F	il	е	N	lo.	. 1	40	)7	39	)
---	----	---	---	-----	-----	----	----	----	---

Committee	ltem	No
<b>Board Item</b>	No	77

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee	·	Date
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date <u>July 8, 2014</u>
Cmte Boa	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearin Department/Agency Cover L MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	- ·
OTHER	(Use back side if additional s	space is needed)
Completed Completed	by: <u>Joy Lamug</u> by:	Date <u>July 2, 2014</u> Date

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

[Urging a Moratorium on Redemption Center Closures]

Resolution urging for a moratorium on redemption center closures in the City and County of San Francisco; and for supermarkets in San Francisco and the State agency CalRecycle to meet their statutory obligations by convening with the Department of the Environment to find solutions for a more evenly distributed network of recycling centers and ensure that a recycling center is located in their parking lots or redemption recycling is provided immediately nearby.

WHEREAS, The California Recycling and Litter Reduction Act of 1986, AB 2020 (the "Bottle Bill"), levies a deposit on bottles and cans; and

WHEREAS, The "Bottle Bill" requires the City to provide all San Franciscans with convenient means to redeem recyclables, a requirement not met by curbside-pick up alone; and

WHEREAS, State law requires the location of redemption centers for bottles and cans every half mile, and such centers have proven effective in reducing landfill and reducing litter on city streets and parks; and

WHEREAS, Redemption centers are the only way for the public to get their California Redemption Value (CRV) back; and

WHEREAS, 88% of CRV bottles and cans are recycled at redemption centers, and WHEREAS, If a supermarket site lacks a redemption center, it places a requirement on all beverage dealers to take back beverage containers in store; and

WHEREAS, The Public Resources Code require cities to maintain network of redemption centers or risk or risk losing their City and County Payment, which for the FY2013-2014, the City of San Francisco received \$215,124; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco has a policy of Zero Waste by 2020; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco lacks a comprehensive system for redemption recycling, which would complement the curbside recycling program and bring the City closer to its goal of zero waste by the year 2020, a component which, according to the Director of the Department of the Environment, is an "integral" part of reaching zero waste; and

WHEREAS, Of the twelve existing independent redemption centers in San Francisco, eight reside on the east side of San Francisco in Supervisorial District 10, disproportionately burdening the district while failing to provide equitable access for San Franciscans to independent recycling centers to redeem the CRV; and

WHEREAS, Statewide Only 8% of beverage containers are recycled at curbside, making curbside complementary to recycling centers and cannot take the place of redemption centers; and

WHEREAS, Installing reverse vending machines has not been analyzed in terms either the impacts on current grocery stores or merchants that would be required to implement instore redemption or an analysis of the siting, implementation, or the street-level efficacy of the policy; and

WHEREAS, The only way for public trash can recycling to occur is for people to empty the recycling tops and take the material to recycling centers; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco has over 100,000 people living in poverty that need to be able to conveniently redeem their bottles and cans; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco has fewer redemption centers per capita than any other city in the State; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco's Department of the Environment, in its recent Request For Qualifications for a City redemption center operator, has called for all the currently operating

redemption centers to remain open while a distributed network of centers has time to be rebuilt; and

WHEREAS, Seven redemption centers have been evicted in the last eighteen months; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco urges Safeway to immediately cease the eviction of redemption centers, including the redemption center at 2020 Market Street; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, through the Mayor's office and the Department of the Environment, shall explore solutions for a more evenly distributed network of redemption centers; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco urges all supermarkets in the city to fulfill their statutory obligation to ensure that a redemption center is located on their parking lot.

File 140 739

From:

mpetrelis@aol.com

Sent:

Wednesday, July 02, 2014 1:48 PM

To:

mpetrelis@aol.com

Subject:

Save the Castro's Safeway recycling center!

While the District 8 incumbent Supervisor wants the poor and low-income folks of SF to lose a vital stream of funds from cans and bottles, our campaign fully supports keeping the recycling center operating:

https://www.facebook.com/petrelis4supe8

Please like my FB campaign page: facebook.com/petrelis4supe8

Donate via PayPal using the Petrelis4Sup2014@aol.com addy.

Send checks to: Petrelis 4 Supervisor 2014 PO Box 14943 SF, CA 94114

Let me know if you do not wish to receive these alerts.

Print Form

## **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendm	ent)
<ul><li>2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.</li></ul>	
☐ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
☐ 5. City Attorney request.	
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	• •
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the follow  Small Business Commission  Planning Commission  Building Inspection Commission  Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative	nission on
Sponsor(s):	· ·
Mar	
Subject:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Urging a Moratorium On Redemption Center Closures In San Francisco	
The text is listed below or attached:	
See attached.	
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's Use Only:	