

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

	Slope = or > 20%: Does the project involve excavation, square footage expansion, shoring, underpinning, retaining wall work, grading – including excavation or fill?	
	Exceptions: Do not check box for work performed on previously graded level portion of site; stairs, patio, deck and fence work.	NOTE: Project Planner must
	Geotechnical report required and a Certificate or higher level CEQA document required – File an Environmental Application	initial box below before proceeding to Step 3.
	Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve excavation, square footage expansion, shoring, underpinning, retaining wall work, grading – including excavation and fill on a landslide zone – as identified in the San Francisco General Plan?	Project Can Proceed With Categorical Exemption Review.
	Exceptions: Do not check box for stairs, patio, deck and fence work.	
	Geotechnical report required and a Certificate or higher level CEQA document required – File an Environmental Application	The project does not trigger any of the CEQA Impacts and can proceed
· · · ·	Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve excavation, square footage expansion, shoring, underpinning, retaining wall work, grading – including excavation and fill on either seismic, flooding, or liquefaction zone?	with categorical exemption review.
	Exceptions: Do not check box for stairs, patio, deck and fence work.	GO TO STEP 3
1	Sectedurical report will likely be required. File an Environmental Application	
	Serpentine Rock: Does the project involve any excavation in a property containing serpentine rock? Vo exceptions.	
	File an Environmental Application to determine the applicable level of CEQA analysis	
TEP3	PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORICAL RESOURCE	
operty is	one of the following: (Refer to: San Francisco Property Information Map)	
] Catego	ory A: Known Historical Resource GO TO STEP 5	
] Catego	ory B: Potential Historical Resource (over 50 years of age) GOTOSTEP 4	
Catego	ry C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 50 years of age)	GO TO STEP 6
		NOTE:
	PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST (To be completed by Project Planner) applies, please initial.	Project Planner must check box below
	Change of Use and New Construction (tenant improvements not included).	before proceeding.
	. Interior alterations/interior tenant improvements. Note: Publicly-accessible	Project is not
	spaces (i.e. lobby, auditorium, or sanctuary) require preservation planner review.	noted.
3		GO TO STEP 5
	. Regular maintenance and repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to the building.	GO TO STEP 5
4 	•	Project does not conform to the scopes of work:
	damage to the building. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards	Project does not conform to the
5	 damage to the building. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards (does not includ storefront window alterations). Garage work, specifically, a new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding 	 Project does not conform to the scopes of work: GO TO STEP 5 Project involves
5 6	 damage to the building. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards (does not includ storefront window alterations). Garage work, specifically, a new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, and/or replacement of garage door in an existing opening. Deck, terrace construction, or fences that are not visible from any immediately 	 Project does not conform to the scopes of work: GO TO STEP 5 Project involves 4 or more work descriptions:
5 7	 damage to the building. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards (does not includ storefront window alterations). Garage work, specifically, a new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, and/or replacement of garage door in an existing opening. Deck, terrace construction, or fences that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. Mechanical equipment installation not visible from any immediately adjacent 	 Project does not conform to the scopes of work: GO TO STEP 5 Project involves 4 or more work

2

STEP 5 CEQA IMPACTS - ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW (To be completed by Preservation Planner)

If condition applies, please initial.

2. 1	nterior alterations to publicly-accessible spaces.	
	Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not 'in-kind" but are is consistent with existing historic character.	NOTE:
	Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.	If ANY box is initialed in STEP 5 Preservation Planner MUST revi & initial below.
	Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.	Further Environmental Review Required.
ł	Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's nistoric condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.	Based on the information provided, the project requires an <i>Environmental Evaluation</i> Application to be submitted.
1	Addition(s), including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right of way and meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.	GO TO STEP 6 Preservation Planner Invite
	Other work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties	Project Can Proceed With Categorical Exemption Review.
- -	Specify:	The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical
9. i	Reclassification of property status to Category C	exemption review.
	a. Per Environmental Evaluation Evaluation, dated: Attach Historic Resource Evaluation Report	GO TO STEP 6
	a. Other, please specify:	Preservation Planner Initia
	Requires initial by Senior Preservation Planner / Preservation Coordinator	
6 CA	TEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION (To be	e completed by Project Planner)
] Furth	er Environmental Review Required.	
Prop	osed Project does not meet scopes of work in either:	
(check	all that apply)	
	Step 2 (CEQA Impacts) or	Must file Environmental
	Step 5 (Advanced Historical Review)	Evaluation Application.
] No F	urther Environmental Review Required. Project is categorically e	exempt under CEQA.
	Alla	04/ 411/13
Planner's S	ignature	Date

• A start and a start . . .

