File No	140455		ltem No	<u> </u>
		Board Item	NO	_ 8
(	COMMITTEE/BOAR	D OF SUP	<b>ERVISORS</b>	3
	AGENDA PACKE	T CONTENTS	3 LIST	
				•
Committee:	Government Audit and O	<u>versight</u>	Date July 10	0, 2014
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting		Date Uvlus 29	, 2014
Cmte Boar	rd	•	,	
	Motion			
	Resolution			
	Ordinance			•
	Legislative Digest			
	Budget and Legislative A		rt	. *
	Youth Commission Repo	ort		
	Department/Agency Cov	er Letter and	/or Report	
	MOU	o. 2000. a.i.a.	.o. roport	
	<b>Grant Information Form</b>			-
	Grant Budget			
	Subcontract Budget			
	Contract/Agreement			
H	Form 126 – Ethics Comn Award Letter	nission		
	Application			
H H	Public Correspondence			
	·			
OTHER	(Use back side if addition	nal space is	needed)	
	Actuarial Cost and Effect I	Report, dtd 6/2	24/14	
			<del></del>	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	
HH			<del></del>	
				· .
H		•		
Completed I	by: Alisa Miller	Date	July 3, 2014	
	by: Alisa Miller	Date		

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18.

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to create a cancer presumption for firefighter and police officer industrial disability and death as a result of duty retirement benefits. NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.

> Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (\* \* \* \*) indicate the omission of unchanged Code

[Administrative Code - Cancer Presumption for Fire and Police Retirement Benefits]

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

subsections or parts of tables.

Section 1. Findings.

- San Francisco firefighters and police officers are exposed to carcinogens, some of which are known to cause cancer, as part of their employment with the City and County of San Francisco.
- (b) A recently released study by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health ("NIOSH") found that firefighters show a sharply elevated risk of cancer compared to the general population (2009). The study found that firefighters are at an elevated risk of all cancers but that the highest rates of cancers are of the respiratory, digestive, and urinary systems. Additionally, mesothelioma (a cancer that develops from cells of the mesothelium, the protective lining that covers many of the body's internal organs) was found to be two times greater among firefighters compared to the general population, indicating likely occupational exposures to asbestos, the known cause of mesothelioma. Since the completion of the NIOSH study, the rate of breast cancer in firefighters has doubled, now making breast cancer

a statistically significant added risk. Since 2000, over 230 active and retired San Francisco firefighters have succumbed to cancer. Five of those deaths were in the first three months of 2014.

- (c) Police officers as well are exposed to health and safety risks in their occupation, including exposures that have been shown to potentially cause cancer. Several studies show an increased risk for various types of cancer in police officers. Results from three studies suggested possible increased mortality risks for all cancers, and cancers of the colon, kidney, digestive system, esophagus, male breast, and testis, as well as Hodgkin's disease (American Journal of Industrial Medicine). Further, air pollution has been generally recognized as a health hazard. Outdoor workers such as police officers (particularly officers working traffic duty) experience the highest exposure to airborne pollutants. A majority of the police workforce is exposed to various known or suspected carcinogens.
- (d) California workers' compensation laws, which provide benefits to employees for industrial injuries, include a cancer presumption for firefighters and police officers. Under those laws, specifically Labor Code Section 3212.1, cancer is presumed industrial if it develops or manifests itself during a period in which the member is in the service of a fire or police department, if the member demonstrates that he or she was exposed, while in that service, to a known carcinogen as defined by the International Agency for Research on Cancer. This presumption is disputable and may be controverted by evidence that the primary site of the cancer has been established and that the carcinogen to which the member has demonstrated exposure is not reasonably linked to the disabling cancer.
- (e) San Francisco Administrative Code Sections 16.85 and 16.86 create a presumption for firefighters and police officers applying for retirement benefits under the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System ("SFERS") who meet certain

23

24

25

eligibility criteria that any "heart trouble" or pneumonia is work related, unless there is evidence to the contrary.

