grant	resolution; original* signed by Department, Mayor, Controller			
X_Grant information	on form, including disability checklist			
X_ Grant budget				
Grant applicat	on (not applicable)			
Grant award letter from funding agency (not applicable)				
X_ Ethics Form 126 (if applicable)				
Contracts, Lea	ses/Agreements (if applicable)			
X Other: Gift Agreement with SFCiti				
Special Timeline Requirements:				
Departmental representative to receive a copy of the adopted resolution:				
Name: Brian	Roberts Phone: 415-581-4061			
Interoffice Mail Add	dress: Dept. of Technology, 1 So. Van Ness Ave., 2 <sup>nd</sup> Fl.			
Certified copy required Yes ☐ No ☒				
(Note: certified copies have the seal of the City/County affixed and are occasionally required by funding agencies. In most cases ordinary copies without the seal are sufficient).				

File Number: (Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)
Grant Resolution Information Form (Effective July 2011)
Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.
The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:
1. Grant Title: Dept. of Technology Wi-Fi Project at Recreation and Parks Sites
2. Department: Technology
3. Contact Person: Brian Roberts Telephone: 581-4061
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):
[X] Approved by funding agency [ ] Not yet approved
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$608,000
6a. Matching Funds Required: \$0 b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):
7a. Grant Source Agency: SF Citi b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable):
8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: Deploy Wi-Fi Networks in 31 Recreation and Park Department parks and other facilities
9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:
Start-Date: End-Date:
10a. Amount budgeted for contractual services:
b. Will contractual services be put out to bid?
c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements?
d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out?
11a. Does the budget include indirect costs? [] Yes [] No
b1. If yes, how much? \$ b2. How was the amount calculated?
c1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?  [ ] Not allowed by granting agency  [ ] Other (please explain):

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: \*\*Disability Access Checklist\*\*\*(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability) 13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply): [] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [X ] Existing Structure(s) [X] Existing Site(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s) [ ] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [ ] Rehabilitated Site(s) [ ] New Structure(s) [] New Site(s) 14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to: 1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures; 2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access; 3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers. If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below: Comments: Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer: Date Reviewed: (Signature Required) pproval of Grant Information Form: Department Head or Designee Marc Touitou (Name)

c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?

Chief Information Officer

Date Reviewed:

(Title)

(Signature Required)

## City and County of San Francisco Recreation and Parks Wi-Fi Gift Budget Summary

	Description	Total
Labor		
	1.3 FTE 7338 As Needed Electrical Line Worker (or equivalent contracted service)	\$128,000.00
Materials and Supplies		
	Access Points, est. 144	\$288,000.00
	Wireless Bridges, 18 Parks	\$144,000.00
	Networking	\$48,000.00
	Sub Total	\$480,000.00
	TOTAL	\$608,000.00

### Wong, Linda (BOS)

From:

Roberts of DT, Brian

Sent:

Monday, January 06, 2014 10:52 AM

To:

Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: Attachments:

FW: Request for document - BOS File No. 131216 - Wi-Fi Project

Risk Manager Indemnification Approval.pdf; Indemnification SF Citi for WiFi Project Dec 11

2013.pdf

Dear Linda, attached is a copy of the indemnification request by our executive director and the e-mail approval from the risk manager. Should I also drop off a hard copy?

Thanks, Brian

Brian Roberts
Dept. of Technology
City and County of San Francisco

Ph.: 415-581-4061

(Note that your first e-mail was routed to Brian M Roberts of the PUC, I think I'm identified in the directory as "of DT")

**From:** Roberts, Brian M [mailto:broberts@sfwater.org]

Sent: Monday, January 06, 2014 7:04 AM

To: Roberts of DT, Brian

Subject: FW: Request for document - BOS File No. 131216 - Wi-Fi Project

From: Wong, Linda (BOS) [mailto:linda.wong@sfgov.org]

**Sent:** Friday, January 03, 2014 4:40 PM

To: Roberts, Brian M

Subject: Request for document - BOS File No. 131216 - Wi-Fi Project

Hi Brian,

Page 2, line 19 of the proposed Resolution regarding the above mentioned file states that the Risk Manager has approved requirements for this project.