2013.0427E



March 29, 2013

Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Quint Street Rail Repair - Request for Class 1 Categorical Exemption

Dear Ms. Pereira:

Please find enclosed a complete Environmental Exemption application for a proposed repair project to the Quint Street rail spur located in the Bayview. A check in the amount of \$297 will be routed to the Environmental Planning Division to cover the cost of processing this permit request.

We believe that this proposed improvement project is Categorically Exempt from CEQA review, under Class 1(d): Restoration of rehabilitation of deteriorated or damaged structures, facilities, or mechanical equipment to meet current standards of public health and safety..."

We would appreciate your review of this request to obtain a formal determination from the Environmental Planning Department. Please do not hesitate to contact me at (415) 274-0264, if you have any questions regarding this proposed improvement project, or require any additional information to complete your review and determination

Thank you for your assistance on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kanya T. Dorland, Planner Port of San Francisco

Enclosures: Two Environmental Exemption Applications, and Project Area Photos

cc: Diane Oshima, Port of San Francisco (w/out enclosures) Steven Reel, Port of San Francisco (w/out enclosures)

PORT	F OF SAN FRANCISCO		
¥.	TEL 415 274 0400	TTY 415 274 0587	ADDRESS Pier 1
Ř.	FAX 415 274 0528	WEB sfnort.com	San Francisco, CA 94111 /

•



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Environmental Evaluation Application

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires public agencies to review the environmental impacts of proposed projects. In San Francisco, environmental review under CEQA is administered by the Major Environmental Analysis (MEA) division of the Planning Department. The environmental review process begins with the submittal of a completed Environmental Evaluation (EE) Application to the Planning Department. Only the current EE Application form will be accepted. No appointment is required but staff is available to meet with applicants upon request.

The EE Application will not be processed unless it is completely filled out and the appropriate fees are paid in full. Checks should be made payable to the San Francisco Planning Department. See the current *Schedule of Application Fees* and contact the staff person listed below for verification of the appropriate fees. Fees are generally non-refundable. **Documents in italics are available online at sfgov.org/planning**.

The EE Application is comprised of four parts. Part 1 is a checklist to ensure that the EE Application is complete; Part 2 requests basic information about the site and the project; Part 3 is a series of questions to help determine if additional information is needed for the EE Application; and Part 4 is a project summary table.

The complete EE Application should be submitted to the Planning Department staff as follows: For projects greater than 10,000 square feet in size and where Part 3 Questions #3, #8, #10, or #11 are answered in the affirmative, or for projects that require mitigation measures, please send the application materials to the attention of Ms. Fordham or Ms. Poling. For all other projects, please send the application materials to the attention of Ms. Pereira.

Monica Pereira 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 575-9107, monica.pereira@sfgov.org Chelsea Fordham or Jeanie Poling 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 575-9071, chelsea.fordham @sfgov.org (415) 575-9072, jeanie.poling@sfgov.org

		Not
PART 1 EE APPLICATION CHECKLIST	Provided	Applicable
Two copies of this application with all blanks filled in		
Two sets of project drawings in 11x17 format (see "Additional Information" on page 4)	\boxtimes	
Photos of the project site and its immediate vicinity, with viewpoints labeled		
Fee	\boxtimes	
Supplemental Information for Historical Resource Evaluation form and/or Historic Resource Evaluation Report, as indicated in Part 3 Questions 1 and 2		
Geotechnical Report, as indicated in Part 3 Questions 3a and 3b		
Tree Disclosure Statement, as indicated in Part 3 Question 4		\boxtimes
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, as indicated in Part 3 Question 8		
Additional studies (list)		

Applicant's Affidavit. I certify the accuracy of the following declarations:

a. The undersigned is the owner or authorized agent of the owner(s) of this property.

b. The information presented is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

c. I understand that other applications and information may be required.

