1	[Building Code - Earthquake Performance Evaluation of Private School Structures]	
2		
3	Ordinance amending the Building Code to require that existing private elementary and	
4	secondary schools obtain an evaluation by a licensed structural engineer for	
5	performance during a future earthquake, to assess a fee for Building Department	
6	review and related evaluation processing, to exempt certain buildings from the	
7	evaluation requirements, to provide that buildings where voluntary seismic retrofit	
8	work is done to specified standards shall not be identified as a seismic hazard under	
9	later-enacted local building standards, and to require that a building changing to a	
10	school occupancy classification comply with the evaluation requirements; making	
11	environmental findings and findings under the California Health and Safety Code; and	
12	directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward this Ordinance to the	
13	California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.	
14 15	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> . Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u> .	
16	Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font.</u> Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code	
17	subsections or parts of tables.	
18		
19	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:	
20	Section 1. General Findings.	
21	(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this	
22	ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources	
23	Code Sections 21000 et seq.). The Board of Supervisors hereby affirms this determination.	
24	Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No and	
25	is incorporated herein by reference.	

(b) Pursuant to Charter Section D3.750-5, the Building Inspection Commission considered this ordinance on February 19, 2014 at a duly noticed public hearing.

Section 2. Findings under the California Health and Safety Code. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that this ordinance does not modify a State "building standard," as that term is defined in Section 18909 of the California Health and Safety Code. Therefore, the finding of local climactic, geological, or topographical conditions required by Sections 18941.5 and 17958.7 is not required.

Section 3. Specific Findings. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and declares as follows:

- (a) In Section 19160 of the California Health and Safety Code, the Legislature declared that because of the generally acknowledged fact that California will experience moderate to severe earthquakes in the foreseeable future, increased efforts to reduce earthquake hazards should be encouraged and supported. Section 19161 authorizes each city, city and county, or county to assess the earthquake hazard in its jurisdiction and identify buildings that may be potentially hazardous to life in the event of an earthquake.
- (b) In December 2004, the California Seismic Safety Commission (SSC) issued a report on "Seismic Safety in California's Schools," which contains Findings and Recommendations on Seismic Safety Policies and Requirements for Public, Private, and Charter Schools. The SSC report was made in response to inquiries from members of the Legislature, the public and parents, and to concerns about the risks posed by older school buildings, the different seismic standards for public, private and charter schools, and the safety of buildings converted to school use.

(c) The data collected by the SSC for its 2004 report showed that almost 9% of California's school children attended private schools, ten counties had more than 10% of their total students enrolled in private schools, and of these ten counties San Francisco was the highest at 29.1%. Because under State law private schools are not required to meet the stringent safety requirements of public schools unless they are new buildings or have been extensively remodeled, private schools pose a greater risk than comparably aged public schools in a future moderate or large earthquake.

- (d) San Francisco's Community Action Plan for Seismic Safety and Earthquake Safety Implementation Program.
- (1) On October 17, 2011, the Office of the Mayor released the first draft of the City's Earthquake Safety Implementation Program (ESIP), which is a 30-year Workplan to update building codes, retrofit privately-owned buildings, and prepare for post-disaster recovery that encompasses 50 objectives with the goal of making San Francisco as safe as it can be before the next earthquake hits.
- (2) The ESIP Workplan is based upon, and incorporates the goals and recommendations of, the Community Action Plan for Seismic Safety (CAPSS) that was unanimously endorsed in December 2010 by an advisory group of over 60 representative stakeholders, community leaders, professional experts, and City officials. The CAPSS program was developed over a ten-year period, resulting in agreement upon acceptable earthquake impacts for San Francisco and, through dozens of meetings and workshops, development of a plan to achieve the City's resilience goals.
- (3) The CAPSS recommendations are consistent with the proposed goals and policies of the Resilient City initiative, a multi-year study program by San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association's (SPUR), as well as the Planning Department's Community Safety Element and the City's Hazard Mitigation Plan.