Please provide a copy of the document from the Risk Manager's Office approving this Wi-Fi project.

Thank you in advance.

Sincerely,

Linda Wong

Board of Supervisors/ SF LAFCo 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689 Phone: 415.554.7719 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 Linda.Wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Please complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form by clicking here.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

### Roberts of DT, Brian

From:

Hansen, Matt

Sent:

Friday, December 13, 2013 11:50 AM

To:

Gines, Jolie

Cc:

Sanders, William; Gary, Kendall; Roberts of DT, Brian; Fitzgerald, Elizabeth

Subject:

RE: Indemnification for Agreement with SF Citi for Wi-Fi Project

Thank you. Risk Management will support the policy recommendation as outlined.

Best,

Matt

Matt Hansen, Director Risk Management Division City & County of San Francisco 25 Van Ness Ave., Suite 750 San Francisco, CA 94102

415-554-2302 - Direct 415-554-2300 - Main Office 415-554-2357 - Fax

### Matt.hansen@sfgov.org

From: Gines, Jolie

Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 1:56 PM

To: Hansen, Matt

Cc: Sanders, William; Gary, Kendall; Roberts of DT, Brian

Subject: Indemnification for Agreement with SF Citi for Wi-Fi Project

Hi Matt,

I hope all is well. Can you please review the request for indemnification provision for the agreement with SF Citi for the Wi-Fi Project and approve if acceptable? I have also attached the draft agreement for your review.

I will bring the original document for your file.

Thank You!

Solie

Jolie Gines
City and County of San Francisco
Department of Technology
Contract Administration
One South Van Ness Ave., 2nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

415 581 3974



One South Van Ness Avenue, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103-0948 Office: 415-581-4001 • Fax: 415-581-4002

Date:

December 11, 2013

To:

Matt Hansen Risk Manager

From:

Marc Touitou

CIO, Executive Director

Subject:

Indemnification for Agreement with SF Citi for Wi-Fi Project

### **MEMORANDUM**

With the approval of the Board of Supervisors, the Department of Technology ("DT") will enter into an agreement with San Francisco Citizens Initiative for Technology and Innovation ("SF Citi") to accept a cash gift to enable DT to install and maintain for two years certain communications facilities at certain parks and open spaces operated by the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department and on certain street light poles operated by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission ("Gift Agreement"). DT will use these facilities to provide free, wireless, unsecured access to the Internet service to park visitors (the "Wi-Fi Project"). All of the funds for the gift will be provided by Google Inc.

SF Citi and Google have requested that the Gift Agreement contain the following indemnification provision:

The City agrees to defend and hold SF Citi and Google (and their officers, employees, agents and representatives) harmless from and against all third party claims, liabilities, expenses, costs, liens and judgments relating to accidents, injuries, loss or damage of or to any person or property arising from the negligent, intentional, or willful acts or omissions of the City related to the City's construction, operation, and maintenance of the Wi-Fi Project, provided, however, that such indemnity will not apply as to any negligent act or omission solely that of SF Citi or Google, or any of their employees, agents or representatives.

The indemnity provision is appropriate and necessary for the following reasons: (i) including such a provision in a gift agreement falls within normal business practices and represents a prudent decision in light of all the circumstances; (ii) the cost of the indemnity is reflected in the fact that the City is receiving a gft; and (iii) the indemnity is necessary in order for the City to carry out a public purpose.

In light of the factors outlined above, DT requests that the Risk Manager approve the indemnity provision.



