From:

714515@gmail.com

Sent:

Tuesday, November 11, 2014 7:26 PM

To:

Mark Farrell; Lamug, Joy

Cc:

Stefani, Catherine; Povlitz; kbgoss@pacbell.net; michael@jaegermchugh.com; maitsai@yahoo.com; annabrockway@yahoo.com; ericreimers@gmail.com;

maitsai@yahoo.com; annabrockway@yahoo.com; ericreimers@gmail.com; dorinetowle@me.com; vince@citymarkdev.com; Kate Kardos; cjones@forwardmgmt.com;

rwgoss@pacbell.net Goss: paulmaimai@yahoo.com; wmore@aol.com;

amanda@hoenigman.com; timothy.arcuri@cowen.com; nancy leavens nancy; Will Morehead (; dod.fraser@gmail.com; ethurston@gmail.com; DXN2700@aol.com; Sanchez, Scott (CPC);

IDick@fbm.com

Subject: Attachments: 2853 Broderick Hearing November 25th

2853 Brod permit 201307010898 101614.pdf; ATT00001.txt

Dear Supervisor Farrell and Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board:

We the Appellants, Tim Arcuri and Irving Zaretsky, request a postponement of the Hearing for the project 2853-57 Broderick street pending the issuance by DBI of Permit no. 201307010898 which has been routed to DBI for review by the Zoning Administrator on October 16, 2014. See attachment below.

The current appeal to the Board of Supervisors only includes the Permits reinstated by the Zoning Administrator on October 15, 2014. Permit no. 201307010898 was routed to DBI on October 16, 2014 and is technically not yet part of the Hearing. It has to be reviewed and issued by DBI. The Zoning Administrator stated at the Planning Commission Hearing that this is a NEW PERMIT which is composed of all past plans and permits issued for the job, all past executed work, all plans and permit applications for future work. It is supposed to be a comprehensive Permit of past plans, construction and permits as well as future plans. Therefore, the issues relevant to the CEQA Hearing are contained within the NEW PERMIT as well as new plans which may have direct impact on the CEQA issues for review.

We had hoped, in good faith, that the Permit would have been issued by now and would allow us to see what it finally contains that is relevant to the issues for review by the Board of Supervisors. We have requested of DBI to let us know what the status of the Permit is but have not heard to date.

The review by DBI of the permit may introduce new issues that impact the CEQA review. This happened in 2012 when Permit no. 201103252893 was before the Board of Supervisors. Frequently the Building Code requirements are at variance with the City Planning, historical Preservation and environment issues. Such differences may require further CEQA review.

Since it is in everyone's interest to have the Hearings bring finality to the issues on appeal, it is necessary that the New Permit be issued by DBI and we can all learn what the final content of that Permit is and how it impacts the very issues currently before the Board of Supervisors. We do not want to be in the position that after the Board of Supervisors' CEQA Hearing is complete that we then discover that the New Permit introduces new issues that are eligible for CEQA review.

This predicament has come about because the reinstated permits were addenda permits to the original permit 201103252893. They were issued piecemeal between September 2012 and February 5, 2014. Had the project sponsor submitted all her permit applications and plans at one time when she was asked to submit revised plans by the Notice of Correction issued on June 28,2013, we could have addressed all of these issues a year ago at one time when such plans were required to be submitted to a 311 notification and processed through Hearings at that time. The fact that the current permits have been split into reinstated permits and a brand NEW PERMIT is the cause why we need to have the New Permit issued and thereby have a complete

and comprehensive picture of the issues that need to be addressed at the Board of Supervisor's CEQA Hearing. The NEW PERMIT contains all the elements currently before review and in addition new material that has to be reviewed in terms of new CEQA issues which may arise.

Again, we request to postpone the Hearing pending the issuance by DBI of Permit no. 201307010898 that will allow us to have a full and complete view of what has been done and what is yet to be done in this project that requires a CEQA review and Hearing.

Sincerely,

Irving Zaretsky Tim Arcuri Appellants Neighbors on Broderick and Filbert streets

"Sanchez, Scott (CPC)" <scott.sanchez@sfgov.org>® October 16, 2014 4:59 PM To: "714515@gmail.com" <714515@gmail.com>, "Cabreros, Glenn (CPC)" <glenn.cabreros@sfgov.org>, "Lindsay, David (CPC)" <david.lindsay@sfgov.org>, "Cleveland-Knowles, Susan (CAT)" <susan.clevelandknowles@sfgov.org>, "Lowrey, Daniel (DBI)" <daniel.lowrey@sfgov.org>, "O'Riordan, Patrick (DBI)" <patrick.oriordan@sfgov.org>, "Fessler, Thomas (DBI)" <thomas.fessler@sfgov.org> Cc: "amanda@hoenigman.com" <amanda@hoenigman.com>, "kbgoss@pacbell.net" <kbgoss@pacbell.net>, "michael@jaegermchugh.com" <michael@jaegermchugh.com>, "maitsai@yahoo.com" <maitsai@yahoo.com>, "annabrockway@yahoo.com" <annabrockway@yahoo.com>, "ericreimers@gmail.com" <ericreimers@gmail.com>, "dorinetowle@me.com" <dorinetowle@me.com>, "vince@citymarkdev.com" <vince@citymarkdev.com>, Kate Kardos <kdkmanagement@yahoo.com>, "cjones@forwardmgmt.com" <cjones@forwardmgmt.com>, "rwgoss@pacbell.net Goss" <rwgoss@pacbell.net>, "paulmaimai@yahoo.com" <paulmaimai@yahoo.com>, "timothy.arcuri@cowen.com" <timothy.arcuri@cowen.com>, "wmore@aol.com" <wmore@aol.com>, Povlitz <rpovlitz@yahoo.com>, nancy leavens nancy <nancyp.leavens@gmail.com>, "Will Morehead (" <letsbond@gmail.com>, "dod.fraser@gmail.com" <dod.fraser@gmail.com>, "ethurston@gmail.com" <ethurston@gmail.com>, "DXN2700@aol.com" <DXN2700@aol.com>, "Patriciavaughey@att.net Patricia" <Patriciavaughey@att.net>, Geoff Wood <ggwood2@gmail.com>, Brooke Sampson
 brookesampson@yahoo.com>,

RE: 2853 Broderick

"IDick@fbm.com" <IDick@fbm.com>

6 Attachments, 85 KB

Dear Mr. Zaretsky,

Building Permit Application No. 201307010898 may be appealed to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of issuance by DBI. Yesterday, the permit was routed to DBI for their review. While we cannot provide a definitive date for completion of permit review and issuance by DBI, you may track the status of the permit on DBI's website.

The release of suspension for the previously issued/suspended permits is effective today (see attached).

Regards, Scott F. Sanchez Zoning Administrator

Planning Department | City and County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6350 | Fax: 415-558-6409

Limail: scott.sanchez@sfgov.org Web: www.sfplanning.org

Planning Information Center (PIC): 415.558.6377 or pic@sfgov.org Planning Information Map (PIM): http://propertymap.sfplanning.org