THE REPORT OF TH	Capital Planning Committee	1555.11 CoB B+FClerK Cpage
Naomi M. Kelly, City		
February 23,	2015	
To:	Supervisor London Breed, Board President	
From:	Naomi Kelly, City Administrator and Capital Planning Committee Chair	
Сору:	Members of the Board of Supervisors Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board Capital Planning Committee	D dia Ve
Regarding:	(1) Supplemental appropriation request reappropriating a total of \$11,600,000 in 2012 General Obligations Bonds & General Fund revenue to fund various park and recreation capital projects.	

In accordance with Section 3.21 of the Administrative Code, on February 23, 2015, the Capital Planning Committee (CPC) approved the following action items to be considered by the Board of Supervisors. The CPC's recommendations are set forth below.

1. Board File Number: TBD	Approval of Recreation & Parks Department's supplemental appropriation request reappropriating a total of \$11,600,000 in 2012 General Obligations Bonds & General Fund revenue to fund various park and recreation capital projects.
Recommendation:	Recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the supplemental appropriation.
Comments:	The CPC recommends approval of these items by a vote of 10-0.
	Committee members or representatives in favor include: Naomi Kelly, City Administrator; Ben Rosenfield, Controller; Mohammed Nuru, Director, Public Works; Melissa Whitehouse, Mayor's Budget Office; Ed Reiskin, Director, SFMTA; Harlan Kelly, General Manager, SFPUC; Conor Johnston, Board President's Office; Thomas DiSanto, Planning Department; Ivar Satero, San Francisco International Airport; and Phil Ginsburg, Recreation and Parks Department.

To: Subject: Attachments: BOS-Supervisors FW: Treatment on Demand Assessment Report TOD Report 2015.pdf

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

On behalf of Dr. Judith Martin, Deputy Medical Director, Behavioral Health Services, attached please find the Treatment on Demand Assessment for FY 2014-2015. As required by Section 19A.30 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, the Department of Public Health reports annually to the Board of Supervisors an assessment of the demand for substance abuse treatment.

Should you have any questions, or require an original signed document, please contact Judith Martin at 255-3601 or email at <u>Judith.Martin@sfdph.org</u>.

Kathleen Minioza MHSA Project Coordinator Safe Haven Project Coordinator 1380 Howard Street, Room 406b San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 255-3556 PHONE (415) 255-3529 FAX <u>kathleen.minioza@sfdph.org</u>

PLEASE NOTE: I AM IN THE OFFICE ON TUESDAY, WEDNESDAY AND THURSDAY

City and County of San Francisco Department of Public Health

Behavioral Health Services

1380 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94103



February 24, 2015

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: Treatment on Demand Assessment

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

As required by Section 19A.30 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, the Department of Public Health reports annually to the Board of Supervisors an assessment of the demand for substance abuse treatment.

Please accept and file this report, as enclosed. If you have any questions, please call me at 255-3601 or email at <u>Judith.Martin@sfdph.org</u>.

Sincerely,

Judith Martin, MD / Deputy Medical Director, Behavioral Health Services Medical Director, Substance Use Services County Alcohol and Drug Administrator San Francisco Department of Public Health

February 24, 2015

Availability of Substance Use Treatment in San Francisco

The Department of Public Health, Behavioral Health Services, funds, supports and oversees a broad network of approximately 45 community-based substance use treatment programs. The funded capacity for fiscal year 2014-2015 is listed below, and reflects modest increases across all modalities of services.

Modality	2013-14 Beds	2014-15 Beds	Increase/ (Decrease)	
Residential	328	370	42	
Residential Detox	59	62	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	0040 44	004445		
Modality	2013-14 UDC	2014-15 UDC	Increase/ (Decrease)	
Outpatient, Day Treatment & Case Mgmt	9,330	9,396	66	
Narcotic Replacement Therapy	3,613	3,683	70	

Changes related to Medi-Cal expansion

Previously uninsured consumers of our treatment services almost invariably have qualified for Medi-Cal insurance under the expansion related to the Affordable Care Act. Accordingly, funding for services that are a Drug Medi-Cal benefit is 100% Federal for those patients. This includes virtually the entire Narcotic Replacement Therapy consumers. Buprenorphine continues to be available to indigent San Francisco residents. The previously uninsured consumers who now have benefits under Medi-Cal expansion are now able to receive their medication as a pharmacy benefit of Medi-Cal, allowing BHS pharmacy resources to focus on those requiring a higher level of support.

California Drug Abuse Treatment Access Reporting (DATAR)

Each certified drug/alcohol treatment provider is required to make monthly reports to the state through the Drug Abuse Treatment Access Reporting (DATAR) System at the end of every month. The summary reports give some indication of the status of treatment demand. For the month of December 2015, the DATAR summary report for San Francisco showed:

	Available Slots	Clients Waiting
Modality	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2015
Residential	31	35
Residential Detox	6	0
Outpatient, Day Treatment, Case		***********************
Mgmt	12	9
Narcotic Replacement Therapy	275	0

Note: Methadone slots are readily available for clients with Medi-Cal or who can afford to pay fees. The County funded low cost/no cost slots are routinely 98%+ full, but there is rarely a waiting list.

For residential and outpatient treatment, the simultaneous existence of open slots and a waiting list is due to the neighborhood locations, cultural specificity of programs, and client preferences, as well as the time delay between client notification and client registration.

BOS – 11 February 20, 2015 TO: STATE, CITY AND LOCAL OFFICIALS NOTICE OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST TO INCREASE YOUR RATES FOR THE ELECTRIC VEHICLE INFRASTRUCTURE AND EDUCATION PROGRAM (A.15-02-009)

Summary

On February 9, 2015, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) submitted an application to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for approval of its proposed Electric Vehicle Infrastructure and Education Program. PG&E's estimate of the proposal's total cost is \$654 million, which will result in an increase to rates by \$103 million to cover its costs to provide public access to electric vehicle charging stations and educational outreach. PG&E seeks approval of this application to help achieve California's greenhouse gas reduction goals by expanding the use of electricity as a cleaner transportation fuel.

Background

PG&E's proposal will provide customers with convenient access to electric vehicle charging stations. PG&E proposes to install, maintain and manage the operation of electric vehicle charging stations and related electric infrastructure at approximately 2,600 sites throughout PG&E's service territory. The sites will be located at workplaces, multi-unit dwellings and public spaces. If the application is approved, PG&E also will develop materials to promote hosting of charging stations and to raise awareness of the benefits of clean electric transportation. The program will help improve transportation options for electric vehicle owners and improve the environment.

How will PG&E's application affect me?

The proposed program will provide greater access to charging stations by expanding their availability across PG&E's service territory. The cost to electric customers over the first five years of the program will be \$5 million in 2016, \$19 million in 2017, \$41 million in 2018, \$76 million in 2019 and \$103 million in 2020.

Most customers receive bundled electric service from PG&E, meaning PG&E provides the customer electric generation as well as transmission and distribution services. If approved, distribution rates would increase for this initiative beginning the year the application is approved. A table presenting more illustrative descriptions of the impact of this application was included in a bill insert announcing this filing that was sent directly to customers in February and March.

If this application is approved, PG&E estimates that in 2020, the year with the largest requested rate increase to support this program, a bundled service residential customer using 500 kWh per month would see an average bill increase of \$0.90 (or 1.0 percent) a month, from \$88.39 to \$89.29. Individual customers' bills will differ based on their monthly usage levels and their rate plan.

How will PG&E's applications affect non-bundled customers?

Direct Access (DA) and Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) customers receive electric transmission and distribution services from PG&E and are required to pay the same transmission and distribution rates as bundled customers. The impact of PG&E's application on DA and CCA customers is \$8.2 million, or an average increase of 1.1 percent.