Currently a San Francisco firefighter or police officer who applies to SFERS for an industrial disability retirement must establish that his or her injury or illness is industrially caused and that it incapacitates the member for the performance of his or her work duties. When a member seeks an industrial disability retirement based on cancer, currently the member must establish that the cancer is industrially caused, as well as incapacitating. Under the proposed ordinance, if a San Francisco firefighter or police officer with cancer who meets certain eligibility requirements can demonstrate work exposure to a carcinogen as defined by the International Agency for Research on Cancer, the cancer will be presumed work related in the SFERS retirement benefit application process. SFERS can offer specified evidence to rebut the presumption. The rebuttal standard in the proposed ordinance mirrors the standard in the California workers' compensation cancer presumption. Firefighters and police officers whose retirement benefits are under CalPERS or a public pension plan under the County Employees Retirement Act of 1937 receive the benefit of the workers' compensation cancer presumption in connection with their retirement benefits, because the workers' compensation determination of industrial causation (made based on the cancer presumption) is determinative for purpose of the retirement process. The proposed ordinance will give San Francisco's firefighters and police officers the benefit of the workers' compensation presumption in the retirement benefit process as is available to those other California firefighters and peace officers.

Section 2. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 16.72 to read as follows:

## SEC. 16.72. FIREFIGHTER AND POLICE OFFICER INCAPACITATED WITH CANCER – WHEN PRESUMED CONTRACTED IN THE COURSE OF EMPLOYMENT.

- (a) Subject to the limitations of subsections (b) and (c), whenever any sworn member of the San Francisco Fire Department ("Fire Department") or San Francisco Police Department ("Police Department") becomes incapacitated for the performance of his or her duty by reason of cancer that develops or manifests itself while the member is in the service of the Fire Department or Police Department, the cancer shall be presumed to arise out of and in the course of his or her employment. This presumption is disputable and may be controverted by evidence that the primary site of the cancer has been established and that the carcinogen to which the member has demonstrated exposure is not reasonably linked to the disabling cancer.
  - (b) The presumption in subsection (a) shall apply only to:
- (1) Sworn members of the Fire Department or Police Department who have served a total of five or more years in the Fire Department or Police Department. For purposes of determining whether the member has five or more years of service, time served in another fire or police department in the State of California shall be combined with service in the Fire Department or Police Department, provided that the member (A) was entitled to the same cancer presumption in his or her prior employment and (B) became a member of the Fire Department or Police Department within six months of separating from the prior employment.
- (2) Applications for industrial disability or death as a result of duty benefits under the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System ("Retirement System").
- (3) Applications for benefits in connection with cancer injuries or deaths filed on or after January 1, 2010, provided that the presumption shall not apply to an application if as of the effective date of this Section 16.72, the hearing officer assigned to hear the application under Charter Section 12.102 and Charter Section A8.518 either (A) has rendered his or her initial decision on the application and the member did not request rehearing within the time specified under the Charter, or

1	(B) has rendered an initial decision and the member timely requested rehearing under the Charter, and
2	the hearing officer has issued a decision on rehearing.
3	(c) The presumption in subsection (a) shall apply only if:
4	(1) The member demonstrates exposure, while in the service of the Fire Department
5	or Police Department, to a known carcinogen as defined by the International Agency for Research on
6	Cancer; and
7	(2) There was no evidence of cancer identified in the physical examination of the
8	member conducted as part of his or her initial hire in the Fire Department or Police Department, as
9	applicable.
10	(d) The Retirement System shall use the member's eligible prior safety service in another
11	fire or police department under subsection (b)(1) to measure the date upon which the member would be
12	qualified for service retirement.
13	
14	Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
15	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
16	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
17	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
18	
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
20	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
21	By: felles otto
22	KATHARINE HOBIN PORTER  Deputy City Attorney
23	n:\legana\as2014\1400446\00921783.doc
24	

#### **LEGISLATIVE DIGEST**

[Administrative Code - Cancer Presumption for Fire and Police Retirement Benefits]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to create a cancer presumption for firefighter and police officer industrial disability and death as a result of duty retirement benefits.

