| From: | 714515@gmail.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Sunday, December 07, 2014 6:29 PM |
| To: | Lamug, Joy; Carroll, John (BOS) |
| Cc: | timothy.arcuri@cowen.com |
| Subject: | 2853 Broderick CEQA Appeal file No. 141083 Ben Ron Height Certification 10/20/2014 |
| Attachments: | 2853 Brod Ben Ron Height Cert 1014.pdf |

Dear Ms. Lamug and Mr. Carroll:
Please enclose the attached letter of height Certification by Ben Ron to the file.
Thank you, Irving Zaretsky

# RECENED AFTER THE ELEVEN-DAY DEADLINE, BY NOON, PURSUANT TO ADMIN. CODE, SECTION 31.16(b)(6) 

MARTIN M. RON ASSOCIATES, INC.
LaNosumbeors

## HEIGHT CERTIEICATION

October 20, 2014

```
To: Department af Building Inapeotion
    1660 Misalon street
    San Eranciaco, CA 94103
Gubject: Residential Remodal at 2953 & 2857 Broderick Stroet
    Agseasor' a Block 947, Lot 2, San Framcisoo
```


## Dear Sir:

On fuly 5, 2012, bafore the ramodel, our gurvey crow measured the height of the subject building at $1 t \mathrm{~s}$ southern and (roof paak) to be $36^{*}-71 / 8^{\prime \prime}$. On August 9 , 2013, our ausvay crow re-maasured the height of the subjegt building. At the southarn end of the building, the height (roof paak) was masured at 39 feet, 11-5/8 inches. At the conterline of the building, the height (roof peak) was mataured at 39 feet, 11 inches. At the northern and of the building, the height (roof peak) was mearured at 40 feet, $1-1 / 8$ inchea. The zoro point for the height measurgments is the top of curb at the center of tha lot along Broderick street.

On July 5, 2012, before the romodel, our survay crew meanured the elevation of the roof poak at the thind atory, the socond story roof, the top of the firgt atory cornice and the top of the window trim at the fitat story. All said elevation points were taken along the southarly bullding line of the subjoct property. These pointw wexe re-meagured on Apxil 30, 2013, and then again on August 9, 2013. We found the following changes in haight:

$$
7 / 5 / 12
$$

$\frac{4 / 30 / 13}{}$
$+3^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$
$+2^{\prime}-11 \quad 3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$
$+3^{\prime}-0 \quad 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$
$+3^{\prime}-31 / 4^{\prime \prime}$

6/9/13

Top of 1 at story cornioe: $0 \quad+2^{\prime \prime}-113 / 4^{\prime \prime}+3^{\prime}-17 / g^{\prime \prime}$

Segond atory roaf: 0
Roof peak at 3xd atory: 0
$+3^{\prime}-31 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ not measured

On April 24, 2013, our survey crew set three setthement monitoring pointia on tho exterior facc of the subject building. These pointa were set along the gouth and east building faces, at the southeast corner of the subject property. On August 9, 2013, our survey crew re-measured said three points and found that each poant had moved up by $0^{\prime} 1-7 / \theta^{\prime \prime}$. This upward movement explans the diffarance in moasuramonts from $4 / 30 / 13$ to $8 / 9 / 13$ in the above tabla.

Our moasuremente conclude that along the southerly building line the burlding was raised between 3 feet, $1-3 / 4$ inches and 3 feet, $1 / 2$ inches,
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    I reviewad a letter by Gregory Cook, tha Project Erginaex for the rasidential
    ramodel dated April. 30,2013 , that was addrebsed to the Department of Buildirg
    raspaetion. The lettex atateg that kr Gook's meaduramentg determined that the
    subject buidding was radsed by three foet. Sinoe the letter did not inolude
    dotails ot how the meaburemente weme datermined, r gould not verify his rasulth.
    Thank you som this opportunity to be of sefvice lf you have any fuxther
    questions, please Feel Erea to call.
    Very truly yours,
    