### Wong, Linda (BOS)

From:

Turrell, Nannie

Sent:

Wednesday, January 08, 2014 4:30 PM

To: Subject: Wong, Linda (BOS)

**Attachments:** 

BOS File No. 131216 BOS File No. 131216.pdf

### Nannie R. Turrell Senior Environmental Planner

Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-575-9047 Fax: 415-558-6409

Email: nannie.turrell@sfgov.org Web: www.sfplanning.org











Planning Information Center (PIC): 415-558-6377 or pic@sfgov.org Property Information Map (PIM): <a href="http://propertymap.sfplanning.org">http://propertymap.sfplanning.org</a>

#### **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

### MEMORANDUM

TO:

Planning Department

**Environmental Review Officer** 

FROM:

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DATE:

January 3, 2014

SUBJECT: REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

**Budget and Finance Committee** 

The Board of Supervisors Budget and Finance Committee has received the following, which is being referred to the Planning Department for determination as to whether the proposed legislation will impact the environment.

Please provide your findings within 10 days from the date of referral.

File: 131216

Resolution authorizing the Department of Technology to accept and expend a gift of \$608,000 in cash from San Francisco Citizens Initiative for Technology and Innovation in order to provide free, wireless Internet access to the public in certain parks and recreational facilities in San Francisco; and making environmental findings.

Please return this cover sheet with the Commission's response to Linda Wong, Clerk, **Budget and Finance Committee.** 

c:	Nannie Turrell, Major Environmental Analysis Monica Pereira, Environmental Analysis Joy Navarrete, Environmental Analysis
***	**************************************
RES	PONSE FROM PLANNING DEPARTMENT - Date:
	No Comment
<u>\( \gamma\) \( \gamma\) \( \lambda\) \( \lambda\)</u>	Recommendation Attached
	Recommendation Attached  **Flashie Livrell for
	> Bill Wycke, Environmental Review Officer
	Sarah B. Jones

Referral - Fees

2/27/08

### Certificate of Determination **Exemption from Environmental Review**

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Case No.:

2013.1175E

Reception: 415.558.6378

Project Title:

Free Wi-Fi Service in 31 City Parks and Recreation Centers

Fax:

Zoning:

RC-4 Residential-Commercial Districts, High Density (Tenderloin

415.558.6409

Recreation Center);

P-Public (All others)

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Project Sponsor:

Taylor Emerson, San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department,

(415) 215-2647, Taylor.Emerson@sfgov.org

Staff Contact:

Heidi Kline - (415) 575-9043, Heidi.Kline@sfgov.org

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The proposed project includes the installation of a wireless Internet transmission system (Wi-Fi) in 31 City parks and recreation centers that would provide free public Wi-Fi service in those areas. The equipment for the Wi-Fi system would be installed at City-owned buildings and on non-historic light poles in and adjacent to the parks and recreation facilities. (continued on next page)

#### **EXEMPT STATUS:**

Categorical Exemption, Class 3 (California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15303(d).

### **REMARKS:**

See next page.

### **DETERMINATION:**

I do hereby certify that the above determination has been made pursuant to State and local

Sarah Iones

**Environmental Review Officer** 

November 21, 2013

CC:

Supervisor Mark Farrell, District 2

Virna Byrd, MDF

Taylor Emerson, RPD Historic Preservation List

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION (continued):

The project is a public program being coordinated by the Department of Technology (DT) and the Recreation and Parks Department (RPD) and funded by Google. The WiFi network would be installed and maintained by DT and the technology consortium San Francisco Citizens Initiative for Technology and Innovation (sf.citi).

In order to provide the free WiFi service, wireless transmission components would need to be installed at each of the 31 park and recreation center locations. These components are comprised of wireless routers, bridges, and NEMA boxes¹ attached to non-historic light poles to serve exterior areas in the parks and recreation centers, along with routers inside recreation center buildings to provide Wi-Fi service inside the buildings. At sites without existing Internet access, fiber optic cable and power would be supplied from existing traffic controller boxes. In cases where fiber is not available at the site or at a proximate traffic controller box, an approximately wireless bridge on a 3-foot-high freestanding tripod would be placed on the roof in a non-visible location behind the parapet. The Wi-Fi equipment ranges in size with the largest piece of equipment being an 18" by 16" by 8" wireless bridge.