Another category of non-bundled customers is Departing Load customers. These customers do not receive electric generation, transmission or distribution services from PG&E for their departing load. However, like DA and CCA customers, they are required to pay certain additional charges that are impacted in the recovery costs in this application. The impact on Departing Load customers is \$3,064, or an average decrease of 0.01 percent.

How do I find out more about PG&E's proposals?

If you have questions about PG&E's application, please contact PG&E at **1-800-743-5000**. TDD/TTY users call **1-800-652-4712**. Para más detalles llame al **1-800-660-6789** • (詳情請致電) **1-800-893-9555**

If you would like a copy of PG&E's application and exhibits, please write to PG&E at the address below.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company Electric Vehicle Infrastructure & Education Program P.O. Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120

A copy of PG&E's application and exhibits are also available for review at the CPUC, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Monday–Friday, 8 a.m.–noon. PG&E's application (without exhibits) is available on the CPUC's website at <u>www.cpuc.ca.gov/puc</u>.

How does the CPUC's decision-making process work?

This Application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate.

1

After considering all proposals and evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision which may adopt PG&E's proposal, modify it or deny it. Any CPUC Commissioner may sponsor an alternate decision. The proposed decision, and any alternate decisions, will be discussed and voted upon at a scheduled CPUC Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call **1-415-703-1584**, email **ora@cpuc.ca.gov** or visit ORA's website at <u>http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx</u>.

Stay informed

If you would like to follow this proceeding, or any other issue before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC's free subscription service. Sign up at: <u>http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov/</u>.

If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC processes, you may access the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office (PAO) webpage at **www.cpuc.ca.gov/puc** and click on "Public Advisor" from the CPUC Information Menu. You may also contact the PAO as follows.

Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

Mail: Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2103 San Francisco, CA 94102 Call: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 TTY 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-5282

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
То:	BOS-Supervisors
Subject:	FW: possible violations of San Francisco labor laws

From: Your Narrator Dan [mailto:mongo@phrenology.net]
Sent: Monday, February 23, 2015 5:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: possible violations of San Francisco labor laws

I am a union stagehand working in the Moscone convention center under contract with latse Local#16 currently working an event for Adobe systems as a contractor for an audio visual company. It was brought to my attention that additional labor used for room attendants has been provided by a company called Event Force, of Kirkland, Washington, and that many of their employees are not compensated but instead, their church is paid their wage. I am wondering if this is legal, and if perhaps they are attempting to claim this as a tax deductible donation. I understand this company also uses these volunteers for their Microsoft events. One online source reports that the volunteers are expected to pay their own way here, and will not be reimbursed for lodging or travel. Please let me know if there is anything that can be done about this situation.

Thank You, Daniel Rubin mongo@phrenology.net

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Subject:	FW: CASE 2012.0877E - 1546-1550 Market

From: Dennis Hong [mailto:dennisj.gov88@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, February 23, 2015 12:58 PM
To: Bollinger, Brett (CPC)
Cc: Jones, Sarah (CPC); Kim, Jane (BOS); Christensen, Julie (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Pereira, Monica (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Lee, Mayor (MYR)
Subject: CASE 2012.0877E - 1546-1550 Market St.

St.

Mr. Brett Bollinger, Lead Planner SF Planning Department 1650 Mission Street Suite #400 San Francisco, CA. 94103

February 23, 2015

Dear Mr. Bollinger, Members of the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commissioners,

I would like to comment on the proposed Project, Case Number 2012-0877E - 1546-1550 Market Street - I am in strong support of this Project. It is an enhancement to both the City and this area. The environment (West) of Van Ness Ave.is getting much better. The developer and the Planning Depart has done a nice job working together on this DEIR. Hello, my name is Dennis Hong and I am a resident of San Francisco. For over forty years I worked in this area (windy). Specifically at One South Van Ness, 30 Van Ness and the 1455 Market Street complex. I know the area well. I worked on the original development of 1455 Market Street. I hope these comments and this email format address my concerns. With that said, I have the following comments to the (NOP) October 22, 2014 - DEIR:

1. This Project is has an overlap (area) of several other City Master Plans, such as: the "Better Market Street Plan-2014.0012E,", the Mid/Central Market Street Plan-5th St to Van Ness Ave" and the SoMa Plan. They may or may not be fully covered under this Project but should be considered in the final EIR.

2. Will the this project cover the Future; Honda/Boas Site 1535-1599 Market Street, the site of the Goodwill and 490 So Van Ness. I sort of recall several other Projects in this project area. can they be identified in the final EIR?

3. Minor details: On floors 7-10 and 12 in each of the unit/s A it shows a stairwell inside each of these units. Where do these stairs go to?

4. The Project projects a 20 month construction period. Would it be possible to show a time line of this project and other projects in this area?

5. Other than the "Visual Simulation Pictures (fig 17 and 18) - could the final exterior finishes be shown, such as colors and materials?

6. The increase of vehicle traffic and pedestrians created from this project are significant. This is a very busy intersection/area in the City - both in terms of vehicles, transit and pedestrians. There have been several accidents at this intersection. What controls are in place during and after construction. Would a traffic study with traffic control lights be of any benefit here?

7. As indicated in the report - "wind study" - in fact this is part of the city's windist part of town. Are there any additional controls in place to minimize the "construction dust" because there are several restruants in this area.

8. As with any other Project I would like to see this project expedited.

9. How does this project impact the citys Affordable Housing Meter or if any other entitlements?

If for some reason I had left anyone off this list, please share this email with them.

Thanking all of you in advance for your attention to this Project. Please include my comments to the Final EIR and place me on the distribution list for the Comments-Response to this DEIR.

I appreciate the opportunity to review and comment on this Project. If you have any question to my comments, I can be reached at <u>dennisj.gov88@yahoo.com</u>

Best regards, Dennis Hong

Dennis Hong 101 Marietta Drive San Francisco, CA. 94127

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
То:	BOS-Supervisors
Subject:	FW: Equal Pay Advisory Board

From: Kelly Welsh Dwyer [mailto:kellwelsh@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 2:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Avalos, John (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); wendy.aragon@gmail.com
Subject: Equal Pay Advisory Board

Supervisors:

I am writing to recommend Wendy Aragon to the Equal Pay Advisory Board.

Wendy is an amazing voice for women. As a woman of color, Wendy would be a great asset to this Board. Wendy has vast experience in politics as a member of numerous Democratic Clubs and Women's Organization. But Wendy's own advocacy for women in her daily life is what makes me believe she would ensure women are being treated fairly. Further, her work background in the construction industry gives her knowledge of a field where women are typically held to different standards than men.

I encourage you to appoint Wendy Aragon to Seat 1.

Sincerely,

Kelly Dwyer President, New Avenues Democratic Club Member, Democratic County Central Committee From: Subject: Attachments: Board of Supervisors (BOS) File 150181 FW: Equal Pay Advisory Board - Letter of Support Wendy Aragon Board Recommendation.docx

From: Kelly Groth [mailto:kkgroth@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2015 9:52 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Avalos, John (BOS); Hsieh, Frances (BOS); Pollock, Jeremy (BOS); Rubenstein, Beth (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Quizon, Dyanna (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Bruss, Andrea (BOS); Chan, Yoyo (BOS)
Subject: Equal Pay Advisory Board - Letter of Support

Supervisors Tang, Cohen, and Avalos,

Attached is my recommendation for Wendolyn Aragon to be appointed to the Equal Pay Task Force.

1

Thank you, Kelly Groth Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisors Tang, Cohen, and Avalos,

First, I would like to thank the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor for approving the Equal Pay Ordinance. The Equal Pay Advisory Board's recommendations and findings will hopefully set forward a standard that the nation will soon follow and uphold itself to.

I am writing to recommend Wendy Aragon be appointed to this advisory board. Having worked in the construction industry for over 10 years, Wendy is an impressive choice for Seat 1. A report released in December 2014 by the Department for Professional Employees, AFL-CIO states that less than 10 percent of those employed in the construction industry are women¹. Nearly half of that 10 percent are positions in administrative tasks.