#### **Existing Law**

Currently, for purposes of applying for industrial disability or death retirement benefits under the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System ("SFERS"), San Francisco firefighters and police officers are entitled to a presumption that any "heart trouble" or pneumonia arises out of and in the course of their employment, unless there is evidence to the contrary. (See Administrative Code Sections 16.85 and 16.86.) There is no comparable cancer presumption for these retirement benefits. In addition, under state law, firefighters and peace officers are entitled to a presumption for purposes of workers' compensation claims that cancer is industrially caused. (See California Labor Code Section 3212.1.)

#### Amendments to Current Law

The proposed ordinance would create a cancer presumption for sworn members of the Fire Department and Police Department applying to SFERS for industrial disability or death as a result of duty retirement benefits. A sworn member meeting certain eligibility requirements who has cancer that develops or manifests itself while the member is in the service of the Fire Department or Police Department would be entitled to a presumption that the cancer arose out of and in the course of his or her employment. The presumption is disputable and may be controverted by evidence that the primary site of the cancer has been established and that the carcinogen to which the member has demonstrated exposure is not reasonably linked to the cancer.

#### **Background Information**

Firefighters and police officers whose retirement benefits are under CalPERS or a public pension plan under the County Employees Retirement Act of 1937 receive the benefit of the workers' compensation cancer presumption under Labor Code Section 3212.1 in connection with those retirement benefits, because the workers' compensation determination of industrial causation (made based on the cancer presumption) is determinative of industrial causation for purpose of the retirement process. The proposed ordinance will give San Francisco firefighters and police officers the benefit of the workers' compensation presumption in the retirement benefit process as is available to those other California firefighters and peace officers.

n:\legana\as2014\1400446\00921784.doc

# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

### BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

1390 Market Street, Suite 1150, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 552-9292 FAX (415) 252-0461

July 8, 2014

TO:

Government Audit and Oversight Committee

FROM:

**Budget and Legislative Analyst** 

SUBJECT:

July 10, 2014 Government Audit and Oversight Committee Meeting

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Item	File	·	Page
1	14-0455	Administrative Code – Cancer Presumption for Fire and Police Retirement Benefits	1

Item 1	Department:
File 14-0455	Retirement System

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### **Legislative Objectives**

 The proposed ordinance would amend the City's Administrative Code to provide that for any sworn member of the Fire Department or Police Department diagnosed with cancer, who meets certain eligibility criteria, the cancer shall be presumed to be work-related for purposes of applying for San Francisco Employee Retirement System (SFERS) industrial disability retirement benefits or survivor death-as-a-result-of-duty benefits.

#### **Key Points**

- San Francisco firefighters and police officers are currently entitled to a presumption that any "heart trouble" or pneumonia is caused by and in the course of their employment for the purposes of applying for industrial disability retirement benefits or survivors' death-as-a-result-of-duty benefits with SFERS. There is no comparable cancer presumption.
- Under California State law, firefighters and peace officers are entitled to a presumption for purposes of workers' compensation claims that cancer is industrially caused. This workers' compensation statute does not apply to SFERS disability retirement applications.
- The proposed ordinance would create the cancer presumption for firefighters and police officers who apply for industrial disability retirement benefits and for qualified survivors of firefighters and police officers who apply for death-as-a-result-of-duty retirement benefits.

#### Fiscal Impact

- The actuarial report, prepared by Cheiron for SFERS, estimated the costs of the cancer presumption under the proposed ordinance for 20 firefighters and police officers, of whom ten were denied industrial disability in the City since 1998 and ten have applications for industrial disability that are currently pending review.
- According to the actuarial report, the additional costs to SFERS due to the proposed cancer presumption for industrial disability retirement would be minor. The increased costs to SFERS for the 20 cases reviewed would be \$3.0 million, which is an increase of approximately 0.015 percent compared to SFERS total actuarial liability of \$20 billion.