Equipment will not be attached to the façades or parapets of known or potential historic buildings, nor be located on a roof in such a manner as to be visible from adjacent property or public rights-of-way. Neither will equipment be attached to lights poles designated as known or potential historic resources.

The proposed Wi-Fi service would be provided at the following park and recreation center locations:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A NEMA box is a weatherproof enclosure for electronic devices and electrical connections.

Block/ Lot	Name of Park/Recreation Center	Block/ Lot	Name of Park/Recreation Center
0799/001	Alamo Square	0759/001	Margaret S. Hayward Playground
3179/011	Balboa Park	0900/003	Marina Green
5707/027	Bernal Heights Recreation Center	7068/001	Minnie and Lovie Ward Recreation Center
0332/209	Boeddeker Park	3586/001	Mission Dolores Park
0213/001	Chinese Recreation Center	3612/003	Mission Recreation Center
0788/001	Civic Center Plaza	5930/001	Palega Recreation Center
2615/002	Corona Heights/ Randall Museum	0209/017	Portsmouth Square
6284A/001	Crocker Amazon Playground	1415/004	Richmond Recreation Center
0876/001	Duboce Park	5801/002	St. Mary's Recreation Center
2694/002	Eureka Valley Recreation Center	0258/003	St. Mary's Square
3731/010	Gene Friend Recreation Center	0202/020	Sue Bierman Park
0703/002	Hamilton Recreation Center	3064/051	Sunnyside Playground
0245/002	Huntington Park	1915/001	Sunset Playground
5310/001	Joseph Lee Recreation Center	0322/006	Tenderloin Children's Recreation Center
0233/035	Justin Herman Plaza	6638/007	Upper Noc Recreation Center
		0102/001	Washington Square

#### APPROVAL ACTION:

The project is subject to review and approval by the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Commission.

#### **REMARKS:**

Historic Resource. An historic resource evaluation response (HRER)<sup>2</sup> was completed by Preservation Planning staff that identified which parks and recreation centers were determined to be historic resources, or within an historic district, for purposes of CEQA and then, evaluated whether the proposed project would have a significant impact on those historic resources. The following parks and recreation centers were evaluated as historic resources for purposes of determining whether the proposed project would have a significant adverse impact:

### Category A Properties:

The following park properties have been previously evaluated and found to be eligible for listing in the California Register of Historic Places. These landscapes and buildings are considered "Category A" properties (Known Historical Resources) for the purposes of the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review procedures.

Alamo Square (Article 10 Designation)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Caltagirone, Shelley, *Historic Resource Evaluation Response*, November 14, 2013. Available for review as part of Case File No. 2013.1175E at the San Francisco Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400.

- Civic Center Plaza (Article 10 Designation)
- Duboce Park/Harvey Milk Arts Center (Article 10 Designation)
- Mission Dolores Park (HRER Case No. 2011.1355E)
- Palega Recreation Center (HRER Case No. 2010.0828E)
- Washington Square (Article 10 Designation)

### Category B Properties:

The following park properties are not included in any historic resource surveys or listed in any local, state or national registries. These landscapes and buildings are considered a "Category B" property (Properties Requiring Further Consultation and Review) for the purposes of the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review procedures due to their age (constructed prior to 1963) or their listing in informational surveys. Because the original construction dates for some public parks were not readily available or verifiable, the Department has assumed that they are over 50 years in age and reviewed those as "Category B" properties as well.

- Balboa Park (constructed 1960)
- Bernal Heights Recreation Center (constructed 1955)
- Corona Heights/Randall Museum (constructed 1951)
- Crocker Amazon Playground (constructed 1957)
- Huntington Park (constructed 1915)
- Marina Green (constructed 1915?)
- Portsmouth Square (constructed 1840s)
- Saint Mary's Recreation Center (constructed 1957)
- Saint Mary's Square (construction date unknown)
- Sunnyside Playground (construction date unknown)
- Sunset Playground (constructed 1950)

All other parks and recreation centers were either affirmatively determined not to be historical resources due to their age (less than 50 years of age) or are properties for which the City has no information indicating that the property qualifies as an historical resource.