Fortunately Wendy works for a construction company that was rated one of the best places to work by SF Business Times in 2013. Her employers pay a living wage, have flexible paid time off, and have a 50 percent ratio of women in project management. Notably, that ratio far surpasses what the Department for Professional Employees reported.

In addition, Wendy will bring diversity to the board, representing Latinas throughout the city. While white women make 80 cents to the dollar that a man does for the same work, women of color make even less. Latinas have the largest wage discrepancy in the gender pay gap, earning 54 cents to the dollar for the same work as white men.

Currently I serve on a Citizens' Advisory Committee with Wendy, of which she chairs. During the time I have spent on the board, she is always amenable to working with every member to achieve a common goal and understanding. She is extremely active in the community in her role as chair, and I have no doubt that she will be just as active and forward thinking when serving on this advisory board.

I have no doubt that the five candidates for the advisory board are strong contenders. However, I respectfully ask for your support of Wendy Aragon's appointment to Seat 1 of the Equal Pay Advisory Board.

Sincerely,

Kelly Groth

¹ Department for Professional Employees, AFL-CIO. Women in the Professional Workforce. <u>http://dpeaflcio.org/professionals/professionals-in-the-workplace/women-in-the-professional-and-technical-labor-force/ -_edn4</u> Updated Feb 2015

From: To: Subject: Attachments: Board of Supervisors (BOS) BOS-Supervisors File 141095 FW: San Francisco Safe Drug Disposal Ordinance (File # 141095) – Support CAW Letter of Support SF SDD 022415.pdf

From: Sue Vang [mailto:suevang@cawrecycles.org]
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 3:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Major, Erica
Subject: San Francisco Safe Drug Disposal Ordinance (File # 141095) – Support

Dear Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of Californians Against Waste and its thousands of members in the San Francisco region, I respectfully submit a letter in support of the San Francisco Safe Drug Disposal ordinance. Thank you for your attention and leadership on this issue.

1

Sincerely,

Sue Vang

Policy Analyst | Californians Against Waste 921 11th Street, Suite 420 | Sacramento, CA 95814 (p) 916-443-5422 | (f) 916-443-3912 www.cawrecycles.org

Get updates and support us on Facebook, Twitter, or Causes!



February 24, 2015

London Breed, President of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: San Francisco Safe Drug Disposal Ordinance (File # 141095) - Support

Dear President Breed,

Californians Against Waste (CAW), on behalf of its thousands of members in the San Francisco region, strongly urges the Board of Supervisors to support the San Francisco Safe Drug Disposal ordinance. The use of pharmaceutical drugs in the United States has exploded in recent years—more than doubling in sales from 2000 to 2008—and this will only continue to grow as our population ages. While the amount of pharmaceuticals in our homes has increased, there is still no proper method to dispose of unused and expired drugs.

The traditional methods of flushing drugs down the drain or throwing them in the trash create an array of threats to public health and safety, and the environment. Wastewater treatment plants are not designed to treat drugs that are flushed down toilets and sinks, and drugs disposed in landfills can leach into the groundwater system. Pharmaceutical compounds have already been detected in our waterways and soils. Furthermore, drugs that aren't properly disposed of can pose a health hazard when abused by unintended users.

For more than two years, San Francisco's pilot program has allowed residents to drop off medications at local police stations and 13 participating programs, successfully diverting over 18 tons of pharmaceuticals from San Francisco waterways. If adopted, the ordinance would require pharmaceutical manufacturers to develop, implement, and fund safe and convenient programs for the disposal of household drugs. This would build on the success of the pilot program to keep even more pharmaceutical waste out of our waterways each year.

There are other manufacturer-funded drug disposal programs that have been adopted or implemented, including programs in Alameda County and British Columbia. The British Columbia program has been in place since 1996 and proves that drug disposal programs can be run smoothly and efficiently. At a cost of \$315,000 (CAD) divided among pharmaceutical manufacturers, a non-profit organization operates a program for a population of 4.4 million.

While it is in no one's interest to unnecessarily increase the cost of pharmaceuticals, the public is already paying the cost of mismanagement. The pharmaceutical industry has proven to be one of the most profitable sectors of the economy in the last decade, and requiring this sector to internalize the cost of proper end-of-life management of their products would represent less than .01% in the cost of pharmaceuticals.

It is time to stand up to the pharmaceutical industry and require that they fund the proper disposal of their products. We thank you for your leadership on this issue, and urge the Board to adopt the Safe Drug Disposal Ordinance.

Sincerely,

Mark Murray, Executive Director

cc: Members of the Board of Supervisors

From: To: Subject: Attachments: Board of Supervisors (BOS) BOS-Supervisors File 141095 FW: C-Page Submittal - Dated Feb 26, 2015 20150227141730216.pdf

1

From: Major, Erica
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2015 2:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: C-Page Submittal - Dated Feb 26, 2015

Greetings,

Please see attached for a submittal that was presented in committee for GAO on Feb. 26, 2015.

Thanks.

Erica Major

Assistant Committee Clerk Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163



SUBILITTED IN CAUNITREE FEBRUINPY 26, 2015 FILE NO. 141095

February 25, 2015

The Honorable London Breed President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl #244, San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: SUPPORT: Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship

Dear Supervisor Breed,

Alcohol Justice (AJ) and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition (SRADC) extend their <u>strong</u> <u>support for the approval</u> of the "Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship" program as authored by yourself and co-sponsored by Supervisor Eric Mar.

AJ and SRADC build constituencies of concern and action, uniting youth and adult groups to discourage youth alcohol, marijuana, opiate and prescription drug misuse. We use environmental strategies to build youth leadership, support alcopop-free zones, work to reduce alcohol and marijuana use and abuse among underage youth, and reduce opiate and prescription drug abuse throughout the SF Bay Area.

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal. Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in this drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources. Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community.

We urge your 'AYE' vote of SUPPORT at the Government Audits and Oversight Committee meeting on February 26, 2015, and full recommendation to the Board of Supervisors.

Yours Truly, mus Lee Lewingoto

Bruce Lee Livingston Executive Director / CEO Alcohol Justice

24 Belvedere Street, San Rafael, CA 94901 • t 415-456-5692 • f 415-456-0491

alcoholjustice.org

ALCOHOL JUSTICE The Industry Watchdog

February 25, 2015

The Honorable Norman Yee San Francisco Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl #244, San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: SUPPORT: Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship

Dear Supervisor Yee,

Alcohol Justice (AJ) and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition (SRADC) extend their <u>strong</u> <u>support for the approval</u> of the "Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship" program as authored by Board President Breed and co-sponsored by Supervisor Eric Mar.

AJ and SRADC build constituencies of concern and action, uniting youth and adult groups to discourage youth alcohol, marijuana, opiate and prescription drug misuse. We use environmental strategies to build youth leadership, support alcopop-free zones, work to reduce alcohol and marijuana use and abuse among underage youth, and reduce opiate and prescription drug abuse throughout the SF Bay Area.

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal. Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in this drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources. Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community.

We urge your 'AYE' vote of SUPPORT at the Government Audits and Oversight Committee meeting on February 26, 2015, and full recommendation to the Board of Supervisors.

Yours Truly,

me Lee Livington

Bruce Lee Livingston Executive Director / CEO Alcohol Justice

24 Belvedere Street, San Rafael, CA 94901 • t 415-456-5692 • f 415-456-0491 alcoholjustice.org

ar Tai



February 25, 2015

The Honorable Julie Christensen San Francisco Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl #244, San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: SUPPORT: Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship

Dear Supervisor Christensen,

Alcohol Justice (AJ) and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition (SRADC) extend their <u>strong</u> <u>support for the approval</u> of the "Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship" program as authored by Board President Breed and co-sponsored by Supervisor Eric Mar.

