File Number:	
(Provided by	Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Ordinance Information Form

(Effective May 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors ordinances authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying ordinance:

- 1. Grant Title: Castro Streetscape Improvement Project: Celebratory Lighting, Decorative Crosswalks, Historic Facts
- 2. Department: Public Works

3. Contact Person: Ananda Hirsch

Telephone: 558.4034

4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[X] Approved by funding agency

[] Not yet approved

5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$121,860

Grant Code: CBDC01

6a. Matching Funds Required: \$0

b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): N/A

- 7a. Grant Source Agency: Castro/Upper Market Community Benefit District
- b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A
- 8. Proposed Grant Project Summary:

Grants funds are for the following decorative elements of the Castro Streetscape Improvement Project: celebratory lights, special crosswalk paving, and historic facts special paving.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: March 10, 2014

End-Date: November 10, 2014

- 10. Number of new positions created and funded: 0
- 11. Explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? N/A
- 12a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$121,860
 - b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes
 - c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? Yes.

d. Is this likely to be a one-time of origoning requ	dest for contracting out	. One time		
13a. Does the budget include indirect costs?	[]Yes	[X] No		
b1. If yes, how much? \$ b2. How was the amount calculated?				
c. If no, why are indirect costs not included?[X] Not allowed by granting agency[] Other (please explain):	[] To maximize use o	of grant funds on direct services		
c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? \$7,008				
14. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:				

Disability Access Checklist*					
15. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):					
[X] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s)	[] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)			
16. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:					
Comments:					
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:					
Kevin Jensen					
(Name) Disability Access Coo (Title) Date Reviewed:		Koik Jews			
		(Signature Required)			
Overall Department Head or	Designee Approval:				
Mohammed Nuru					
(Name)					
_Director, Department of Pub (Title)	lic Works	Muse			
Date Reviewed:		(Signature Required)			