Detailed review of the proposed project determined that installation and use of project components would meet the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation 9 and 10 and, therefore, would not cause a significant adverse impact to any historic resources. Those standards are as follows:

#9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.

10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

Within the known historic districts, no equipment would be attached to character-defining features and the placement of the equipment would not impair the district's ability to convey its significance. The scale of the equipment is so small relative to the size of the parks and plazas, that there would be minimal visual impact to the character or setting of the places. The equipment is also removable and would not cause any permanent change to the landscapes or buildings. Furthermore, no equipment is proposed to be installed on any identified individual historic buildings. Only two buildings over the age of 50 are proposed to have equipment installed at the exterior – the Randall Museum and Saint Mary's Recreation Center. In both instances, the equipment would be installed on the roof and placed so that it would be minimally visible from the ground. Lastly, the proposed equipment would be able to be removed in the future without impairing the essential form and integrity of the existing or potential historic resources andwould be completed in a manner that would allow it to be completely removed without affecting the essential form or integrity of the resource.

For these reasons, the proposed equipment installation would not directly or indirectly affect known or potential historic resources in a manner that is considered a significant impact.

Exemption Class. Under CEQA State Guidelines Section 15303(d), or Class 3, small, new equipment and facilities in small structures are exempt from environmental review provided that the project is not located in an environmentally sensitive area; will not have a cumulative effect on the environment; is not on a hazardous waste site; will not cause substantial change in the significance of a historical resource; and will not result in damage to a scenic highway. The proposed project would include the installation of small wireless equipment in existing parks and recreation centers to enable public wireless communications. Therefore, the proposed project would be exempt from environmental review under Class 3.

Summary. CEQA State Guidelines Section 15300.2 states that a categorical exemption shall not be used for an activity where there is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. There are no unusual circumstances surrounding the current proposal that would suggest a reasonable possibility of a significant effect. As described above, the proposed project would not have significant historical resource impacts. The proposed project would have no significant environmental effects. The project would be exempt under the above-cited classification. For the above reasons, the proposed project is appropriately exempt from environmental review.



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MEMO

# **Historic Resource Evaluation Response**

Environmental Planner:

Heidi Kline

(415) 575-9043

heidi.kline@sfgov.org

Preservation Planner:

Shelley Caltagirone

(415) 558-6625

shelley.caltagirone@sfgov.org

Project Address:

Public Parks Wi-Fi Installation (31 Locations)

Block/Lot:

Various Blocks and Lots

Case No.:

2013.1175E

Date of Review:

November 14, 2013

### PART I: HISTORIC RESOURCE EVALUATION

### **Building and Property Description**

The Wi-Fi Installation Project involves installations in 31 public parks throughout the City and County of San Francisco. These public parks are:

- 1. Alamo Square
- 2. Balboa Park
- 3. Bernal Heights Recreation Center
- 4. Boeddeker Park
- 5. Chinese Recreation Center
- 6. Civic Center Plaza
- 7. Corona Heights/Randall Museum
- 8. Crocker Amazon Playground
- 9. Duboce Park/Harvey Milk Arts Center
- 10. Eureka Valley Recreation Center
- 11. Gene Friend Recreation Center/SOMA
- 12. Hamilton Recreation Center
- 13. Huntington Park
- 14. Joseph Lee Recreation Center
- 15. Justin Herman Plaza
- 16. Margaret S. Hayward Playground
- 17. Marina Green
- 18. Minnie & Lovie Ward Recreation Center
- 19. Mission Dolores Park
- 20. Mission Recreation Center
- 21. Palega Recreation Center
- 22. Portsmouth Square