AJ and SRADC build constituencies of concern and action, uniting youth and adult groups to discourage youth alcohol, marijuana, opiate and prescription drug misuse. We use environmental strategies to build youth leadership, support alcopop-free zones, work to reduce alcohol and marijuana use and abuse among underage youth, and reduce opiate and prescription drug abuse throughout the SF Bay Area.

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal. Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in this drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources. Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community.

We urge your 'AYE' vote of SUPPORT at the Government Audits and Oversight Committee meeting on February 26, 2015, and full recommendation to the Board of Supervisors.

Yours Truly,

To nume Lee Livropton

Bruce Lee Livingston Executive Director / CEO Alcohol Justice

> 24 Belvedere Street, San Rafael, CA 94901 • + 415-456-5692 • f 415-456-0491 alcoholjustice.org

To: Alcohol From: Michael Lvon

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

California Alliance for Retired Americans, Gray Panthers of San Francisco, and Senior & Disability Action urge you to pass the Safe, Convenient Disposal of Unwanted Medicines ordinance before you. Besides heart attacks and strokes, medication errors are the highest cause of Emergency Room visits by seniors. These accidents happen because our medications are changed frequently and because we are given different medicines to take home after hospital stays.

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community.

Drug companies can easily afford to pay for this program. Their outrageous profits and high prices are responsible for many patients going without needed medications and for burdening Medicare and Medicaid with huge expenses. Pharmacy Professor Donald Light has calculated that 80% of the research expenses for medicines are paid by public funds, so they do not deserve to have proprietary ownership of these medicines in the first place. Sincerely,

Michael Lyon 1536B Tyler St Berkeley, CA, 94703

From: Bruce Wolfe, M.S.W.

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Bruce Wolfe, M.S.W. 1951 Page St San Francisco, CA, 94117

From: Tes Welborn

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

Many San Francisco residents have unused prescription drugs that they either dump in the toilet or trash, or pile up, not knowing where it is safe to dispose of them.

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Tes Welborn 2001 Oak St San Francisco, CA, 94117 From: Mara J. Math

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The Drug Take-Back measure sponsored by Supervisors Mar and Breed is a profoundly necessary one, as I have witnessed first-hand. I have found needle sharps and syringes in several locations throughout the park that my service dog and I visited, and it's a safe bet this is one thing that absolutely cannot be blamed on the dogs.

11 47 4

At home, I have an entire small drawer filled with outdated prescription drugs and ones I have discontinued, because I have never been able to make it to one of the few Take Back days/locations. The Mar/Breed legislation would be of enormous use in helping me safely dispose of these.

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Mara J. Math 792 Dartmouth St San Francisco, CA, 94134

From: Thomas Beck

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Thomas Beck 18 Dittos Ln Apt 3 Los Gatos, CA, 95030

L 1/1

To:AlcoholFrom:J. DuerrSubj:Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

J. Duerr 6280 S Land Park Dr Sacramento, CA, 95831

From: Annette Kunzman

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

படா

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Annette Kunzman 1028 10th St Manhattan Beach, CA, 90266



From: Susan Gray

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Susan Gray 10 Hillcrest Rd Belvedere Tiburon, CA, 94920



To:AlcoholFrom:Sara HaynesSubj:Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Sara Haynes PO Box 412 Belvedere Tiburon, CA, 94920



From: Karen Kuhn

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Karen Kuhn 24 Belvedere St San Rafael, CA, 94901 To:AlcoholFrom:Traci CrossSubj:Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Traci Cross 281 Honeysuckle Ct Brentwood, CA, 94513

From: Sheila Ganz

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

ப

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Sheila Ganz 1546 Great Hwy San Francisco, CA, 94122

To:AlcoholFrom:Robert LeveringSubj:Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Robert Levering 65 Cleary Ct Apt 8 San Francisco, CA, 94109

From: Oscar Talaro

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Oscar Talaro 802 E J St Chula Vista, CA, 91910

From: John Martinez Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

John Martinez 323 N Soto St Los Angeles, CA, 90033

From:Steve HeiligSubj:Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The San Francisco Medical Society (and California Medical Association) supports these programs.

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community.

Thank you! Sincerely,

Steve Heilig 1003A Oreilly Ave San Francisco, CA, 94129 To:AlcoholFrom:Jennifer WillisSubj:Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Jennifer Willis 40 Fillmore St San Francisco, CA, 94117

From: Ron Nieberding

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Ron Nieberding 88 Perry St Apt 537 San Francisco, CA, 94107

From: Amanda X. Rodriguez, MA, MFT

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Amanda X. Rodriguez, MA, MFT 175 21st Ave Apt 202 San Francisco, CA, 94121

From: Marsha Epstein MD MPH

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

As a physician I'm very aware of the problem of dangerous unused drugs.

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Marsha Epstein MD MPH 3200 Butler Ave Los Angeles, CA, 90066

From: Jacki Ruby

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Jacki Ruby 2633 Etna St Berkeley, CA, 94704 To: Alcohol
From: Michael Scippa
Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Alcohol Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Michael Scippa PO Box 412 Belvedere Tiburon, CA, 94920 To:AlcoholFrom:Caroline ScippaSubj:Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Caroline Scippa 100 4th St Sausalito, CA, 94965 To: Alcohol From: Marc Snyder M.D.

Subj: Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Marc Snyder M.D. 3942 22nd St San Francisco, CA, 94114

From:Michael GreenleeSubj:Vote YES on The Safe Drug Dispoasal Stewardship Program

Advocate Justice

Stanislaus County has a similar program " Drop the Drugs" very successful it keeps the medications out of the hands of people that are stealing them and out of our wastewater treatment facilities

The City and County of San Francisco are threatened by tons of dangerous, unused and excess drugs, both legal and illegal.

Like alcohol, these drugs negatively affect the environment and the health and safety of all residents and visitors.

Please require that any producer of a drug offered for sale in San Francisco must participate in a drug disposal plan to collect and dispose of unwanted drugs from residential sources.

Making drug companies responsible for taking back and safely disposing of unused medications is a great public health policy. I join with Alcohol Justice and the San Rafael Alcohol and Drug Coalition in extending my support for approval of this ordinance.

Passing the Safe Drug Disposal Stewardship program will greatly enhance the health and wealth being of San Francisco and the entire Bay Area community. Sincerely,

Michael Greenlee 416 Redford Ln Modesto, CA, 95350 From: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors (BOS) BOS-Supervisors FW: CleanPowerSF

From: tesw@aol.com [mailto:tesw@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2015 3:27 PM
To: Lee, Mayor (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Hood, Donna (PUC)
Subject: CleanPowerSF

D5 Action c/o Teresa Welborn 2001 Oak Street San Francisco CA 94117

February 25, 2015

Mayor Ed Lee RE: Request of City Leaders to Launch CleanPowerSF in 2015 Room 200, City Hall 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102 mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org

San Francisco Board of Supervisors c/o Office of the Clerk of the Board Room 244, City Hall 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102 Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission 525 Golden Gate Avenue, 13th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 dhood@sfwater.org

Dear Mr. Mayor, Supervisors, and Commissioners,

Since the creation of CleanPowerSF by the Board of Supervisors in 2004, San Franciscans have been excited about the opportunity to choose a clean energy option for electricity to power their homes and businesses.

D5 Action would like to see CleanPowerSF prioritize and invest in the development of local renewable energy resources as a powerful tool to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, speed up the transition to the clean energy, address equitable economic development and avert catastrophic climate disruption. Investing in local clean energy development builds wealth in our communities and helps create family-sustaining jobs. CleanPowerSF should increase union participation in the renewable energy sector and offer opportunities and resources to low-income communities and individuals in San Francisco.



D5 Action urges the SFPUC to move forward with CleanPowerSF by setting not-to-exceed rates for the program in

Spring of 2015 and establishing a launch date and/or period as soon as possible, or no later than September 30th, 2015.

