FILE NO. 130606 MOTION NO.

2	Department of Building Inspection1
3	Motion responding to the 2012-2013 Civil Grand Jury on the status of the Board of
4	Supervisors' responses to Recommendation Nos. 1.1, 1.2, and 5.1 contained in the
5	2012-2013 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Building a Better Future at the Department
	of Building Inspection."
6	or building inspection.
7	WILEDEAC The 2012 2012 Civil Crond Juny Depart mublished a report entitled
8	WHEREAS, The 2012-2013 Civil Grand Jury Report published a report, entitled
9	"Building a Better Future at the Department of Building Inspection (DBI)" (Report) on July 2,
10	2013; and
11	WHEREAS, On October 10, 2013, the Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and
12	Oversight Committee (GAO) conducted a public hearing to hear and respond to the Report;
13	and
14	WHEREAS, On October 22, 2013, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No.
15	379-13, reflecting the Board's responses to the findings and recommendations contained in
16	the Report; and
17	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 1.1, which
18	states: "The DBI management should retain a consultant to update the 2007 BPR findings and
19	recommendations and present the findings to BIC and the DBI Director," would be
20	implemented within six months of the publication of the Civil Grand Jury report, from July 2,
21	2013, to no later than January 2, 2014; and
22	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 1.2, which
23	states: "The BIC and DBI Director should develop a detailed action plan with firm due dates
24	for implementing BPR report recommendations that the consultant identifies as not
25	

1	completed," would be implemented within six months of the publication of the Civil Grand Jury
2	report, from July 2, 2013, to no later than January 2, 2014; and
3	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors reported that Recommendation No. 5.1, which
4	states: "The Board of Supervisors should hold a hearing within six months of the release of
5	this report by the 2012-2013 Jury to see if BIC has taken action on the issues raised," would
6	be implemented within six months of the publication of the Civil Grand Jury report, from July 2,
7	2013, to no later than January 2, 2014; and
8	WHEREAS, All information related to the original Board proceedings regarding the
9	Report is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File Nos. 130686 and 130687,
10	which is hereby declared to be a part of this Motion as if set forth fully herein; now, therefore,
11	be it
12	MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the 2012-
13	2013 City and County of San Francisco Civil Grand Jury that an additional public hearing was
14	held on March 13, 2014, by GAO to receive an update from City departments on the status of
15	the continued recommendations from the Report; and, be it
16	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors is in support of Recommendation
17	1.1, but it will not implement for reasons as follows: The recommendation is not within the
18	scope of the Board's authority, and the Board defers to the reported ongoing efforts of the

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors is in support of Recommendation 1.2, but it will not implement for reasons as follows: The recommendation is not within the scope of the Board's authority, and the Board defers to the reported ongoing efforts of the

Department of Building Inspection to complete and issue an Request for Proposals (RFP) for

recommendations, and urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of the recommendation;

consultant services to aid in the implementation of the Business Process Reengineering

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and, be it

1	Department of Building Inspection to complete and issue an RFP for consultant services to aid
2	in the implementation of the Business Process Reengineering recommendations, and urges
3	the Mayor to cause the implementation of the recommendation; and, be it
4	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors is in support of Recommendation
5	5.1, but it will not implement for reasons as follows: The recommendation is not within the
6	scope of the Board's authority, and the Board defers to the reported ongoing efforts of the
7	Department of Building Inspection to complete and issue an RFP for consultant services to aid
8	in the implementation of the Business Process Reengineering recommendations, and urges
9	the Mayor to cause the implementation of the recommendation; and, be it
10	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
11	implementation of the accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and
12	through the development of the annual budget.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	