# Historic Resource Evaluation Response November 14, 2013

### CASE NO. 2013.1175E Public Parks Wi-Fi Installation Project

- 23. Richmond Recreation Center
- 24. Saint Mary's Recreation Center
- 25. Saint Mary's Square
- 26. Sue Bierman Park
- 27. Sunnyside Playground
- 28. Sunset Playground
- 29. Tenderloin Children's Recreation Center
- Upper Noe Recreation Center
- 31. Washington Square

### Pre-Existing Historic Rating / Survey

### Category A Properties:

The following park properties have been previously evaluated and found to be eligible for listing in the California Register of Historic Places. These landscapes and buildings are considered "Category A" properties (Known Historical Resources) for the purposes of the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review procedures.

- Alamo Square (Article 10 Designation)
- Civic Center Plaza (Article 10 Designation)
- Duboce Park/Harvey Milk Arts Center (Article 10 Designation)
- Mission Dolores Park (HRER Case No. 2011.1355E)
- Palega Recreation Center (HRER Case No. 2010.0828E)
- Washington Square (Article 10 Designation)

#### Category B Properties:

The following park properties are not included in any historic resource surveys or listed in any local, state or national registries. These landscapes and buildings are considered a "Category B" property (Properties Requiring Further Consultation and Review) for the purposes of the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review procedures due to their age (constructed prior to 1963) or their listing in informational surveys. Because the original construction dates for some public parks were not readily available or verifiable, the Department has assumed that they are over 50 years in age and reviewed those as "Category B" properties as well.

- Balboa Park (constructed 1960)
- Bernal Heights Recreation Center (constructed 1955)
- Corona Heights/Randall Museum (constructed 1951)
- Crocker Amazon Playground (constructed 1957)
- Huntington Park (constructed 1915)
- Marina Green (constructed 1915?)
- Portsmouth Square (constructed 1840s)
- Saint Mary's Recreation Center (constructed 1957)
- Saint Mary's Square (construction date unknown)
- Sunnyside Playground (construction date unknown)
- Sunset Playground (constructed 1950)

### Category C Properties:

The following four (4) properties have either been affirmatively determined not to be historical resources due to their age (less than 50 years of age) or are properties for which the City has no information indicating that the property qualifies as an historical resource.

- Boeddeker Park (constructed 1978-1985)
- Chinese Recreation Center (constructed 2012)
- Eureka Valley Recreation Center (constructed 2006)
- Gene Friend Recreation Center/SOMA (constructed 1989)
- Hamilton Recreation Center (constructed 2010)
- Joseph Lee Recreation Center (constructed 2008)
- Justin Herman Plaza (constructed 1972)
- Margaret S. Hayward Playground (HRER Case No. 2011.1359E)
- Minnie & Lovie Ward Recreation Center (constructed 2006)
- Mission Recreation Center (constructed 2000)
- Richmond Recreation Center (constructed 2000)
- Sue Bierman Park (constructed 2001)
- Tenderloin Children's Recreation Center (constructed 1995)
- Upper Noe Recreation Center (constructed 2007)

### **CEQA Historical Resource(s) Evaluation**

### Step A: Significance

Under CEQA section 21084.1, a property qualifies as a historic resource if it is "listed in, or determined to be eligible for listing in, the California Register of Historical Resources." The fact that a resource is not listed in, or determined to be eligible for listing in, the California Register of Historical Resources or not included in a local register of historical resources, shall not preclude a lead agency from determining whether the resource may qualify as a historical resource under CEQA.

The proposed project would affect several public parks that are located within historic districts listed on local, state or federal historic resource registries, including:

- Alamo Square Historic District
- Civic Center Historic District
- Duboce Park Historic District

Based on the submitted locations maps, it is possible that new equipment will be located in undocumented, potential historic districts. It is also possible that new equipment will be located in close proximity to buildings and sites that have been individually designated as local, state, or national landmarks or that have not yet been documented, but may be individually eligible for listing in local, state, or national registers.