Sincerely,

Teresa Welborn D5 Action Coordinator

2001 Oak Street

San Francisco CA 94117

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)		
To:	BOS-Supervisors; Somera, Alisa (BOS)		
Subject:	File 150138 FW: Nick Belloni for Entertainment Commission		
Importance:	High		

From: Ted Loewenberg [mailto:tedlsf@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2015 9:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Nick Belloni for Entertainment Commission
Importance: High

Supervisors,

This morning, the Rules Committee will consider the nomination of Mr. Belloni to the Entertainment Commission. I urge you to support his appointment.

Nick has direct experience in the entertainment business and is active in dealing with the issues facing the commission. He is dedicated to addressing and mitigating entertainment impacts on our community, while also working to ensure the industry can thrive in our city. It is a balancing act, to be sure. Nick Belloni gets it, and will serve both purposes well as an Entertainment Commissioner. Please approve his nomination.

Ted Loewenberg San Francisco

tedlsf@sbcglobal.net

"It's got to come from the heart, if you want it to work."

- M-- 1 * /m.~~

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
То:	BOS-Supervisors
Subject:	FW: CPUC's Jurisdiction Over TNCs

From: Marcelo Fonseca [mailto:mdf1389@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2015 5:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: CPUC's Jurisdiction Over TNCs

Governor Jerry Brown

Senate Transportation and Housing Committee Senator Jim Beall (Chair) Senator Anthony Cannella (Vice Chair)

California State Assembly Committee on Transportation Assembly Member Jim Frazier (Chair) Assembly Member Katcho Achadjian (Vice Chair)

California State Assembly Committee on Utilities and Commerce Assembly Member Anthony Rendon (Chair) Assembly Member Jim Patterson (Vice Chair)

CC/

Senator Mark Leno Senator Jerry Hill Senator Benjamin Allen Senator Patricia C. Bates Senator Ted Gaines Senator Tony Mendoza Senator Richard D. Roth Senator Bob Wieckowski Senator Ricardo Lara Senator Richard Pan

CC/

Assembly Member Adrin Nazarian Assembly Member Susan Bonilla Assembly Member Nora Campos Assembly Member Catharine Baker Assembly Member Richard Bloom Assembly Member Eric Linder Assembly Member Kristen Olsen Assembly Member Ling Ling Chang

CC/

CPUC President Michael Picker ALJ Robert Mason III CPUC Public Advisor

CC/ Mayor Ed Lee City Attorney's Office Board of Supervisors SFPD Commission SFMTA Board

CC/ DMV Director Jean Shiomoto

Based on a ruling by ALJ Robert Mason and dethroned President Michael Peevey, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) claimed jurisdiction over Uber, Lyft and SideCar, now labeled Transportation Network Companies (TNCs).

Numerous groups of the San Francisco taxi industry have participated in this TNC rule-making process from the very beginning and we have vehemently disagreed with the CPUC's handling of this matter.

Under its previous president, who resigned amid investigation, the CPUC has been known for its bad record and its culture of complacency regarding public safety. Warnings from TNCs' short history clearly prove the Commission has contradicted its mandate to protect the public.

As this process slowly drags on, into a new presidency, no objective legal decisions are being made. The CPUC's Safety & Enforcement Division (SED) remains under-staffed and unable to enforce any rules nor regulations its Policy & Planning Division (PPD) may come up with. Public safety continues to be jeopardized as the Commission fails its claim to rule and regulate more than 10,000 private vehicles operating outside safety rules in the City of San Francisco alone.

An app is just a form of communication through a phone; downloading and using it to summon a ride home does not make TNCs pre-arranged services. App e-hails are on-demand services and therefore, should not exempt TNCs from following existing laws nor exempt TNCs from being under municipal jurisdiction in the municipalities where they provide the very same services taxis do. This ruling needs to be reviewed and reconsidered.

TNCs, with a do-anything-to-win attitude, have created a very dangerous environment on the streets of San Francisco. Their anti-regulatory philosophy has taken the young by storm and lawmakers are just going along with it. In this overly saturated market, in this pandemonium created by this race to the bottom, TNCs' and taxi drivers pose great danger to the public as they recklessly compete for business. The CPUC's Safety & Enforcement Division is nowhere to be found; the San Francisco Police Department and our Municipal Transportation Agency seem to have been ordered by Mayor Ed Lee to leave TNCs alone.

Behemoth Uber and its prickly, petulant and well honed CEO accused the taxi industry of being woven into the political machinery; however, the biased way our city and state officials have handled this matter proves the political machinery has despised and neglected the taxi industry. Mayor Lee, the City of San Francisco, the CPUC and legislators in Sacramento have ignored the dangers of this regulatory-free environment, making it a very hospitable climate for TNCs to play dirty and thrive.

This past January, Assemblywomen Kristen Olsen and Ling Ling Chang threatened the DMV with legislation over the "nonsensical" interpretation of an 80-year-old-law that requires vehicles involved in commercial activities to have commercial plates and commercial liability.

http://www.bizjournals.com/sanfrancisco/blog/2015/01/assembly-gop-dmv-uber-commercial-license-plate.html?full=true

It bothers me tremendously that both Assembly Members do not want this law applied to TNCs in order to promote growth of these yet-to-be-regulated companies and job security for their drivers while cab companies are potentially going broke and thousands of taxi operators are potentially going out of business. It is "nonsensical" that it only took 24 hours for DMV Director Jean Shiomoto to succumb to pressure from Assembly Members/TNCs and not uphold the law. It is absolutely "nonsensical" to think this law only applies to taxis.

Why not pass legislation to have every vehicle ferrying people around for profit to operate within existing transportation and safety rules? Why give TNCs such special privileges and regulate them in such a significantly and fundamentally different manner from taxis? Why persist on a TNC tailor-made app-on/app-off insurance, when we all know that is what made it necessary for Sofia Liu's family to sue Uber? And if you really believe that is the proper insurance for vehicles engaged in commercial activities, why not a meter-on/meter off taxi tailor-made insurance?

2

As a career taxi driver, it is very difficult not to show any emotions when writing to any of you. In their ceaseless ambition to dominate transportation, TNCs will not stop here. They have, knowingly, circumvented laws as lawmakers and law enforcement agencies just stood idly by. Your complacency regarding these operations in such a lightly-regulated environment is pathetic; your neglect of the taxi industry is unjust; your inability and lack of action to level the playing field of competition to make it safer for consumers is unacceptable.

http://money.cnn.com/video/technology/2013/07/24/t-bst-uber-lyft.fortune/

It is quite alarming to read the papers and find our state regulatory agency under State and Federal investigations. It shocking and beyond my comprehension, that names mentioned in these investigations, with personal ties and cozy dealings with the ones they are supposed to regulate, still are active members of the California Public Utilities Commission. http://m.sfgate.com/news/article/Agents-search-Michael-Peevey-s-home-in-PG-E-6047151.php http://www.sfgate.com/news/article/PG-E-e-mails-may-prove-pivotal-in-building-case-6082926.php

Again, rules and regulations are crafted to protect the public and make society more functional. You can't have two kinds of public transportation in San Francisco and throughout California, where one is regulated and the other one is not. This chaos on our streets needs to be looked at more carefully.

Thank you for your time.

