Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

City and County of San Francisco



Edwin M. Lee

Mayor

Olson Lee

Director

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and Honorable

Members of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: Benjamin McCloskey, Chief Financial Officer, Mayor's Office of

Housing and Community Development

CC: Louise Model, Appellant,

Property Owner of 3348-3350 Scott Street

SUBJECT: Appeal Requesting Reduction, Adjustment or Waiver of

Condominium Conversion Fee for a Property Located at

3348-3350 Scott Street

RECOMMENDATION: Denial of appeal

DATE: June 5, 2014

On June 18, 2013, the Board of Supervisors passed Ordinance No. 117-13 amending the City's Subdivision Code to create an expedited and reliable option for tenancy-in-common (TIC) owners and other property owners to convert their TICs or buildings in to condominiums. By paying a one-time fee to the City, these owners could bypass the annual condominium conversion lottery and receive subdivision map approval as required for a condominium unit. As part of the law's legislative findings, the Board relied on a 2011 economic nexus study that demonstrated and quantified the impact of condominium conversion on the demand for affordable housing in San Francisco and the cost of mitigating the impact. The ordinance set the condominium conversion fee at \$20,000 per unit—below the fee level justified in the nexus study—and further reduced the fee for TIC owners based on the number of years of participation in the annual lottery. Fee revenue to the City is designated for the development of new affordable housing units for low- to moderate-income individuals and families.

This memo summarizes key findings of the nexus study, describes the law's requirements for granting an appeal, and analyzes the request for a fee waiver from Louise Model, the sole property owner of a three-unit building at 3348-3350 Scott Street. The law's standard for granting the appeal requires the appellant to successfully challenge the economic analysis in the nexus study. A hardship exemption is not an allowable reason to grant an appeal. Based on an analysis of this case, the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community

Development (MOHCD) contends that the economic nexus study justifies the payment of this fee, already reduced to \$4,000 per unit in this case, and that the appellant has not met the standard for a successful appeal. As such, MOHCD recommends that the Board of Supervisors deny this appeal.

Background

Prior to the law's enactment, the City and County of San Francisco limited the number of rental or TIC units that could be converted to condominiums to 200 per year, in order to preserve affordable rental units in the City. Through a lottery process, TIC owners and other property owners could apply to receive approval for a condominium conversion subdivision map, the first step in a two-step process for creating a condominium unit in San Francisco. Condominium conversion is an option for owners in buildings with two to six units, under certain conditions. TICs are owned jointly as entire buildings in percentage shares. In contrast, a condominium is owned as a separate, divided legal real estate interest from the other condominium units in a building, and each individual condominium owner may separately sell, lease, or finance his or her condominium unit. TIC ownership can be more complex and risky due to this joint-ownership relationship. TIC owners may buy and sell shares equivalent to a single unit but that does not mean they own their unit outright with the ability to separately sell or finance the unit. Because of this risk, mortgage financing and transaction costs are significantly higher for TIC ownership share than condominium ownership. The benefits of condominium conversion include a more advantageous form of title and ownership and better mortgage loan terms that reduce homeownership costs and help owners remain in their homes. As such, there is a demonstrable financial incentive to convert jointly-owned, multi-unit property to individually owned condominiums.

Given pent up demand to convert to condominium and a backlog of approximately 2,000 units waiting to convert, the City began considering an alternative to its condominium lottery process. The City commissioned a 2011 nexus study to evaluate the economic impacts to the City of condominium conversion and whether those impacts justified an impact fee, similar to other development impact fees that mitigate the City's costs to provide additional affordable housing, such as the Jobs Housing Linkage Fee and inclusionary housing fees.

The 2011 nexus study conducted by Keyser Marston Associates (KMA)¹ determined that the conversion of a TIC or rental unit to a condominium would result in a net increase in household income in San Francisco, through the replacement of a TIC owner with a higher income condominium purchaser. Given the higher income of condominium purchasers, the condominium owner would have higher consumer spending and increased demands for goods and services such as banking and retail services, leading to increased job creation. Among the jobs created would be additional low- and moderate-income jobs. The workforce performing these additional lower income jobs create a greater demand for affordable housing, as these households cannot afford market-rate housing in San Francisco. The cost to the City to subsidize this increased need for additional affordable housing creates the nexus between the condominium conversion and justification for a conversion fee.