#### **CEQA Historic Resource Determination**

M Historical Resource Present

### CASE NO. 2013.1175E Public Parks Wi-Fi Installation Project

# Historic Resource Evaluation Response November 14, 2013

PART II: PROJECT EVAL	HATION		
Proposed Project	☐ Demolition		
across the City. The project controller boxes, and on re- points, wireless bridges, whenever possible, interne- method will minimize alte- to a light pole to be conne- Equipment will not be atta- located on a roof in such a will equipment be attached	et requires installation of Wi-Fi of oftops out of public view. The earn NEMA boxes in various of fiber and power will be pulled ration of the environment. If not exted to fiber via minor trenching the to the façades or parapets manner as to be visible from adjusted.	t access, Wi-Fi, to 31 public parks at equipment on light poles, inside exist equipment, which is removable, included configurations. At sites with not a through an existing traffic controller available, then a NEMA box will be degree of existing, previously disturbed her known or potential historic building acent property or public rights-of-way nown or potential historic resources.	ing trafficed des accesses buildings, box. This connected nardscape, nor be y. Neither
, , ,		n Part I, please check whether the propocations to the proposed project that may	
Subject Property/Historic	Resource:		
The project will not can	ıse a significant adverse impact	to the historic resource as proposed.	
The project will cause	a significant adverse impact to th	ne historic resource as proposed.	
California Register-eligib	le Historic District or Context:		
The project will not ca or context as proposed		to a California Register-eligible histor	ric district
The project will cause context as proposed.	a significant adverse impact to	a California Register-eligible historic	district or
The Department finds tha	t the project meets the Secretar	v of the Interior Standards and, there	efore, will

The Department finds that the project meets the Secretary of the Interior Standards and, therefore, will not cause a significant adverse impact to any historic resources. Based on information submitted by the project sponsor, it appears that the installation of the Wi-Fi equipment will conform to Standards 9 and 10 specifically. Within the known historic districts, no equipment will be attached to character-defining features and the placement of the equipment will not impair the district's ability to convey its significance. The scale of the equipment is so small relative to the size of the parks and plazas, that there will be minimal visual impact to the character or setting of the places. The equipment is also removable and will cause no permanent change to the landscapes or buildings. Furthermore, no equipment is proposed to be installed on any identified individual historic buildings. Only two buildings over the age of 50 are proposed to have equipment installed at the exterior – the Randall Museum and Saint Mary's

### Historic Resource Evaluation Response November 14, 2013

### CASE NO. 2013.1175E Public Parks Wi-Fi Installation Project

Recreation Center. In both instances, the equipment will be installed at the roof and will be placed so that it is minimally visible from the ground. Lastly, the proposed equipment may be removed in the future without impairing the essential form and integrity of the existing or potential historic resources. The proposed project calls for the installation of equipment in a manner that will allow it to be completely removed without affecting the essential form or integrity of the resource.

For these reasons, it does not appear that the proposed equipment installation will impact either directly or indirectly known or potential historic resources in a manner that is considered a significant impact.

PART II: SENIUR PRESERVATION PLANNER REVIEW	
Signature: Sma Da	Date: // 20-2013
Tina Tam, Senior Preservation Planner	
cc: Virnaliza Byrd, Environmental Division/ Historic Resource Impact Revi	ew File

to the second se	4					
					•	
						•
					•	
						•
						•
	•					
·						
		÷				
	·					
				•		
		• •				
	•					
•			•			
· .				•		
			•			
						•
				•		
			•			
						2.
					•	

### FORM SFEC-126: NOTIFICATION OF CONTRACT APPROVAL

(S.F. Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code § 1.126)