#### **Policy Consideration**

- The costs to SFERS due to the cancer presumption may be higher than Cheiron's cost estimates as more cases may be filed, and granted, if the proposed ordinance is adopted and the presumption applies.
- Additionally, the proposed ordinance will likely increase costs for SFERS because the types of benefits being paid out to members and eligible beneficiaries are generally costlier to the City. There is no estimate available for these possible cost increases.

#### Recommendation

Approval of the proposed ordinance is a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors.

#### MANDATE STATEMENT / BACKGROUND

#### **Mandate Statement**

In accordance with Charter Section 12.100A8.500, ordinance provisions already existing with respect to the Retirement System shall continue in force until amended or revoked by the Board of Supervisors as provided in this Section. The Board of Supervisors, by a vote of three-fourths of its members, can approve any and all ordinances necessary to carry into effect the provisions of Sections 12.100 to 12.103 and the Retirement System provisions of the Charter, as set forth in Appendix Sections A8.500 et. seq.; provided that the Board of Supervisors shall secure, through the Retirement Board, an actuarial report of the cost and effect of any proposed change in the benefits under the Retirement System, before enacting an ordinance or before voting to submit any proposed Charter amendment providing for such change.

#### Background

San Francisco firefighters and police officers are currently entitled to a presumption that any "heart trouble" or pneumonia are caused by and in the course of their employment, unless there is evidence to the contrary, for the purposes of applying for industrial disability retirement benefits or survivors' death benefits with the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System (SFERS). There is no comparable cancer presumption for industrial disability retirement benefits for firefighters and police officers. As it stands, if a firefighter or police officer applies for industrial disability retirement with SFERS for cancer, they must establish that the cancer is industrially caused and that the cancer is incapacitating for the performance of their duties.

Under California State law, California Labor Code Section 3212.1, firefighters and peace officers are entitled to a presumption for purposes of workers' compensation claims that cancer is industrially caused. The State Labor Code allows for this presumption provided that "[the cancer] develops or manifests itself during a period in which any member...is in the service of the department or unit, if the member demonstrates that he or she was exposed, while in the service of the department or unit, to a known carcinogen as defined by the International Agency for Research on Cancer." This workers' compensation statute does not apply to SFERS disability retirement applications.

Studies from the scientific community are beginning to demonstrate some relationship between incidences of specific types of cancer and employment as a firefighter. According to "Mortality and cancer incidence in a pooled cohort of US firefighters from San Francisco, Chicago and Philadelphia (1950-2009)" published by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, the results of their study provide evidence of a relation between firefighting and cancer. According to the study, while the study relied upon analyses of death certificates for the projected outcomes, this method "may poorly characterize (*sic.*) cancers with relatively high survival (e.g., cancers of the breast, bladder, testes and larynx)", and therefore, understate the cancer presumption.

According to the proposed ordinance, several studies also show an increased risk for various types of cancer in police officers.

#### **DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION**

The proposed ordinance would amend the City's Administrative Code to provide that for any sworn member of the Fire Department or Police Department diagnosed with cancer, who meets certain eligibility criteria, the cancer shall be presumed to be work-related ("cancer presumption") for purposes of applying for SFERS industrial disability retirement benefits or survivor death-as-a-result-of-duty benefits. This would create the cancer presumption for firefighters and police officers employed by the City and County of San Francisco, who apply for industrial disability retirement benefits, and for qualified survivors of firefighters and police officers, who apply for death-as-a-result-of-duty benefits. Under the proposed ordinance, SFERS could offer specified evidence to rebut the presumption, mirroring the rebuttal standard established in California Labor Code, Section 3212.1.