Marcelo Fonseca mdf1389@hotmail.com 415-238-7554

From:	:) [gumby5@att.net]
Sent:	Thursday, February 26, 2015 11:40 AM
То:	Campos, David (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Christensen, Julie (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Wiener, Scott
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Rahaim, John (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Johnson, Christine D.(CPC); 'Cindy Wu'; Richards, Dennis (CPC); 'Kathrin Moore'; 'Michael Antonini'; 'Rich Hillis'; 'Rodney Fong'
Subject: Attachments:	Public Comment at Working Groups CSFN WorkingGroup Letter.pdf

1

Dear President Breed and Members of the Board of Supervisors: Please see attached letter regarding subject-referenced matter. Thank you. Sincerely, Rose Hillson

- -



www.csfn.net • PO Box 320098 • San Francisco CA 94132-0098 • 415.262.0440 • Est 1972

President Judith Berkowitz 415.824.0617 **1st Vice President** George Wooding 2nd Vice President Rose Hillson Recording Secretary Charles Head Corresponding Secretary Glenn Rogers Treasurer Dick Millet Members-at-Large Penelope Clark Melinda LaValle Marlavne Morgan

Barbary Coast Neighborhood Assn Buena Vista Neighborhood Assn Cathedral Hill Neighborst Assn Cole Valley Improvement Assn Cow Hollow Assn Diamond Hts Neighborhood Assn East Mission Improvement Assn Ewing Terrace Neighborhood Assn Excelsior District Improvement Assn Fair Oaks Community Coalition Forest Knolls Neighborhood Assn Francisco Heights Civic Assn Golden Gate Hts Neighborhood Assn Greater W. Portal Neighborhood Assn Haight Ashbury Improvement Assn Inner Sunset Action Committee Jordan Park Improvement Assn Liberty Hill Neighborhood Assn Marina Civic Improvement & Property Owners Assn Middle Polk Neighborhood Assn Midtown Terrace Homeowners Assn Miraloma Park Improvement Club North Beach Neighbors Oceanview, Merced Heights, Ingleside - Neighbors in Action Outer Mission Merchants & Residents Assn Pacific Heights Residents Assn Parkmerced Action Coalition Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Assn Richmond Community Assn Rincon Point Neighborhood Assn Russian Hill Improvement Assn Russian Hill Neighbors Sunset Heights Assn of Responsible People Sunset-Parkside Education & Action Committee Telegraph Hill Dwellers Twin Peaks Council & Open Space Conservancy Twin Peaks Improvement Assn University Terrace Neighborhood Assn

President London Breed and Members Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco CA 94102-4689

February 26, 2015

Re: Public Comment at Gatherings of City "Working Groups"

Dear President Breed and Members,

We write concerning the increasing use of "working groups" established by Department Heads at the urging of members of the Board of Supervisors and public comment at gatherings of such "working groups."

As we understand it, the City's Sunshine Ordinance defines passive meeting bodies (Administrative Code section 67.3 (c)) and addresses their conduct (section 67.4). In particular, section 67.4 (a) (3) provides that "Such gatherings of a business nature need not provide opportunities for comment by members of the public, although the person presiding may, in his or her discretion, entertain such questions or comments from spectators as may be relevant to the business of the gathering." Thus, such bodies are not precluded from taking public comment and, indeed, are allowed to do so in the discretion of the person presiding.

We have noticed an increasing use of "working groups" to address and advise department heads, boards and commissions, and elected officials regarding policy matters related to land use, transportation, and other issues. As such, we believe that gatherings of such bodies should be encouraged to, and not discouraged from, taking public comment relative to their subject matter. Obtaining useful public comment early, from relevant stakeholders in small group meetings, can only improve policymaking in our City. We encourage you to consider our views and provide direction to such bodies when urging their creation so that such public comment is encouraged.

Sincerely,

bedith Berkowitz

Judith Berkowitz, President

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors Members, Planning Commission John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department -----

From: To: Subject: Attachments: Board of Supervisors (BOS) BOS-Supervisors FW: Support for Pacific Rod and Gun Club and Future Operations at Lake Merced PRGC_2-26-2015_.pdf

From: Nicole Chavez [mailto:NChavez@calwaterfowl.org]
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2015 2:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Support for Pacific Rod and Gun Club and Future Operations at Lake Merced

Good Afternoon,

Please distribute to Board of Supervisors. Thank you in advance.

a better California Butter CALIFORNIA WATERFOWL

Nicole Chavez Administrative Assistant Conservation Programs

California Waterfowl Association 1346 Blue Oaks Blvd. Roseville, CA 95678 (916) 648-1406 ext. 101 (916) 307-5808

California Waterfowl is an award winning 501(C)(3) nonprofit, hunter-supported conservation organization with a mission to conserve the state's waterfowl, wetlands, and hunting heritage. In the last 20+ years, we've completed more than 875 individual projects to protect, restore, and enhance more than 400,000 acres, providing habitat for millions of birds and animals. Our Wood Duck Program has hatched more than 580,000 ducklings, while our Banding Programs have marked more than 210,000 birds. Our Youth and Education Programs have reached more than 250,000 children, young adults, and families to help to create a better understanding of biology, conservation, and outdoor heritage. All efforts are supported largely by donations and the work of nearly 20,000 dedicated and tireless members and volunteers.

Please visit us online at www.calwaterfowl.org

LEGISLATIVE CAUCUSES: AVIATION CAUCUS CHAIR

OUTDOOR SPORTING CAUCUS

INLAND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CAUCUS RURAL CAUCUS VALLEY CAUCUS WOMEN'S CAUCUS BOARDS: WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD STATE ALLOCATION BOARD.

California State Senate



JEAN FULLER SENATOR, SIXTEENTH DISTRICT

February 25, 2015

Mr. Harlan Kelley Jr., General Manager San Francisco Public Utilities Commission 525 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 STANDING COMMITTEES: RULES VICE CHAIR

ENERGY, UTILITIES & COMMUNICATIONS VICE CHAIR

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RETIREMENT SYSTEM

NATURAL RESOURCES & WATER SELECT COMMITTEES:

AUTISM & RELATED DISORDERS

CALIFORNIA PORTS & GOODS MOVEMENT CLIMATE CHANGE & AB 32 IMPLEMENTATION

DEFENSE & AEROSPACE

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: BIOTECHNOLOGY & GREEN ENERGY JOBS THE SACRAMENTO

SAN JOAQUIN DELTA

ENERGY, UTILITIES & COMMUNICATIONS: SUBCOMMITTEE ON GAS & ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE SAFETY

JOINT COMMITTEES; LEGISLATIVE AUDIT RULES BUDGET

RE: Support for Pacific Rod and Gun Club and Future Operations at Lake Merced

Dear Mr. Kelley:

This letter is to express our strong support for the continuation of recreational shooting and related outdoor activities, including hunter education and youth fishing, by the Pacific Rod and Gun Club at Lake Merced.

It is our understanding that the 14-acre Lake Merced site, which has been used by the Pacific Rod and Gun Club since 1934 via lease, is currently being prepared for soil remediation activities ordered by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (San Francisco Bay Region), and that such work should be completed in approximately one year.

We urge that once the cleanup of the site is undertaken, that you strongly consider the site use plan being submitted by the Pacific Rod and Gun Club. It is our hope that the Club be allowed to resume its trap and skeet shooting and other important recreational and educational activities at that location as soon as possible.

As co-chairs of the California Legislature Outdoor Sporting Caucus, (<u>http://outdoorsportingcaucus.legislature.ca.gov/home</u>) we strive to protect and promote hunting, fishing and other outdoor recreation, including the shooting sports.

Currently, there are no other trap, skeet and Olympic shooting opportunities within the City and County of San Francisco; yet, interest by the public in recreational shooting continues to increase. Recognizing further the longtime successful operation of the Club at Lake Merced and the many benefits it provides to local residents, we think it entirely appropriate that the Club be permitted to continue to operate at its historic site.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Senator Jean Fuller, Co-Chair

Assembly Member Brian Dahle, Co-Chair

Cathleer Dalgion

Senator Cathleen Galgiani, Co-Chair

Assembly Member Jim Frazier, Co-Chair

cc: San Francisco Board of Supervisors Honorable Mayor of San Francisco, Edwin M. Lee Anne Moller Caen, President, Public Utilities Commission Mark Buell, President, Recreation and Park Commission Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, San Francisco Recreation & Parks Vince Courtney, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission From: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors (BOS) BOS-Supervisors FW: Academy of Art knows where to offer scholarships...to those who enforce the S.F. Fire Code

From: James Corrigan [mailto:seamus37@icloud.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2015 2:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Academy of Art knows where to offer scholarships...to those who enforce the S.F. Fire Code

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Some things are obvious to me, yet I still have a high-percentage, error rate.

