Grant Information Form

(Effective January 2000)
Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

## 1. Grant Title: Federal Railroad Administration Grant Funds for the Rebuilding of the Quint Street Lead Track

2. Department: Port of San Francisco
3. Contact Person: Jim Maloney (Maritime Division) 415-274-0519

Meghan Wallace (Finance Division) 415-274-0426
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):
[X] Approved by funding agency
[] Not yet approved
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 9 7 0 , 0 0 0 ~ ( 9 0 \% ~ o f ~ p r o j e c t ~ t o t a l ) ~}$

6 a. Matching Funds Required: \$330,000 (10\% of project total)
b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): Port Capital Budget (5P-AAA-ACP)

7 a. Grant Source Agency: U.S. Department of Transportation's Federal Railroad Administration
b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A
8. Proposed Grant Project Summary:

Rebuilding of the Quint Street Lead This grant will be used to:

- Remove and reconstruct approximately 4,600 feet of existing track with heavy rail and ties;
- Improve and eliminate street running conditions along Rankin Street from dead end to Evans Avenue;
- Improve street running conditions along Quint Street from Davidson Avenue to Third Street; and
- Confirm and replace as required utility crossings for cooper E80 loading.

Due to the alignment of the track running through established roadways, adjacent to businesses, fences on property lines and other miscellaneous infrastructure, the Port proposes to reconstruct the track in its current alignment.
9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: 04/01/2012 End-Date: 07/31/2015 (note: an extension has been requested)
10. Number of new positions created and funded: N/A
11. If new positions are created, explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? N/A

12 a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$
b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes
c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the department's LBE requirements? Yes
d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One time

13a. Does the budget include indirect costs?
[] Yes [X] No
b1. If yes, how much? NA
b2. How was the amount calculated?
c. If no, why are indirect costs not included?
[ ] Not allowed by granting agency [X] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services
[ ] Other (please explain):
14. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:

Compliance with NEPA; approved March 2014.
15. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):
[X] Existing Site(s) [X] Existing Structure(s) [] Existing Program(s) or Service(s)
[ ] Rehabilitated Site(s)
[ ] New Site(s)
[ ] Rehabilitated Structure(s)
[ ] New Program(s) or Service(s)
16. The Departmental ADA Coordinator and/or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:

Comments:
Departmental or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer: $\qquad$
(Name)
Date Reviewed: $\qquad$
Department Approval: Monique Moyer, Executive Director
(Name) (Title)
(Signature)

