

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
General Plan Referral				
Date:	December 27, 2013	RECEIVED	CA 94103-2479 Reception: 415.558.6378	
Case No.	Case No. 2013.1779R SFO Noise Insulation Program	JAN 2 2014 REAL ESTATE DIV.	Fax: 415.558.6409	
Block/Lot No.:	n/a		Planning Information: 415.558.6377	
Project Sponsor:	John Updike, Director of Real Esta San Francisco Real Estate Division 25 Van Ness Ave. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94102		{	
Applicant:	Same as Above			
Staff Contact:	Lisa Chen – (415) 575-9124 <u>lisa.chen@sfgov.org</u>		بر ،	
Recommendation:	Finding the project, on balance, in the General Plan	conformity with		
Recommended By:	John Rahaim, Director of Planning			

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The San Francisco International Airport (SFO), as part of its Noise Insulation Program, proposes to acquire avigation easements on 28 parcels (representing 32 units), located in San Mateo County, and to provide acoustic treatments in return. The State of California Noise Standard for Airports (Title 21, California Administrative Code) requires airports to eliminate incompatible land uses within a "Noise Impact Boundary" unless the airport applied for or received a variance. The proposed acquisition of permanent easements from private property owners in the Noise Impact Boundaries allows SFO to meet the California Administrative Code. The properties that are participating in the Noise Insulation Program are eligible for noise insulation improvements provided by SFO, in exchange for the avigation easements. The submittal is for a General Plan Referral to recommend whether the Project is in conformity with the General Plan, pursuant to Section 4.105 of the Charter, and Section 2A.52 and 2A.53 of the Administrative Code.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

On 12/23/13, the Environmental Planning Division of the Planning Department determined that the proposed SFO Avigation Easements are Categorically Exempt from Environmental Review as a Categorical Exemption Class 1 as defined by CEQA, per CEQA Guidelines Section 15301: Existing Facilities

GENERAL PLAN COMPLIANCE AND BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Project is consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 as described in the body of this letter and is, on balance, **in conformity** with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

POLICY 1.2

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

To ensure that commercial and industrial activities do not detract from the environment in which they locate, and may in fact benefit their surroundings, performance standards should be applied in evaluating new developments. The policies of the General Plan provide many of the standards to be used in evaluating development proposals. Other standards are found in various city ordinances and State and Federal laws. As necessary these standards should be reformed and additional standards developed.

The project will ensure that SFO complies with the Noise Standard for Airports in the California Administrative Code (Title 21).

TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT

POLICY 2.2

Reduce pollution, noise and energy consumption.

OBJECTIVE 5

SUPPORT AND ENHANCE THE ROLE OF SAN FRANCISCO AS A MAJOR

GENERAL PLAN REFERRAL SFO NOISE INSULATION PROGRAM

DESTINATION AND DEPARTURE POINT FOR TRAVELERS MAKING INTERSTATE, NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL TRIPS.

POLICY 5.1

Support and accommodate the expansion of San Francisco International Airport, while balancing this expansion with the protection of the quality of life in the communities that surround the Airport.

The project allows SFO to continue to serve its function as a travel hub between the City and other areas and will provide noise insulation and acoustic treatments to reduce noise intrusion and improve the quality of life of affected residents.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 9 REDUCE TRANSPORTATION-RELATED NOISE.

OBJECTIVE 10

MINIMIZE THE IMPACT OF NOISE ON AFFECTED AREAS.

The process of blocking excessive noise from our ears could involve extensive capital investment if undertaken on a systematic, citywide scale. Selective efforts, however, especially for new construction, are both desirable and justified.

POLICY 10.2

Promote the incorporation of noise insulation materials in new construction.

State-imposed noise insulation standards apply to all new residential structures except detached single-family dwellings. Protection against exterior noise and noise within a building is also important in many nonresidential structures. Builders should be encouraged to take into account prevailing noise levels and to include noise insulation materials as needed to provide adequate insulation.

The project will provide noise insulation and acoustic treatments per SFO's Noise Insulation Program to reduce the impacts of airport operations on indoor noise levels.

On balance, the proposal is **in conformity** with the General Plan. Any development schemes proposed for the subject parcels after acquisition of the easements for SFO will be subject to separate General Plan Referrals to the Planning Department. Any development proposals

would also have to comply with the local zoning ordinance and pertinent land use policies of the area.

PROPOSITION M FINDINGS – PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1

Planning Code Section 101.1 establishes Eight Priority Policies and requires review of discretionary approvals and permits for consistency with said policies. The Project, demolition and replacement of the Chinese Recreation Center, is found to be consistent with the Eight Priority Policies as set forth in Planning Code Section 101.1 for the following reasons:

Eight Priority Policies Findings

The subject project is found to be consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 in that:

The proposed project is found to be consistent with the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 in that:

1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced.

The Project would have no adverse effect on neighborhood serving retail uses or opportunities for employment in or ownership of such businesses.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhood.

The Project would have no adverse effect on existing housing stock or on neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.

The Project would have no adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The Project would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI's transit service, overburdening the streets or altering current neighborhood parking.