As part of the legislative findings for Ordinance No. 117-13, the Board determined that based on evidence presented in the nexus study, there is a reasonable relationship to the subdivision applicants' burdens on the City that result from the change in use and ownership

¹ Condominium Conversion Nexus Analysis, San Francisco, Keyser Marston Associates, January 2011

status from a dwelling unit within an unsubdivided property to a separate interest in a condominium unit.²

Basis for an Appeal

In an April 18, 2014 letter to the City, Ms. Model requests a waiver or reduction of the condominium conversion fee, based on financial need. The request does not make any claims regarding the applicability of the nexus study on which the fee was based. The KMA nexus study was not based on a household having the ability to pay a fee, but rather that the TIC unit(s) would be sold, at some point, to a higher income household, creating the need to offset costs to the City from the impacts of the actual conversion. In the future, either the appellant or her estate will sell the three units to condominium purchasers, rather than separate TIC purchasers. That economic transaction creates the need for the impact fee, whether it occurs immediately or sometime in the future.

Acknowledging this reality, the Board decided to require fee payment at the time of application for the condominium conversion subdivision (when the TIC owner initiates the subdivision approval process that will result in the owner's benefit), rather than at another point in time, such as paying the fee at the sale of the condominium unit. While it is accurate that the nexus study focused on the converted condominium unit being purchased by a new buyer at some time, the study acknowledges that the units may not necessarily be purchased immediately.³

In an opinion letter provided for the October 29, 2013 condominium conversion fee appeal, the Office of the Controller stated that "while the nexus study did assume, for analytical purposes, that the condominium was sold upon conversion, in our opinion, neither the existence nor the level of nexus materially hinges upon this assumption."

² Section 1(b) of Board Ordinance No. 117-13 (Subdivision Code – Condominium Conversion Fee) provides: "This Board finds that the condominium conversion fee as set forth in this legislation is an appropriate charge imposed as a condition of property development, which in this case is the City's approval of a condominium conversion subdivision, a discretionary development approval pursuant to the San Francisco Subdivision Code and the California Subdivision Map Act. Based on data, information, and analysis in a Condominium Conversion Nexus Analysis report prepared by Keyser Marston Associates, Inc., dated January 2011, and the findings of Planning Code Section 415.1 concerning the City's inclusionary affordable housing program, this Board finds and determines that there is ample evidentiary support to charge the fee set forth herein as it relates to a subdivision map approval that allows the conversion of existing dwelling units into condominiums. Said charge also is lower than the fee amount supported in the abovementioned Nexus Analysis report. As a consequence the Board finds that the amount of this charge is no more than necessary to cover the reasonable costs of the governmental activity and programs related to condominium conversion. The Board further finds and determines, that based on this evidence, the manner in which this charge is allocated and assessed on a per unit cost for each unit converted to a condominium bears a reasonable relationship to the subdivision applicants' burdens on the City that result from the change in use and ownership status from a dwelling unit within an unsubdivided property to a separate interest in a condominium unit."

³ The nexus study states: "The analysis assumes that the unit is sold upon conversion. Some existing owners will stay in the unit. Some of those who stay will refinance based on more favorable lending terms and higher unit value. In any case, the conversion of the unit generates an increase in unit value and ultimately, a higher income occupant." (Highlight added.)

⁴ Opinion Regarding an Appeal of a Reduction, Adjustment or Waiver of Condominium Conversion Fee for a Property Located at 489 Sanchez Street, October 28, 2013, memorandum from the Office of the Controller to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development.

The Office of the Controller opinion letter goes on to say, "at some point in the future, the condominium will be vacated and sold, at a price that is reasonably likely to be higher than it would have been, and will be occupied by a household that is reasonably likely to have a higher income than would have been the case, in the absence of conversion. In this respect, the impact of a future sale is similar to a current sale."

Although the Office of the Controller opinion letter does not address the specifics of the appeal for property located at 3348-3350 Scott Street, the conclusions are equally sound for use in this appeal.

