Fi	le	No.	1	41	24	5

Comm	ittee	ltem	No.		
Board	Item	No		19	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date_	·		e.
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date	January	13,	20
Cmte Boar	rd		U .		
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence		oort		
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space	ce is needed)		
		Date <u>Decem</u> Date	ber 11, 2014		

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

9 10 11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

24 25

23

[Affirming the Exemption Determination - 312 Green Street]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project at 312 Green Street is exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, On January 15, 2013, the Planning Department determined that the proposed project located at 312 Green Street ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. The proposed project involves the construction of a third floor and fourth floor addition to a two-story single-family residence; and

WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on November 24, 2014, Ryan J. Patterson of Zacks & Freedman on behalf of Jack Oswald and Anneke Seley (Appellants) appealed the exemption determination. The Appellants provided a copy of the Planning Department's Categorical Exemption Determination, finding that the proposed project was exempt under Class 1 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. §15301); and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated December 1, 2014, determined that the appeal had been timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On December 16, 2014, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants and, following the public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before

the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No.

141244 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the project qualifies for an exemption determination under CEQA.

n:\landuse\mbyrne\bos ceqa appeals\312 green catex aff.docx

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter	Amendment)
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	,
✓ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to Small Business Commission Youth Commission Et Planning Commission Building Inspection Tote: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a I	hics Commission Commission
ponsor(s):	mporamie i orm.
Clerk of the Board	
Subject:	
Affirming the Exemption Determination - 312 Green Street	
The text is listed below or attached:	
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project exempt from environmental review.	et at 312 Green Street is
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	` \

For Clerk's Use Only: