1	[Reversing the Exemption Determination - 2853-2857 Broderick Street]
2	
3	Motion reversing the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed
4	project at 2853-2857 Broderick Street is exempt from environmental review.
5	
6	WHEREAS, On July 3, 2014, the Planning Department determined that the proposed
7	project located at 2853-2857 Broderick Street ("Project") is exempt from environmental review
8	under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San
9	Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. The proposed project involves a dwelling unit
10	merger from two units to one unit and exterior alterations to the roof, facades and entry of an
11	existing building, and removal of exterior stairs; and
12	WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on
13	October 20, 2014, Irving Zaretsky and Tim Arcuri (Appellants) appealed the exemption
14	determination. The Appellants provided a copy of the Planning Department's Categorical
15	Exemption Determination, finding that the proposed project was exempt under Class 1 of the
16	CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. §15301); and
17	WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by
18	memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated October 24, 2014, determined that the appeal
19	had been timely filed; and
20	WHEREAS, On November 25, 2014, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to
21	consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants and, following the
22	public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and
23	WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board
24	reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the

appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before

25

1	the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to
2	the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board
3	of Supervisors reversed the exemption determination for the project based on the written
4	record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in
5	support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and
6	opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing
7	before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the
8	appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No.
9	141083 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it
10	MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors reverses the determination by the Planning
11	Department that the project is exempt from environmental review.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25