Additionally, there are tangible financial advantages to the existing TIC owner who becomes a condominium owner. The KMA study quantified the gain of condominium conversion at 15 percent, or an estimated \$45,000 to \$75,000 gain after deducting standard City administrative fees. Property owners gain from the fact that financing costs are significantly lower for higher valued condominiums than TIC units (a 4.75% interest rate for TIC loans compared to 2.25% for condominium home loan at the time of the KMA study).

Ms. Model will reap immediate financial benefits through conversion of her three-unit building to condominiums. After condominium conversion, the appellant could likely refinance an existing mortgage loan at a lower interest rate, thereby leaving more discretionary income for other uses. Ms. Model may also have the ability to obtain an individual home equity loan to enhance her current living conditions. Additionally, Ms. Model could sell two of the three units which are not owner-occupied. This increase in value and opportunity to refinance any existing mortgage or sell one or more units would allow the owner to finance the condo conversion costs, including the conversion fee. Obtaining and using such additional discretionary income in the local economy can have similar economic impacts on the City as those analyzed in the nexus study for new condominium purchasers.

Applicability of Ordinance 65-14

On April 29, 2014, the Board passed Ordinance 65-14, which was further signed by the Mayor on May 8, 2014. Among other changes to Subdivision Code Sec. 1396.4, this Ordinance directs the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to review fee appeals prior to scheduling a hearing. Ordinance 65-14 will apply to all fee appeals filed with the Clerk on or after June 9, 2014 and does not eliminate the need to hear the existing appeal.

Existing Fee Relief

Additionally, the Board set the fee at \$20,000 per unit, lower than the maximum mitigation cost of \$21,600 to \$34,900 supported by the KMA nexus analysis. The fee level also decreases from \$20,000, to as low as \$4,000 per unit, depending on the number of years the TIC has participated in the lottery. In the case of Ms. Model, the fee is calculated at \$4,000 per unit or \$12,000 for the 3-unit property.

Law Does Not Allow for Hardship Waiver

The only basis of this appeal is financial hardship. However, the legislation does not allow for a waiver based on financial hardship, and granting the waiver based on this rationale is problematic. Under the Subdivision Code requirements, the appellant needs to demonstrate that there is an "absence of any reasonable relationship or nexus between the impact of development and the amount of fee." The appellant bears "the burden of presenting substantial

evidence to support the appeal, including comparable technical information to support the appellant's position." The legislation provided an appeal process to allow a challenge to the impact fee analysis itself. For the Board to waive, reduce, or adjust the fee, the appellant must demonstrate that the economic nexus is somehow faulty.

Ms. Model's appeal does not meet this definition. Ms. Model has not provided any economic or technical analysis to dispute the basic findings of the nexus study. Her appeal has not demonstrated that the nexus between condominium conversion and the need for additional affordable housing does not exist in this case.

Fee Deferral Process

The law does allow for a TIC owner to request a fee deferral based on demonstrated economic hardship. Applicants demonstrating income for the last 12 months at less than 120 percent of area median income (AMI) can request a fee deferral while the Department of Public Works' (DPW) completes its application review.

Recommendation

While the appellant's circumstances may be sympathetic, her case does not meet the standard for appeal stated in the law. Given that the property owner has not presented substantial evidence and technical analysis to dispute the City's nexus study, the Board should reject this appeal. The Board has already provided fee relief by: a) setting the fee level below the maximum fee levels justified by the nexus study and 2) reducing the fee to credit the TIC owners based on number of years in the lottery. Based on a \$4,000 per-unit fee, Ms. Model is already receiving a \$48,000 fee reduction for her three-unit building. As the sole owner of the building, 100% of this fee reduction directly benefits the appellant.

The legislation did not establish a fee waiver or reduction based solely on financial hardship. As such, there is no objective criteria upon which the Board can make such a determination. If the Board waives or reduces the fee based on this claim, it likely would create a precedent for any other applicant who is on a fixed income. Such a result could significantly jeopardize the amount of affordable housing fees available to the City that the Board specifically determined were needed to offset the economic impact on the City from condominium conversion.

The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development stands behind the Board's decision to offset the impact of additional market-rate condominiums to impose this fee. Based on the rationale presented, the appeal does not meet the threshold for a fee waiver. As such, MOHCD recommends that the Board deny this appeal.