1	(e) The first legislative enactment under the ESIP was an ordinance mandating the
2	seismic retrofit of certain wood-frame buildings in San Francisco, which was approved by the
3	Mayor on April 18, 2013 (Ordinance No. 66-13). The next category of buildings to be
4	evaluated under the ESIP is private elementary and secondary (K-12) schools.
5	(f) Among California towns and cities, San Francisco has the highest percentage of

- (f) Among California towns and cities, San Francisco has the highest percentage of children attending private schools. Since the collapse of or extensive damage to even a few schools is an unacceptable risk, it is essential that all private schools be evaluated to assess their ability to perform in an earthquake.
- (g) A Private Schools Earthquake Working Group was formed under the ESIP to study the issue of the seismic safety of private schools in San Francisco. It met for over a year, with publicly-noticed open meetings. In its December 31, 2013 report entitled "Earthquake Risk and San Francisco's Private Schools," the Working Group found that:
- (1) San Francisco's private school buildings appear to have approximately double the risk of the City's public school buildings in future earthquakes;
- (2) 43% of the City's private school buildings have characteristics that indicate they are likely to perform well in future earthquakes;
- (3) 33% of the City's private school buildings have characteristics that indicate they might perform poorly in future earthquakes; and
- (4) for 24% of the City's private school buildings, the Working Group did not have enough information to determine likely seismic performance in future earthquakes.
- (h) As the next phase in the City's implementation of its program for earthquake preparedness and post-earthquake resilience, this ordinance mandates that all private elementary and secondary schools in San Francisco obtain an evaluation of structural safety and be rated for performance during a future earthquake.

1	(i) In 2013 The Bo	pard of Supervisors unanimously approved legislation to expand the
2	City's existing GreenFina	nceSF program to provide public financing for commercial property
3	owners to conduct both v	oluntary and mandatory seismic upgrades. This Mello-Roos style
4	funding program allows p	roperty owners to repay their loan in the form of special tax financing
5	assessments.	
6		
7	Section 4. The Bui	Iding Code is hereby amended by adding Sections 3428, 3428.1,
8	3428.2, 3428.3, 3428.4, 3	3428.5, 3428.6, 3428.7, and 3428.8, to read as follows:
9		Chapter 34
10		EXISTING STRUCTURES
11	* * *	
12	SECTION 3428 – EARTH	QUAKE EVALUATION OF PRIVATE SCHOOL STRUCTURES
13		
14	3428.1 General. Every buil	ding classified as an Educational Group E occupancy under section 305 of
15	this code that is not under t	he jurisdiction of the Division of State Architect's Structural Safety section,
16	and all non-building structu	res accessory to such buildings, shall be evaluated in accordance with the
17	provisions of this Section 34	128. All evaluations required by Section 3428 shall be conducted under the
18	supervision of a licensed str	ructural engineer.
19	Exceptions:	
20	1. <u>Evaluation is</u>	not required for buildings occupied by 25 or more persons for less than 12
21	<u>hours per wee</u>	k or four hours in any given day.
22	2. <u>Evaluation is</u>	not required for schools with an enrollment of 25 or fewer students.
23	3. <u>Evaluation is</u>	not required for buildings not classified as Group E occupancy such as
24	<u>churches, acc</u>	essory residential buildings or similar non-educational uses.
25		