Under the proposed ordinance, in order to be eligible for the cancer presumption when applying for industrial disability retirement benefits or death-as-a-result-of-duty benefits, the sworn member of the Fire Department or Police Department must meet the following criteria:

- 1. Sworn members of the San Francisco Fire Department or Police Department must have five or more years of service with the Fire Department or Police Department. Eligible members may count years of service in other fire or police departments in California toward the five years of service if (a) those departments also provide the same cancer presumption, and (b) no more than six months lapsed between employment in the other California fire or police department and the San Francisco Fire or Police Department.
- 2. The applications must be for industrial disability retirement or death-as-a-result-of-duty benefits under the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.
- 3. Applications must be for benefits in connection with cancer injuries or deaths filed on or after January 1, 2010. But the presumption would not be applied, as of the effective date of this proposed ordinance, if (1) the hearing officer assigned to hear the application has rendered his or her initial decision on the application and the member did not request a rehearing within the specified timeframe, or (2) the hearing officer has rendered an initial decision, the member requested a rehearing in a timely fashion, and the hearing officer has issued a decision on the rehearing.
- 4. The cancer presumption only applies if (a) the sworn member demonstrates exposure while in service of the Fire Department or Police Department to a known carcinogen as defined by the International Agency for Research on Cancer; and (b) there was no evidence of cancer identified in the physical examination of the member conducted as part of his or her initial hire in the Fire Department or Police Department.

The Retirement System shall use the member's eligible prior safety service in another California fire or police department to measure the date upon which the members would be qualified for service retirement.

The proposed ordinance would become effective 30 days after enactment, pending the Mayor's signature.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT**

The actuarial report, prepared by Cheiron for the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, estimated the costs of the cancer presumption under the proposed ordinance for 20 firefighters and police officers, of whom ten were denied industrial disability in the City since 1998 and ten have applications for industrial disability that are currently pending review. The report assumes that the ten cases that were previously denied industrial disability and the ten cases currently under review would all receive industrial disability retirement benefits under the proposed ordinance, which would presume the cancer is work-related. In order to calculate the costs to SFERS of the 20 industrial disability retirements due to the cancer presumption, Cheiron adjusted benefit costs for present value and for improvements and increases in benefits to members and their beneficiaries since 1998. Additionally, the estimates take into account Qualified Service Retirement (QSR) dates, which allow a member to receive increased benefits thereafter. The facts and information used to comprise the analysis were reportedly collected orally and from SFERS' written records.

According to the report by Cheiron to SFERS, the additional costs to SFERS due to the cancer presumption for industrial disability retirement would be minor. According to the report, and as shown in the table below, the increased costs to SFERS for these 20 cases would be \$3.0 million, which is an increase of approximately 0.015 percent compared to SFERS total actuarial liability of \$20 billion.

Table: Actuary Estimate of Increased Costs for Industrial Disability due to Cancer Presumption

	Present Value of Benefits as of July 1, 2014					
Value of Benefits for:	Denied Cases	Pending Cases	Total			
Industrial Disability due to Cancer Presumption	\$15,404,699	\$15,258,235	\$30,662,934			
Regular Service Retirement	14,492,549	13,128,353	27,620,902			
Increase in Benefit Cost due to Cancer Presumption	\$912,150	\$2,129,882	\$3,042,032			

Source: June 11, 2014 Cheiron Report to SFERS

The actuarial report assumed, but did not determine, whether the proposed ordinance would impact the decisions in the currently pending cases before SFERS or whether it would have resulted in a different outcome in the ten previously denied cases.

Based on historical data, the report concludes that the costs would be minor to the City to fund this presumption of cancer benefit. According to Mr. Jay Huish, Executive Director of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, "Under the City Charter, all administrative costs to process and adjudicate disability applications are paid from the SFERS Trust Fund. All costs related to additional or increased industrial disability benefit payments will also be paid from the SFERS Trust Fund."

#### **POLICY CONSIDERATION**

The costs to SFERS due to the cancer presumption may be higher than Cheiron's cost estimates. Therefore, the actuarial report may understate the costs associated with the proposed ordinance. More cases may be filed, and granted, if the proposed ordinance is adopted and the cancer presumption applies. While the actuarial report references this likely increase, stating that "while the presumption is disputable under the proposed ordinance, it is likely to result in an increase in the number of disabilities and deaths that are classified as industrial," it does not offer an estimate on cost. In response, Mr. Huish stated "SFERS has no current information that would cause it to believe that the current proposed ordinance will cause a significant increase in the number of industrial disability applications filed in the future."

