File No. <u>141252</u>	Committee Item No	
	Board Item No. 57	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Committee: Date Board of Supervisors Meeting Date December 16	3, 2014
Cmte Board	
Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
HER (Use back side if additional space is needed)	
Completed by: John Carroll Date December 11, 2014 Completed by: Date	•

[Adoption of Findings Related to Conditional Use Authorization - 395-26th Avenue]

Motion adopting findings in support of the Board of Supervisors' disapproval of the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 19229, regarding the Conditional Use Authorization identified as Planning Case No. 2013.0205CEKSV on property located at 395-26th Avenue.

WHEREAS, On October 6, 2014, Stephen M. Williams filed a timely appeal protesting the approval by the Planning Commission of an application for a Conditional Use Authorization identified as Planning Case No. 2013.0205CEKSV (Motion No. 19229), authorizing the demolition of a second floor residential unit on property located at 395-26th Avenue; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors scheduled a public hearing on the appeal for November 4, 2014; at that meeting, the Board of Supervisors opened the public hearing and continued it for three weeks to November 25, 2014; on November 25, 2014, the Board conducted a duly noticed hearing on the appeal from the approval of the Conditional Use Authorization. Following the conclusion of the public hearing that day, the Board voted to disapprove the decision of the Planning Commission and denied the issuance of the requested Conditional Use Application by a vote of 10-0, in Board of Supervisors Motion No. M14-197; and

WHEREAS, In deciding the appeal, the Board reviewed and considered the entire written record before the Board and all the public comments made in support of and in opposition to the appeal; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board finds that, as provided in Planning Code, Section 317(a), San Francisco faces a continuing shortage of affordable housing. There is a high ratio of rental to

ownership tenure among the City's residents. The General Plan recognizes that existing housing is the greatest stock of rental and financially accessible residential units, and is a resource in need of protection; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board finds that the proposed project for which the Real Party In Interest sought a Conditional Use Authorization would result in the demolition of two residential units of housing subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance, Administrative Code Chapter 37, and would replace those units with new residential units that would not be subject to rent control; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board finds that the because of State law restrictions on the ability of local jurisdictions to impose rent control on units in new buildings, the number of rent controlled units in the City is irrevocably diminishing. As one measure of the loss of rent-controlled units in the City, the City Controller's City Service Performance Measure Reports for FY2007-2008 and FY2013-2014 indicate that there were 180,997 housing units subject to the Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance in 2004-2005 and only 171,305 such units in 2012-2013; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board finds that the units that would be demolished as a result of the proposed project would be permanently removed from the City's rent-controlled housing stock; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board finds that Planning Code, Section 317 establishes criteria for the Board to consider in reviewing applications to demolish or convert residential buildings, and those criteria on balance support denial of the requested Conditional Use Application. The following criteria listed in Section 317 militate against the proposed project:

 The proposed project would convert rental housing to other forms of tenure or occupancy;

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- The project would remove rental units subject to the Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance;
- The proposed project would not conserve existing housing to preserve cultural and economic neighborhood diversity;
- The proposed project would not conserve neighborhood character to preserve neighborhood cultural and economic diversity;
- The proposed project would not protect the relative affordability of existing housing;
- The proposed project would not increase the number of permanently affordable units governed by Planning Code, Section 415;
- The existing building is free of a history of serious, continuing Code violations, and has been maintained in a decent, safe, and sanitary condition. The building is structurally sound, and testimony at the hearing demonstrated that it is currently inhabited; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That for the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the proposed project is not necessary or desirable for, or compatible with, the neighborhood or the community, as required for a Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code, Section 303; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board finds that the loss of residential units subject to rent control is an adverse impact in contravention of the policies set forth in the Housing Element of the General Plan, and in Planning Code, Section 317; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That based on the foregoing findings and the entire record in Board File No. 141046, the Board of Supervisors disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion 19229, and denied the issuance of Conditional Use Authorization.

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Char	rter Amendment)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	•
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on ☐	
rase check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded Small Business Commission Planning Commission Building Inspection	Ethics Commission on Commission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use	a Imperative Form.
Sponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	
Subject:	,
Adoption of Findings Related to the Conditional Use Authorization - 395-26th Aven	nue
The text is listed below or attached:	
Motion adopting findings in support of the Board of Supervisors' disapproval of the Commission by its Motion No. 19229, regarding the Conditional Use Authorization 2013.0205CEKSV on property located at 395 - 26th Avenue.	
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	Y
For Clerk's Use Only:	

141252