1	Section 3428.1.1. Retroactivity. The requirements of Section 3428 are retroactive and shall apply to all
2	buildings and non-building structures within the scope of Section 3428 that are in existence as of the
3	effective date of Section 3428 regardless of the date of construction.
4	
5	3428.2 Scope and Criteria. Each building and non-building structure shall be evaluated using ASCE
6	41-13 with the evaluation objective of Structural Life Safety with the BSE-1E hazard and Nonstructural
7	Life Safety with the BSE-1E hazard as modified by an Administrative Bulletin to be adopted by the
8	Department. An Evaluation required by Section 3428 shall not in itself trigger any additional non-
9	earthquake related work.
10	3428.3 Evaluation Scope Submittal. Within one year of the effective date of Section 3428, the building
11	
12	owner or the owner's authorized agent shall submit to the Department an Evaluation Scope document
13	listing each structure to be evaluated, the evaluation objective to be applied, and other information
14	requested by the Department.
15	
16	3428.4 Evaluation Report Submittal. No later than three years after the effective date of Section 3428,
17	the building owner or the owner's authorized agent shall submit an Evaluation Report to the
18	Department. The Evaluation Report shall conform to content and format requirements provided in the
19	Administrative Bulletin adopted by the Department pursuant to Section 3428.6.
20	
	3428.5 Minimum Life-Safety Retrofit. For a period of 20 years after the effective date of Section 3428,
21	any building or non-building structure within the scope of Section 3428 for which voluntary seismic
22	strengthening is performed that meets or exceeds the criteria of ASCE 41-13, S-3 N-C with the BSE-1E
23	hazard shall not be identified as a seismic hazard pursuant to any local building standards adopted
24	after the effective date of Section 3428 unless the building incurred disproportionate damage, or
25	

1	otherwise has been damaged or altered so that it no longer meets the engineering criteria under which
2	it was retrofitted.
3	
4	3428.6 Administrative Bulletin. The Department shall prepare an Administrative Bulletin detailing the
5	procedural implementation requirements for this Section 3428.
6	
7	3428.7 Enforcement. Buildings and non-building structures in violation of this Section 3428 may be
8	considered to be unsafe. The Department may apply the provisions of Section 102A, including 102A.13
9	Repair and Demolition Fund, in remedying such unsafe conditions. Enforcement action may be
10	initiated by the Department for failure to comply with any of the requirements of Section 3428,
11	including failure to submit an Evaluation Scope document or Evaluation Report within the time
12	designated by Sections 3428.3 and 3428.4.
13	
14	3428.8 Fees. Fees based on standard hourly rates in accordance with the SFBC Table 1-A-D –
15	Standard Hourly Rates shall be charged to compensate the Department for review and for related
16	evaluation processing.
17	
18	Section 5. The Building Code is hereby amended by revising Section 3408.4.1, to read
19	as follows:
20	3408.4.1 Change of occupancy. In addition to the other requirements of this code, the term
21	"comply with the requirements of this code for such division or group of occupancy," as used
22	in this section, shall also mean compliance with the lateral force provisions of Section 3401.10
23	when the change results in an increase of more than 10 percent in the occupant load of the
24	entire building or structure, and which also increases the occupant load by more than 100

persons as compared to the occupant load of the existing legal use or the use for which the

building was originally designed. A building changing	g occupanc	y to an E	E occupanc	y shall	comply
	• •		•		
with Section 3428.					

Section 6. City Outreach and Assistance. The City shall develop a program focused on providing case management to school building owners, schools, and parents through providing technical information, identifying resources, and assisting schools and school building owners to comply with the requirements of Section 3428. Among other functions, this program will serve as a resource for parents, school building owners, and schools – especially those schools leasing their space – by providing the status of evaluations, assistance in completing evaluations, and any information related to seismic work and potential building impacts and costs.

Section 7. Inter-departmental working group. The City shall convene an inter-departmental working group of all agencies involved in the building permit approval process to assist with expediting and prioritizing permits for those seeking to comply with section 3428.5, and to the extent allowable by law help alleviate the requirements for any non-seismic related work required, including State and Federal mandates as well as any work subject to local discretion including but not limited to the Unreasonable Hardship provisions of Chapter 11B of the San Francisco Building Code.

Section 8. Evaluations, plans, supporting documents and other materials are subject to the standard procedures for making such information available as directed by the Department's Records Management Division.

1	Section 9. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
2	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
3	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
4	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
5	
6	Section 10. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
7	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
8	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
9	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
10	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
11	the official title of the ordinance.
12	
13	Section 11. Directions to Clerk. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby
14	directed to forward a copy of this ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission
15	upon final passage.
16	
17	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
18	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
19	By:
20	JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN Deputy City Attorney
21	n:\land\as2014\1300443\00943048.doc
22	
23	
24	
25	