Also, as noted in the letter from Mr. Huish to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on June 24, 2014, the proposed ordinance will likely increase costs for SFERS because: (1) benefits payable to qualified survivors of firefighter and police officer members granted industrial disability retirements are higher than those paid for service pensions, and (2) the minimum industrial disability retirement benefit of 50 percent of final compensation may be higher in certain cases than the service pension benefit for the same member. There is no estimate available for these possible cost increases.

The Budget and Legislative Analyst considers approval of the proposed ordinance to be a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors because the cancer presumption for firefighters and police expands eligibility for industrial disability retirement benefits and the precise costs to the City for offering these benefits is not known.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the proposed ordinance is a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors.



BOS-11, Cpage

City and County of San Francisco Employees' Retirement System Office of the Executive Director

June 24, 2014

File 140455

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
Room 244, City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Alisa Miller
Clerk, Government Audit and Oversight Committee
Board of Supervisors
Room 244, City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Actuarial Cost and Effect Report regarding File No. 140455 – Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to create a cancer presumption for firefighter and police officer industrial disability and death as a result of duty retirement benefits

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Ms. Miller,

The Retirement System acknowledges receipt of your referral of the above referenced proposed ordinance amending the Retirement System provisions of the Administrative Code and your request for an actuarial cost and effect report of the proposed ordinance under Charter Section A8.500.

#### **Terms of the Proposed Ordinance**

If adopted by the Board of Supervisors, the proposed ordinance would amend the Administrative Code to create a cancer presumption for firefighters and police officers who apply for industrial disability retirement benefits and for qualified survivors of firefighters and police officers who apply for death as a result of duty retirement benefits. Under the proposed ordinance, if a firefighter or police officer who applies for an industrial disability retirement based on cancer can demonstrate work exposure to a carcinogen as defined by the International Agency for Research on Cancer, then the cancer is presumed industrial. The presumption would also apply when a qualified survivor applies for a death as a result of duty retirement benefit based on cancer and can demonstrate the required work exposure of the member to a carcinogen. The presumption in the proposed ordinance is rebuttable. The rebuttal standard mirrors the rebuttal standard in the California workers' compensation cancer presumption.

#### Cost and Effect of the Proposed Ordinance

The Retirement System's consulting actuary, Cheiron, conducted an analysis of the cost and effect of the proposed ordinance. I have summarized Cheiron's analysis below. The full Cheiron report is attached.

Under the proposed ordinance, for firefighters and police officers who become incapacitated from the performance of their duties due to cancer and can demonstrate the requisite work exposure to a carcinogen, the cancer will be presumed industrially caused. The same would be true for death as a result of duty applications. While the presumption is rebuttable, it is likely to result in an increase in the number of firefighter and police officer industrial disability retirement and death as a result of duty applications where the cancer is found to be industrial. As a result, additional benefits are likely to be payable for certain firefighter and police officer retirees and their beneficiaries. In particular, (a) benefits payable to qualified survivors of firefighter and police officer members granted industrial disability retirements are higher than those paid for service pensions and (b) the minimum industrial disability retirement benefit of 50% of final compensation may be higher in certain cases than the service pension benefit for the same member.

Cheiron has determined, based on its analysis of the Retirement System's data regarding industrial disability retirement applications involving cancer (as described below), that the additional costs associated with the proposed ordinance would be minor. Specifically, as explained further below, Cheiron estimated that assuming the cancer presumption applied to historical and pending industrial disability retirement applications where cancer was identified as a basis for disability, so that the cancer was found industrial, and assuming the application was granted, then the increase in the System's actuarial liability would be approximately \$3.0 million. This estimated increase is a 0.015% increase in the \$20 billion actuarial liability for the retirement system as of July 1, 2013.