City Elective Officer Information (Please print clearly.)	
Name of City elective officer(s):	City elective office(s) held:
Members, SF Board of Supervisors	Members, SF Board of Supervisors
Contractor Information (Please print clearly.)	
Name of contractor: Google, Inc.	
Please list the names of (1) members of the contractor's board of financial officer and chief operating officer; (3) any person who hany subcontractor listed in the bid or contract; and (5) any politice (1) Larry Page, Sergey Brin, Eric E. Schmidt, L. John Doerr, Otellini, K. Ram Shriram, Shirley M. Tilghman (2) Larry Page, Chief Executive Officer: Patrick Pichette Ser Arora, Senior Vice President and Chief Business Officer (3) (4) NA  (4) Employee Google NetPAC	nas an ownership of 20 percent or more in the contractor; (4) cal committee sponsored or controlled by the contractor.  Diane B. Greene, John L. Hennessy, Ann Mather, Paul S.  nior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; Nikesh
Contractor address: 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway Mountain View	Ca 94043
Date that contract was approved:	Amount of contract: \$608,000
Describe the nature of the contract that was approved: Gift agreen and maintain a Wi-Fi network in 31 Recreation and Park sites to p	
Comments: Google, Inc. is providing SFCiti the funds for the gift	to the City.
This contract was approved by (check applicable):	
☐ the City elective officer(s) identified on this form	
X a board on which the City elective officer(s) serves <u>Board</u>	d of Supervisors Print Name of Board
☐ the board of a state agency (Health Authority, Housing Aut Board, Parking Authority, Redevelopment Agency Commiss Development Authority) on which an appointee of the City e	thority Commission, Industrial Development Authority sion, Relocation Appeals Board, Treasure Island
Print Name of Board	
Filer Information (Please print clearly.)	
Name of filer: Clerk of the SF Board of Supervisors	Contact telephone number: (415) 554-5184
Address: 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place	E-mail: Bos.Legislation@sfgov.org
Signature of City Elective Officer (if submitted by City elective of	ficer) Date Signed
Signature of Board Secretary or Clerk (if submitted by Board Secre	etary or Clerk) Date Signed

# FORM SFEC-126: NOTIFICATION OF CONTRACT APPROVAL (S.F. Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code § 1.126)

City Elective Officer Information (Please print clearly.)	
Name of City elective officer(s):	City elective office(s) held:
Members, SF Board of Supervisors	Members, SF Board of Supervisors
Contractor Information (Discounity Islands)	
Contractor Information (Please print clearly.)  Name of contractor: . San Francisco Citizens Initiative for Technolog	
Name of conductor San Francisco Chizens initiative for Technolog	y and innovation Sr.Citi
Please list the names of (1) members of the contractor's board of dire financial officer and chief operating officer; (3) any person who has any subcontractor listed in the bid or contract; and (5) any political of (1) Ron Conway, Heather Harde, Marc Blakeman, Kimberly Br (2) Jeremy L. Wallenberg, Director of External Affairs, no CEC (3) NA (4) NA (5) NA	an ownership of 20 percent or more in the contractor; (4) committee sponsored or controlled by the contractor. yant, Burke Norton, Hosain Rahman, Steve Sarner
Contractor address: 58 2nd street, 4th floor San Francisco, CA 94105	
Date that contract was approved:	Amount of contract: \$608,000
Describe the nature of the contract that was approved: Gift agreement and maintain a Wi-Fi network in 31 Recreation and Park sites to prove	
Comments:	
This contract was approved by (check applicable):  the City elective officer(s) identified on this form  a board on which the City elective officer(s) serves Board of Print the board of a state agency (Health Authority, Housing Authority, Parking Authority, Redevelopment Agency Commission Development Authority) on which an appointee of the City elections.	nt Name of Board rity Commission, Industrial Development Authority I, Relocation Appeals Board, Treasure Island
Print Name of Board	
Filer Information (Please print clearly.)	
Name of filer: Clerk of the SF Board of Supervisors	Contact telephone number: (415) 554-5184
Address: 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place	E-mail: Bos.Legislation@sfgov.org
Signature of City Elective Officer (if submitted by City elective office	r) Date Signed
Signature of Board Secretary or Clerk (if submitted by Board Secretar	y or Clerk)  Date Signed  Form SEEC 126 Contractors doing business with the City 11 08 days

	•		
		·	
			e de la companya de l