Now this looks like Conflict of Interest to me. Certainly, made more obvious since it originates from a huge landowner in San Francisco who already has a long history of Building Code violations.

I guess if the Academy of Art offered their scholarships to the sons and daughters of Building Inspectors in good standing, it might not pass the smell test.

Fire Inspectors realize with each Inspection, several college bound children of S.F. Firefighters, will win a College Scholarship every year.

Does this possibly have conscious or unconscious influence on SFFD Fire Inspectors?

I'll let you answer that one.

Full page ad on page # 9 in Local # 798's January/February 2015, "Mainline" Magazine.

Jim

ACADEMY of ART UNIVERSITY

FOUNDED IN SAN FRANCISCO 1929 BY ARTISTS FOR ARTISTS



San Francisco Firefighters Union Local

Two (2) Presidential Scholarships (1 Per Stu Bachelor of Arts Degree Pro Beginning FALL OFFERED TO SONS & DAUGHTERS OF ACTIVE LOCAL 798 MEMBERS IN GOOD STAI

Through the generosity of the Academy of Art University, we are pleased to offer to our Membership, two (2) Presi Scholarships to graduating senior high school students who are sons or daughters of active Local 798 members in standing.

The Presidential Scholarship will cover the cost of Tuition towards a Bachelor of Fine Arts degree program for Fall Ser 2015 and Spring Semester 2016. The Scholarship award will be renewed every semester at the discretion of the Univ based on acceptable academic performance.

To apply for the Scholarship, fill out the application below and submit with your 500-word essay "...Why I Want To Attend the Academy of Art University"

> DEADLINE Friday, March 6, 2015 12:00 NOON at the Union Office Winners Will Be Selected by Raffle Drawing at March 12, 2015 Union Meeting

2015 SCHOL	ARSHIP APPLICA	TION (Attach Essay) -	Academy of Art University 2	015/20
ICANT:			n an	
Las	t Name, First Nar	ne, Middle Initial		
RESS:			r 1. 18-1. 11	ана 1
Str	eet Number		Suite/Apt. #	
Cit	v	State	Zip	
PHONE;	2			
Ho	me Phone		Cell Phone	
dt.:				
Еm	ail			
ENT NAME:	at Name, First Nar	Str	station #	

3

	auner (DU3)
From: To: Subject:	Board of Supervisors (BOS) BOS-Supervisors FW: Complaint of Homeless Policies / T Amendment to the Claim / II Amendment of Shelter Reservation System.

From: Julianna Agardi [mailto:juliannaagardi@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2015 10:18 AM
To: juliannaagardi@aol.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: crsmith759@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: Complaint of Homeless Policies / I Amendment to the Claim / II Amendment of Shelter Reservation System.

III AMENDMENT TO COMPLAINT

On February 26 Julianna Agardi was standing in line waiting to get in to the MSC South Resource Center at 5th and Bryant. About 4 : 30 PM an apparently intoxicated individual was offering to all and including Julianna Agardi a joint straight out of his mouth. Was waived away and a verbal assault ensued.

Name calling and threats. After a while Agardi went to gate and called the guards . They refused to come out. Several employee came around and attempted to have the person to calm down. He did not .

The harassment continued and the person called the police for Agardi .Agardi also attempted to call the police but the 911 was blocked and the dispatcher kept on askeng about the location of Agardi. Later on a phone number was found on Agardi's phone at the same time of the incident .Agardi called the number and it was disconnected or otherwise unavailable.Would be interesting to know how this number was able to make a phone call to Agardi about the same time of the attacks .

The person offering the joint disappeared and reappeared by two other individuals and in another wave of assault and harassment as well as verbal threats and verbal abuse one of the individuals grabbed Agardi's belongings and threw them out to the road in the way of the on coming traffic . A good samaritan helped to collect the belongings .No one else in the line did anything or said anything.

When Agardi talked on the phone to the 911, another employee showed up and talked loudly that the conversation and their version of the events would be recorded by 911 system. For this Victoria Green showed up and denied services for Agardi for six months.

A single squad car with a single police officer showed up. The officer explained to Agardi that what the definition of criminal assault and battery is , and what is not. Took no action , asked no one what was happening and he was the one requesting Agardi to leave.

1

-----Original Message-----From: Julianna Agardi <juliannaagardi@aol.com> To: Board.of.Supervisors <<u>Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org</u>> Cc: crsmith759 <<u>crsmith759@yahoo.com</u>> Sent: Thu, Feb 19, 2015 10:38 am Subject: Re: Complaint of Homeless Policies / I Amendment to the Claim / II Amendment of Shelter Reservation System.

II AMENDMENT TO THE COMPLAINT.

The subject is the mandatory sign up for one night reservation for beds . The reservation system that operating in the MSC South Resource Center providing one night reservations - only !

The policy in question is "If once booked have to leave the building".

The system is operated by computer matching system which allegedly matches the available beds by a sign up sheet utilized at 5 PM and for those who are physically present at the drop in at that time. The info taken is the four digits ,the preferences to wanting to go to and names. The rest waiting outside and signs in when one is able to get in. Or not !

Allegedly the first beds made available are the ones vacant at 5 P.M this is manually done. Allegedly there is a central data for the beds available at all shelters and released and in the system .One employee is dropping the beds the other is catching it .

The beds are available several times during the time period from 5 PM to 2 AM, on or about two hours intervals. At 5 and 7 P.M at sign in , and bed check times. for sure.

When the sign up for beds inside gets done a paper document is posted on the drop in for the clients to see. It contains rows of chairs numbered. Allegedly the computer goes by rows, and then the calling of the names begins by the desk. It is impossible to do. The employees are skipping people over and over again.

In the first round beds provided by manual typing to the favorites . Those who are informed in advance in which rows to sit. Always the same people .

The second round eliminates those who are the non - desirables or not liked, or considered a threat. Those such as complainant who is retaliated against, etc...

That takes place by changing the preferential status, and when refused kick the person out.Despite that the system generating and releasing beds continuously from five to two in the morning. Complainant getting kicked out regularly between 5 PM and 6 PM as soon as the process starts."I do not have a bed for you "! Complainant argued that it is still early to know. The answer is that she should be going to Glide.Furthermore there is a shuttle transporting the

people to the shelters but there is no guarantee that the reservation 's time will coincide with the shuttle's time .Also it happened that the person accepted the reservation across town at night was heading towards the shuttle and the shuttle closed door and took off right front of her nose .The person went anyway on foot and was informed at the shelter that there was no beds available.

Then Complainant has to leave the building until tomorrow .When asked when tomorrow is the answer is tomorrow by Victoria Green and Cheryl Mason (Thomas?).

When Complainant returned after Midnight (tomorrow for her) Cheryl Mason kicked her out again for another tomorrow about eight more hours.Mason 's general behavior is questioned in this complaint.Her way to mock and patronize the clients, and speak to them without respect. The manner of intimidation and harassment.Besides these two women Wayne? also practicing the "once booked have to live " policy.

It has been eyewitnessed that person walked in sat down and in a couple of minutes got the reservation and left .

It can be said that the reservations are going by signals, or personal service all that can be seen is that certain people are disappearing from the floor, and seen again if the one is lucky enough to get a reservation as well.

It is also noticed that certain individuals are receiving more than one night ,and there is another system at work .The week – end reservations for 3 or 4 or 2 days.

The shelter reservation system on all level for any days are unfair and discriminative.

-----Original Message-----From: Julianna Agardi <<u>juliannaagardi@aol.com</u>> To: juliannaagardi <<u>juliannaagardi@aol.com</u>>; Board.of.Supervisors <<u>Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org</u>> Sent: Fri, Feb 6, 2015 9:29 am Subject: Re: Complaint of Homeless Policies / I Amendment to the Claim

To the Board of Supervisors of City & County of San Francisco.