SFERS staff reviewed the medical bases for all denied firefighter and police officer industrial disability retirement applications filed since 1998. They found ten industrial disability retirement applications that listed cancer as one of the medical bases for industrial disability where the application was denied industrial disability benefits. Additionally, staff identified ten pending industrial disability retirement applications that list cancer as one of the medical bases for industrial disability. The results of Cheiron's analysis of these two groups are as follows:

Ten historical industrial disability retirement applications that listed cancer as one of the medical bases for industrial disability that were denied. Cheiron estimates that if all ten of these denied applications were instead granted industrial disability retirement benefits, the estimated increase in the present value of the retirees' benefits as of July 1, 2014 would be approximately \$0.9 million. This increase is primarily due to the increased benefits that would be provided to qualified survivors if the benefit was for an industrial disability. It is not certain that the proposed cancer presumption, had it been in effect when these applications were decided, would have changed the outcomes of any or all of the applications.

Ten pending industrial disability retirement applications that list cancer as one of the medical bases for industrial disability. Cheiron estimates that if the ten pending industrial disability retirement applications that list cancer as one of the medical bases for industrial disability are all determined to involve industrial disabilities and are granted, the estimated increase in the present value of the members' benefits as of July 1, 2104 would be approximately \$2.1 million. Cheiron reports that most of this increase (\$1.4 million) would be due to two applicants who would receive substantially larger benefits when they reach their Qualified Service Retirement dates. The remaining increases are primarily due to the increased benefits that

would be provided to qualified survivors. At this time, the outcomes of these pending applications are unknown, including whether a cancer presumption would impact the outcome.

The Retirement System will appear at the Government Audit and Oversight Committee hearing on this subject and be available to address any questions of the Committee members.

Best regards,

Jay Hulish

**Executive Director** 

San Francisco Employees' Retirement System

Attachment: Cheiron report dated June 11, 2014

cc: President David Chiu
Board of Supervisors
Room 244, City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Supervisor Scott Wiener Board of Supervisors Room 244, City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102



June 11, 2014

#### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Mr. Jay Huish, Executive Director San Francisco Employees Retirement System 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94102

Cancer Presumption for Firefighter and Police Officer Industrial Disability and Re:

Dear Jay:

As requested, we have analyzed the effect of the implementation of Ordinance No. 140455, which states that for firefighters and police officers who become incapacitated due to cancer, the cancer shall be presumed to be duty related.

While the presumption is disputable under the proposed ordinance, it is likely to result in an increase in the number of disabilities and deaths that are classified as industrial. As a result, additional benefits are likely to be payable for certain retirees and their beneficiaries. In particular, under an industrial disability, benefits payable to qualified survivors are higher and the minimum industrial disability benefit of 50% of final compensation may be higher. In addition, members who have not yet reached their qualified service retirement (QSR) date (age 50 with 25 years of service) will be eligible for an increased benefit when they do reach this date. Consequently, we cannot certify that the proposed legislation would not increase costs at all.

However, based on historical data provided, we believe the additional costs would be minor. It is our understanding that since 1998, there were 10 cancer cases that were denied industrial disability, and there are 10 pending industrial disability cases with cancer. If the 10 cancer cases that were denied industrial disability were instead granted industrial disability, the estimated increase in the present value of their benefits as of July 1, 2014 would be approximately \$0.9 million. This increase is primarily due to the increased benefits that would be provided to qualified survivors if the benefit had been classified as an industrial disability.

If the 10 cancer cases that are pending were all determined to be industrial disabilities, the estimated increase in the present value of their benefits as of July 1, 2014 would be approximately \$2.1 million. Most of this increase (\$1.4 million) would be due to the two members who would receive substantially larger benefits when they reach their Qualified Service Retirement dates. The remaining increases are primarily due to the increased benefits that would be provided to qualified survivors.