Signed (owner or agent):	157. RC
(For Staff Use Only) Case No.	/
v.2.4.2013	

Date:	3-29-13
Address:	QUINT ST
Block/Lot:	NIG

PART 2 – PROJEC	TINFORMATION				
	Appliation .				
Property Owner	Port of San Francis		Telephone No.		an an an 1996 an ann an 1997 an 1997 a'
Address	Pier 1, The Embarc	. 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	- Fax. No.		·····
Tituress		uuuro		sfport.com	
Project Contact	Kanya Dorland		- Telephone No.		*
Tiojeer Contact	Planning & Develo	pment Division,		415-274-0204	
Company	Port	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fax No.		
Address	Pier 1, The Embarc	adero	Email	kanya.dorland@st	port.com
	<u>~~</u> .		-	<u></u>	
-MC MOMEND	aparta dinasara at	alana milana di kata di kana di kata	n an	and a second second Representation of the second second Representation of the second second Representation of the second second second second	a an
Site Address(es):	Quint Street	Between Third St	and Oakdale and	Third Streets	
Nearest Cross Stre	eet(s) Third and Oa	akdale Streets			
Block(s)/Lot(s)	N/A		Zoning Dist	rict(s) PDR-2/M	-2
Site Square Footag	ge 4,500 LF		Height/Bull	District 80-E & 65	-J
Present or previou		trial			
Community Plan A any)	Area (if				
	the contract free to the s				
Addition	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			a transmission
_	Change of use		U	New cons	struction
Alteration	Demolition		/subdivision or lo	•	20
Other (describ			Estimated C	ost \$3,000,00	<u>)</u> ()
Describe próposed				<u> </u>	
Narrative project of Please see the atta	lescription. Please su ched	immarize and de		of the project.	
	,				
		• •	•		
	•	•			
			١	5 5	
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Gross Square Footage (GSF)	Existing Uses	Existing Uses to be Retained	Net New Construction and/or Addition	Project Totals
Residential				
Retail				
Office				
Industrial				
Parking	-			
Other (specify use)	4,500 LF	4,500 LF	4,500 LF	4,500 LF
Total GSF			· · ·	
Dwelling units				
Hotel rooms				
Parking spaces				
Loading spaces				
Number of buildings				
Height of building(s)				
Number of stories				
The project will invol repaving will cover an Additional Informati plans, elevations, and floor area and height driveways and trash parking and parking transportation study potential traffic gene	ve repaving around th n area of 100,000 squar ton: Project drawings d sections, as well as The plans should clear loading areas; vehic configuration; and b may be required, d ration of the proposed	e feet. in 11x17 format should all applicable dimension arly show existing and p ular and pedestrian acc ous stops and curbside epending on existing t a project, as determined	in this table: ements, and it is expected include existing and pro- roposed off-street parking coss to the site, includi loading zones within the affic conditions in the by the Department's tr ronmental review proce	oposed site plans, floo existing and proposed ng and loading spaces ng access to off-stree 150 feet of the site. A e project area and th ansportation planners
TA	04/11/13	N POANCIECO DEPA COLOCICALO ENCIO CLASS	ATMENT OF CITY PL MOMENVIRONAMENTAL I AL RELE MULTELLE	AMMING U.E. Totion

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

,

٦

PA	RT 3 – Additional Project Information	Yes	No
1.	Would the project involve a major alteration of a structure constructed 50 or more years ago or a structure in an historic district?		
	If yes, submit a Supplemental Information for Historical Resource Evaluation form.		
2.	Would the project involve demolition of a structure constructed 50 or more years ago or a structure located in an historic district?		×
	If yes, a Historic Resource Evaluation Report (HRER)* will be required. The scope of the HRER will be determined in consultation with the Department's Preservation Coordinator.		
3a.	Would the project result in excavation or soil disturbance/modification greater than 8 feet below grade?		
	If yes, how many feet below grade would be excavated?		
	What type of foundation would be used (if known)?		
3b.	Is the project site located in an area of potential geotechnical hazard as identified in the San Francisco General Plan or on a steep slope or would the project be located on a site with an average slope of 20% or more?		
	If yes to either Question 3a or 3b, please submit a Geotechnical Report.*		
4.	Would the project involve expansion of an existing building envelope, or new construction, or grading, or new curb cuts, or demolition?		Ø
	If yes, please submit a Tree Disclosure Statement.		
5.	Would the project result in ground disturbance of 5,000 gross square feet or more?		
6.	Would the project result in any construction over 40 feet in height?		\boxtimes
	If yes, apply for a Section 295 (Proposition K) Shadow Study. This application is available on the Planning Department's website and should be submitted at the Planning Information Center , 1660 Mission Street, First Floor.		
7.	Would the project result in a construction of a structure 80 feet or higher?		
	If yes, an initial review by a wind expert, including a recommendation as to whether a wind analysis* is needed, may be required, as determined by Department staff.		
8.	Would the project involve work on a site with an existing or former gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing use, or a site with underground storage tanks?		Ø
	If yes, please submit a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA).* A Phase II ESA (for example, soil testing) may be required, as determined by Department staff.		
9.	Would the project require any variances, special authorizations, or changes to the Planning Code or Zoning Maps?		
	If yes, please describe.		
10.	Is the project related to a larger project, series of projects, or program?		
ļ	If yes, please describe.		
11.	Is the project in Eastern Neighborhoods or Market & Octavia Community Plan Area?		
	If yes, and the project would be over 55 feet tall or 10 feet taller than an adjacent building built before 1963, please submit an elevation or renderings showing the project with the adjacent buildings.	·	

* Report or study to be prepared by a qualified consultant who is contracted directly by the project sponsor.