File No. 14014

Committee	Item No.	
Board Item	No.	20

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date <u>April 1, 2014</u>
Cmte Boar	rd Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for heard Department/Agency Cover L	- .
	Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional	space is needed)
Completed I	oy: <u>Joy Lamug</u> oy:	Date <u>March 27, 2014</u> Date

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

[Affirming the Exemption Determination - San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Commuter Shuttle Policy and Pilot Program]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Commuter Shuttle Policy and Pilot Program is exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, On January 10, 2014, the Planning Department determined that the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's ("SFMTA") Commuter Shuttle Policy and Pilot Program ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. The proposed project initiation of an 18-month pilot program in which SFMTA would gather data about commuter shuttle activities that would inform any future proposed program intended to regulate commuter shuttles. Under the proposed Project, the SFMTA would solicit permit applications from shuttle providers and would select approximately 200 Muni stops for shared use with commuter shuttles. The Project would also establish fees for such permits and penalties for permit violations. The Project would operate over a limited time period (18 months), at which time the MTA would decide whether to implement a permanent program for commuter shuttles and would determine what form that program would take; and

WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on February 19, 2014, Richard T. Drury and Christina M. Caro, of Lozeau Drury LLP, on behalf of Sara Shortt, the Harvey Milk Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender Democratic Club, Service Employees International Union Local Union 1021, and the San Francisco League of Pissed Off Voters (Appellants), appealed the exemption determination. The Appellants provided a copy of the SFMTA Board of Directors' Resolution No. 14-023, dated January 21, 2014, approving a the proposed Project, which motion noted that the proposed project was exempt

under Class 6 of the CEQA Guidelines as an information gathering and data collection project (14 Cal. Code Reg. §15306); and

WHEREAS, On April 1, 2014, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants and, following the public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department and SFMTA prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 140143 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the

conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project is exempt from environmental review; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the project qualifies for an exemption determination under CEQA.

n:\landuse\mbyrne\bos ceqa appeals\sfmta commuter shuttle catex aff.docx



Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date			
☐ 1. For reference to Committee:				
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.				
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.				
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee:				
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"			
5. City Attorney request.				
6. Call File No. from Committee.				
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).				
8. Substitute Legislation File No.				
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).				
☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.				
11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on				
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics	following: s Commission			
☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Com	nmission			
lote: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a diffe	erent form.			
ponsor(s):				
Clerk of the Board				
Subject:				
Affirming the Exemption Determination - San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agenc Policy and Pilot Program	y's Commuter Shuttle			
The text is listed below or attached:				
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the San Francisco M Agency's Commuter Shuttle Policy and Pilot Program is exempt from environmental revi				
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	٣			
For Clerk's Use Only:				

140144