It is not clear if the proposed cancer presumption would affect the determination of industrial disability in the pending cases or if it would have changed all 10 cases that were previously



Mr. Jay Huish June 11, 2014 Page 2 of 3

denied. However, if all 20 of these cases were decided to be industrial disability due to the proposed cancer presumption, the increase in the System's actuarial liability would be about \$3.0 million. This amount compares to an actuarial liability for the retirement system of over \$20 billion as of July 1, 2013, or a 0.015% increase

In preparing this letter, we relied on information (some oral and some written) supplied by SFERS. This information includes, but is not limited to, the plan provisions, employee data and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice #23. For a summary of the plan provisions, assumptions and methods, please refer to the July 1, 2013 actuarial valuation report for SFERS.

To the best of my knowledge, this letter and its contents have been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board. Furthermore, as a credentialed actuary, I meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this letter. This letter does not address any contractual or legal issues. I am not an attorney and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.

This letter was prepared exclusively for the City and County of San Francisco Employees' Retirement System for the purpose described herein. This letter is not intended to benefit any third party, and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to any such party.

If you have any questions, please let us know.

Sincerely, Cheiron

William R. Hallmark, ASA, FCA, EA, MAAA

Willie R. Hallank

Consulting Actuary

cc: Ken Kent

Anne Harper Janet Brazelton

Mr. Jay Huish June 11, 2014 Page 3 of 3

### **Data Summary**

# City and County of San Francisco Employees' Retirement System Cancer Presumption for Firefighter and Police Officer Industrial Disability and Death Data Summary

•	Denied Cases				Pending Cases				
	Service			Industrial		Service Retirement		Industrial Disability	
	_1	Retirement	ent Disability						
Count	10 10								
Sum of Benefits Paid to Members	\$	74,099	\$	74,195	\$	69,583	\$	71,541	
Sum of Increase in Benefit at QSR Date		N/A		N/A		N/A	\$	13,395	
Sum of Benefits Paid to Beneficiaries	\$	6,468	\$	8,574	\$	4,280	\$	4,877	
Present Value of Benefits as of 7/1/2014	\$	14,492,549	\$	15,404,699	\$	13,128,353	\$	15,258,235	

#### BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

TO:

Jay Huish, Executive Director, Retirement Board

FROM:

Alisa Miller, Clerk, Government Audit and Oversight Committee

**Board of Supervisors** 

DATE:

May 8, 2014

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED: RETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and Oversight Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Chiu, on April 29, 2014, which is being forwarded to the Retirement Board pursuant to Charter, Section A8.500, which requires the Retirement Board to provide an actuarial report certifying the proposed legislation will not increase costs, other than administrative, for the City and County.

#### File No. 140455

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to create a cancer presumption for firefighter and police officer industrial disability and death as a result of duty retirement benefits.

Please forward a copy of the actuarial report to me, as soon as it is available, at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

Your report must be received before it can be considered.

c: Norm Nickens, Retirement Board

#### **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

TO:

Chief Greg Suhr, Police Department

Chief Joanne Hayes-White, Fire Department

FROM:

Alisa Miller, Clerk, Government Audit and Oversight Committee

**Board of Supervisors** 

DATE:

May 8, 2014

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and Oversight Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Chiu, on April 29, 2014, which is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes.

#### File No. 140455

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to create a cancer presumption for firefighter and police officer industrial disability and death as a result of duty retirement benefits.

If you have any reports or comments to be considered with the proposed legislation, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Christine Fountain, Police Department Kelly Alves, Fire Department

## **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby su	ubmit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
⊠ 1. F	For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendmen	t)
☐ 2. I	Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
☐ 3. F	Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
☐ 4. I	Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
☐ 5. ()	City Attorney request.	
☐ 6. 0	Call File No. from Committee.	
☐ 7. E	Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
□ 8. S	Substitute Legislation File No. 140260.	
□ 9. F	Reactivate File No.	
☐ 10. C	Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Note: For t	Small Business Commission	
Sponsor(s):		
David Chiu	, Scott Wiener	
Subject:		
Administrat	tive Code - Cancer Presumption for Fire and Police Retirement Benefits	
The text is	listed below or attached:	
See attached	d.	
Edge	Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's	s Use Only:	

140 yrv

Time stamp