I Amendment to the Claim .

As it was stated in the Claim filed on January 30 of 2015. Claimant Julianna Agardi amends the complaint as follows based upon the new developments.

On the 30 of January 2015 after a long history with the "excessive and take it with you at all times "policy of the MSC South a Resource Center for Homeless Services, at 525 5 th Street San Francisco California.

claimant attempted to step out of the Drop – In Center for the Homeless 24/7 Service at the gate she was confronted by four black female employees of the Center to take her suitcase and little backpack with herself.

When Claimant continued to walk out she was denied services for the day until 4:30 PM.

Upon returning to the Center at 5:30 PM after an hour waiting front of the gate outside, in the street, her suitcase and backpack was gone.

Subsequently Claimant attempted to reclaim her property at least four times, by talking to different employees. Twice to Supervisorial staff such as Victoria Green and Wayne ? after that the perpetrators themselves Cynthia ? and and another person whose name is not known for Complainant at this time. Unsuccessfully. Each and every employee states the same. They do not know anything about it and they are not going to go and look for it.

Claimant requested access to the second floor storage area to look for it and it was denied.

Claimant request the return of her property ,her suitcase and her backpack.

Julianna Agardi .

Friday, February 06, 2015

-----Original Message-----From: Julianna Agardi <juliannaagardi@aol.com> To: Board.of.Supervisors <<u>Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org</u>> Sent: Fri, Jan 30, 2015 11:55 am Subject: Complaint of Homeless Policies

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO. 400 VAN NESS / GOODLETT PLACE SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94102

JULIANNA AGARDI

COMPLAINANT.

101 HYDE ST.GENERAL DELIVERY

SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA .94142

COMPLAINT OF HOMELESS POLICIES IN GENERAL AND COMPLAINT OF PROPERTY RULES.

Today Julianna Agardi attempted to file a complaint with the City Operations and Neighborhoud Services, a board directed her to the # 18 and there she was told that her complaint has to be addressed to the Shelter Monitoring Committee, and then the complaint cam be addressed to the City Operations Neigborhood Services

Agardi answered that the Shelter Monitoring committee is not a legal entity has no executive power and Agardi does not feel necessary to hire to investigate her complaints.Furthermore,

technically Agardi does not live in shelters .Furthermore Agardi has no income , no public assistance and cannot rent storage unit.

Complainant is homeless and hanging out in Resource Centers Currently the limit is one bag, the size of this bag is in question as well, and the policy that this property cannot be left "unattended"!

Complainant is harassed over this her property is being seized and carried off, and given back with a write off and denial of services.

This policy is selectively enforced, and is violative of federal law, that provides that the shelters and resource centers and drop - in places has to provide storage for the homeless clients.

Complainant request this policy changed and stop the harassment over this.

Julianna Agardi.

From: To: Cc: Subject: Board of Supervisors (BOS) BOS-Supervisors BOS Legislation (BOS) FW: #CREATINGFERALCATS

From: jones-allen@att.net [mailto:jones-allen@att.net] Sent: Sunday, March 01, 2015 12:43 PM To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) Subject: #CREATINGFERALCATS

Attention All Members of the Sa. Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Below is a copy of my opinion and request on an upcoming piece of legislation. I first addressed it with my district supervisor.

Jane,

I have already sent my opinion to Supervisor Mark Farrell on his introducing of a ban on chewing tobacco this Tuesday, which I understand includes AT&T park. #MARKSSTOPCHEWINGCRUCADE

I hate everything about tobacco. In fact, I've accidentally knocked over enough spit cans to be repulsed by this nasty habit.

However, just because a supervisor has a habit that he or she had a tough time giving up, this is no reason to make it law for the rest of us. And if Supervisor Farrell wants to invoke his 3 kids, it is all the more reason to be suspicious.

When my father caught me smoking, he didnt pass a law, he invited (tricked) me by smoking with me. He did not make a big deal out of this bad habit, which I might add, contributed greatly to his early death. I never picked up the habit. In other words, I started and stopped smoking at age 12 because my father sat down with me.

Now I'm not trying to compare parental approaches. I'm saying common sense says that it is more likely that peer pressure will repeat to playmates of the Farrell boys, "your dad did it!" more than I'm not gonna do it because your dad got the board to passed a law.

When I went to Chicago to visit my 90 year-old grandmother, I saw her dip snuff. She defended her right to me but did not need to. She must have mistaken my surprised look as condemnation. "Go ahead grandma" She died one month shy of age 99.

I do not know what the proposed penalty is for this ban but I want to express my disapproval before the end result is feral cats.

Then there is the visiting professional ballplayers. Playing at banned AT&T Park, no player will run out of money if fined. And certainly they will not be prohibited from playing because he dips. Will SFPD Chief Greg Suhr personally issue the citation(s) during the seventh inning stretch \Box ?

You get my point.

This is yet another silly attempt to get people to conform.

Please let me know if you plan to support this piece of legislation and reason. I like a good laugh.

Sent from my jail cell phone Allen Jones <u>jones-allen@att.net</u> <u>1(415)7567733</u> -----

From:Board of Supervisors (BOS)To:BOS-SupervisorsSubject:FW: sfrpd hostile work environment

-----Original Message-----From: viviana bahuana [mailto:vivianabahuana@yahoo.com] Sent: Sunday, March 01, 2015 3:31 PM To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Breed, London (BOS) Cc: Tang, Katy (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Gran, Martin (HRD) Subject: sfrpd hostile work environment

Dear Supervisors,

It is my duty to inform you of the hostile work environment that Management created in Recreation and Park department. Employees are constant victims of insults, verbal abuse, bullying, intimidation, harassment, discrimination, retaliation, unwanted transfers, wrongful discipline, and even termination. When Employees protest they are singled out for unwarranted discipline, given the worst assignments, transferred to the worst areas, and pressured to quit. Every RPD employee knows if they complains they will be in big trouble. Many employees have been fired or quit cause of this treatment.

It is your duty to act now!

You must insist on a full investigation into the illegal and immoral treatment of RPD employees. This investigation must be conducted so RPD employees are safe and privacy. Do not include RPD HR because they are part of the problem. I am confident that when you hear the truth you will demand immediate changes in leadership at RPD.

The employees of RPD are dedicated to making our Park system the best in the world. They work hard and love our great Park system. They deserve leaders that treat them fairly, with honesty, respect and dignity. The specific details of the improper and illegal incidents is being sent to HR downtown and the media.

Thank You

From:
To:
Subject:

Board of Supervisors (BOS) BOS-Supervisors FW: sfrpd hostile work environment

From: cesar chavez [mailto:joinourfightforrights@outlook.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 01, 2015 3:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Kim, Jane (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); <u>croberts@sfexaminer.com</u>
Subject: sfrpd hostile work environment

Dear Supervisors,

It is my duty to inform you of the hostile work environment that Management created in Recreation and Park department. Employees are constant victims of insults, verbal abuse, bullying, intimidation, harassment, discrimination, retaliation, unwanted transfers, wrongful discipline, and even termination. When Employees protest they are singled out for unwarranted discipline, given the worst assignments, transferred to the worst areas, and pressured to quit. Every RPD employee knows if they complains they will be in big trouble. Many employees have been fired or quit cause of this treatment.

It is your duty to act now!

You must insist on a full investigation into the illegal and immoral treatment of RPD employees. This investigation must be conducted so RPD employees are safe and privacy. Do not include RPD HR cause they are part of the problem. I am sure that when you hear the truth you'll demand immediate changes in leadership at RPD.

The employees of RPD are dedicete to make our Park system the best in the world. They work hard and love our great Park system. They deserve leaders that treat them fairly, with honesty, respect and dignity. The specific details of the improper and illegal incidents is being sent to HR downtown and the media.

Thank You