GENERAL PLAN REFERRAL SFO NOISE INSULATION PROGRAM

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for residential employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project would not affect the existing economic base in this area.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

The Project would not adversely affect achieving the greatest possible preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The project will not have an adverse impact on landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project would have no adverse effect on parks and open space or their access to sunlight and vista.

RECOMMENDATION: Finding the Project, on balance, in conformity with the General Plan

Attachments:

Exhibit A – Residential Noise Insulation Program, 2012-2014 Phase – Project Area Map, Cities of San Bruno and Daly City Exhibit B – List of Participating Properties

cc: Marta Bayol, San Francisco Real Estate Division Lisa Chen, Planning Department

I:\Citywide\General Plan\General Plan Referrals\2013\2013.17794R SFO Acquisition Avigation Easements.doc





San Francisco International Airport

RESIDENTIAL NOISE


2012-2014 PHASE

EXHIBIT A

PROJECT AREA MAP

CITY OF SAN BRUNO

2001 NEM SAN BRUNO PROPERTY SOLD





San Francisco International Airport

> RESIDENTIAL NOISE INSULATION PROGRAM

> > 2012-2014 PHASE

EXHIBIT A

PROJECT AREA MAP

CITY OF DALY CITY

•

2003 NEM

DALY CITY PROPERTY SOLD

San Francisco International Airport Noise Insulation Program, 2012-2014 Phase Acquisition of Avigation Easements

House No.	Owner Name	Property Address	Units	Year Built	Construction Da
DC1	Nathaniel F. Cayabyab	312 Barbara Ln. Daly City	1	1984	Feb May 201
DC2	Genevieve I. Alivio	97 Bromley Ct. Daly City	1	1972	Feb May 201
DC3	Mark A. Quizon & Katrina T. Quizon	20 Camelot Ct Daly City	1	1973	Feb May 201
DC4	Stephanie Wong & Ban An Shin	34 Camelot Ct Daly City	1	1973	Feb May 201
DC5	Spencer Quoc Tran & Jacqueline Szewan Ho	233 Dennis Dr. Daly City	1	1969	Feb May 201
DC6	Suzanne Choi & James Choi	904 Gellert Blvd. Daly City	1	1972	Feb May 201
DC7	Felix Kury, Nora Kury & Yasmine Kury	804 King Dr. Daly City	1	1967	Feb May 201
DC8	Maria Cyrill N. Enerio, Edward V. Dizon & Don V. Dizon	94 Lycett Cir. Daly City	1	1979	Feb May 201
DC9	Masashi Harada & Tomoko Matsuda	116 Marbly Ave. Daly City	. 1	1971	Feb May 201
DC10	Teo Sing Seok & Yoke Fong Chou	375 Michelle Ln. Daly City	1	1989	Feb May 201
DC11	Joseph Q. Talavera & Jennie June T. Talavera	209 Morton Dr. Daly City	1	1967	Feb May 201
DC12	Danilo C. Diaz & Noemi P. Diaz	20 Nelson Ct. Daly City	1	1968	Feb May 201
DC13	Phoebe Mauricio	25 Norwood Ave. Daly City	1	1972	Feb May 201
DC14	Cesar P. Restua & Cecilie G. Restua	151 Shipley Ave. Daly City	1	1968	Feb May 201
DC15	Eugene Chupkin	266 Shipley Ave. Daly City	1	1967	Feb May 201
DC16	Raymond S. Chang, Lelei Hsieh Chang & Jenny Soe Chang	48.Surrey Ct. Daly City	1	1971	Feb May 201
DC17	Karina L. Zhang & Kathy X. Zhang	163 Warwick St. Daly City	1	1969	Feb May 201
SB1	Sundar Pal & Anita Devi Pal	764 3rd Ave. San Bruno	1	1941	Feb May 201
SB5	Salvador Franco	833 5th Ave San Bruno	1	1963	Feb May 2014
SB9	Jessica Laura	866 6th Ave, San Bruno	1	1992	Feb May 2014
SB10	Maria Isabel N. Quita & Danilo F. Quita	837 7th Ave San Bruno	1	1952	Feb May 2014
S811	Lynn M. Knott & Jeffrey T. Knott	924 Green Ave. San Bruno	1	1936	Feb May 2014
SB12	Humberto Valencia & Maria Del Carmen Valencia	404 Kains Ave San Bruno	1	1940	Feb May 2014
SB13	YongSheng Zhang & Lilie Zhao	933 Masson Ave San Bruno	1	1924	Feb May 2014
SB14	Juan J. Escobar, Carol Escobar & Jason A. Escobar	815 Mills Ave. San Bruno	1	1924	Feb May 2014
SB15	David Kuan	949 Montgomery Ave. San Bruno	1	1946	Feb May 2014
116-SR20 I	Samuel Pilli & Sunita Pilli, Leighton G. Sheley & Jenny E. Sheley, Cabot L. Sheley & Elaine Sheley	549, 559, 569, 571, 573 San Bruno Ave, San Bruno	5	1934	Feb May 2014
	Yu Ching Chang & Eric Y. Willkom Jr.	766 Walnut St. San Bruno	1	1940	Feb May 2014
	28 Properties	TOTALS	32 Units		

List of Participating Properties