## File Number:

(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

## Grant Ordinance Information Form

(Effective May 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors ordinances authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying ordinance:

- 1. Grant Title: Active Transportation Program Grant
- 2. Department: Public Works
- 3. Contact Person: Rachel Alonso Telephone: 415.554.4890
- 4. Grant Approval Status (check one):
  - [] Approved by funding agency [X] Not yet approved
- 5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$1,298,000.00 Grant Codes:

Grant Code	Project	
PWCR01	John Yehall Chin Safe Routes to School	
PWCR02	Redding Safe Routes to Schools	

- 6a. Matching Funds Required: None however, \$117,000 in local funds will be used.
- b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): Proposition K (local sales tax)

7a. Grant Source Agency: California Transportation Commission and/or Metropolitan Transportation Commission

- b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A
- 8. Proposed Grant Project Summary:

John Yehall Chin Safe Routes to School Project (\$514,000): To complete planning, environmental, and design work in order to improve pedestrian safety around the school.

Redding Safe Routes to School Project (\$784,000): To complete planning, environmental, and design work in order to improve pedestrian safety around the school.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: 9/1/2015 End-Date: 12/30/2016

10. Number of new positions created and funded: 0

11. Explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? N/A

- 12a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$0
  - b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? N/A
  - c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? N/A
  - d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? N/A

13a. Does the budget include indirect costs? [X] Yes [] No

- b1. If yes, how much? \$512,494.30
- b2. How was the amount calculated? Using DPW's overhead rate
- c. If no, why are indirect costs not included?

[] Not allowed by granting agency [] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services [] Other (please explain):

c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?

14. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: A resolution of local support for the project applications has been requested by July 24, 2014.

**Disability Access Checklist***				
15. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):				
[X ] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s)	[ ] Existing Structure(s) [ ] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [ ] New Structure(s)	M Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)		
16. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:				
Comments:				
		а		
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:				
Kevin Jensen (Name)				
Disability Access Coordinator				
Date Reviewed: 23 Jour	= 2014	(Signature Required)		

Overall Department Head or Designee Approval:

Mohammed Nuru

(Name)

\_\_\_\_Director, Department of Public Works \_\_\_\_\_(Title)

Date Reviewed: \_\_\_\_\_6/30/14

in

(Signature Required)